Biomedical Data Mining Using RBF Neural Networks

Feng Chu

Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Lipo Wang

Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

INTRODUCTION

Accurate diagnosis of cancers is of great importance for doctors to choose a proper treatment. Furthermore, it also plays a key role in the searching for the pathology of cancers and drug discovery. Recently, this problem attracts great attention in the context of microarray technology. Here, we apply radial basis function (RBF) neural networks to this pattern recognition problem. Our experimental results in some well-known microarray data sets indicate that our method can obtain very high accuracy with a small number of genes.

BACKGROUND

Microarray is also called gene chip or DNA chip. It is a newly appeared biotechnology that allows biomedical researchers monitor thousands of genes simultaneously (Schena, Shalon, Davis, & Brown, 1995). Before the appearance of microarrays, a traditional molecular biology experiment usually works on only one gene or several genes, which makes it difficult to have a "whole picture" of an entire genome. With the help of microarrays, researchers are able to monitor, analyze and compare expression profiles of thousands of genes in one experiment.

On account of their features, microarrays have been used in various tasks such as gene discovery, disease diagnosis, and drug discovery. Since the end of the last century, cancer classification based on gene expression profiles has attracted great attention in both the biological and the engineering fields. Compared with traditional cancer diagnostic methods based mainly on the morphological appearances of tumors, the method using gene expression profiles is more objective, accurate, and reliable. More importantly, some types of cancers have subtypes with very similar appearances that are very hard to be classified by traditional methods. It has been proven that gene expression has a good capability to clarify this previously muddy problem.

Thus, to develop accurate and efficient classifiers based on gene expression becomes a problem of both theoretical and practical importance. Recent approaches on this problem include artificial neural networks (Khan *et al.*, 2001), support vector machines (Guyon, Weston, Barnhill, & Vapnik, 2002), k-nearest neighbor (Olshen & Jain, 2002), nearest shrunken centroids (Tibshirani, Hastie, Narashiman, & Chu, 2002), and so on.

A solution to this problem is to find out a group of important genes that contribute most to differentiate cancer subtypes. In the meantime, we should also provide proper algorithms that are able to make correct prediction based on the expression profiles of those genes. Such work will benefit early diagnosis of cancers. In addition, it will help doctors choose proper treatment. Furthermore, it also throws light on the relationship between the cancers and those important genes.

From the point of view of machine learning and statistical learning, cancer classification using gene expression profiles is a challenging problem. The reason lies in the following two points. First, typical gene expression data sets usually contain very few samples (from several to several tens for each type of cancers). In other words, the training data are scarce. Second, such data sets usually contain a large number of genes, for example, several thousands. That is, the data are high dimensional. Therefore, this is a special pattern recognition problem with relatively small number of patterns and very high dimensionality. To provide such a problem with a good solution, appropriate algorithms should be designed.

In fact, a number of different approaches such as knearest neighbor (Olshen and Jain, 2002), support vector machines (Guyon *et al.*, 2002), artificial neural networks (Khan *et al.*, 2001) and some statistical methods have been applied to this problem since 1995. Among these approaches, some obtained very good results. For example, Khan *et al.* (2001) classified small round blue cell tumors (SRBCTs) with 100% accuracy by using 96 genes. Tibshirani *et al.* (2002) successfully classified SRBCTs with 100% accuracy by using only 43 genes. They also

classified three different subtypes of lymphoma with 100% accuracy by using 48 genes. (Tibshirani, Hastie, Narashiman, & Chu, 2003)

However, there are still a lot of things can be done to improve present algorithms. In this work, we use and compare two gene selection schemes, i.e., principal components analysis (PCA) (Simon, 1999) and a t-test-based method (Tusher, Tibshirani, & Chu, 2001). After that, we introduce an RBF neural network (Fu & Wang, 2003) as the classification algorithm.

MAIN THRUST

After a comparative study of gene selection methods, a detailed description of the RBF neural network and some experimental results are presented in this section.

Microarray Data Sets

We analyze three well-known gene expression data sets, i.e., the SRBCT data set (Khan *et al.*, 2001), the lymphoma data set (Alizadeh *et al.*, 2000), and the leukemia data set (Golub *et al.*, 1999).

The lymphoma data set (http://llmpp.nih.gov/lymphoma) (Alizadeh *et al.*, 2000) contains 4026 "well measured" clones belonging to 62 samples. These samples belong to following types of lymphoid malignancies: diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL, 42 samples), follicular lymphoma (FL, nine samples) and chronicle lymphocytic leukemia (CLL, 11 samples). In this data set, a small part of data is missing. A k-nearest neighbor algorithm was used to fill those missing values (Troyanskaya *et al.*, 2001).

The SRBCT data set (http://research.nhgri.nih.gov/microarray/Supplement/) (Khan et al., 2001) contains the expression data of 2308 genes. There are totally 63 training samples and 25 testing samples. Five of the testing samples are not SRBCTs. The 63 training samples contain 23 Ewing family of tumors (EWS), 20 rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS), 12 neuroblastoma (NB), and eight Burkitt lymphomas (BL). And the 20 testing samples contain six EWS, five RMS, six NB, and three BL.

The leukemia data set (http://www-genome.wi.mit.edu/cgi-\\bin /cancer/publications) (Golub et al., 1999) has two types of leukemia, i.e., acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). Among these samples, 38 of them are for training; the other 34 blind samples are for testing. The entire leukemia data set contains the expression data of 7,129 genes. Different with the cDNA microarray data, the leukemia data are oligonucleotide microarray data. Because such expression data are raw data, we need to normalize them to reduce

the systemic bias induced during experiments. We follow the normalization procedure used by Dudoit, Fridlyand, and Speed (2002). Three preprocessing steps were applied: (a) thresholding with floor of 100 and ceiling of 16000; (b) filtering, exclusion of genes with max/min<5 or (max-min)<500. max and min refer to the maximum and the minimum of the gene expression values, respectively; and (c) base 10 logarithmic transformation. There are 3571 genes survived after these three steps. After that, the data were standardized across experiments, i.e., minus the mean and divided by the standard deviation of each experiment.

Methods for Gene Selection

As mentioned in the former part, the gene expression data are very high-dimensional. The dimension of input patterns is determined by the number of genes used. In a typical microarray experiment, usually several thousands of genes take part in. Therefore, the dimension of patterns is several thousands. However, only a small number of the genes contribute to correct classification; some others even act as "noise". Gene selection can eliminate the influence of such "noise". Furthermore, the fewer the genes used, the lower the computational burden to the classifier. Finally, once a smaller subset of genes is identified as relevant to a particular cancer, it helps biomedical researchers focus on these genes that contribute to the development of the cancer. The process of gene selection is ranking genes' discriminative ability first and then retaining the genes with high ranks.

As a critical step for classification, gene selection has been studied intensively in recent years. There are two main approaches, one is principal component analysis (PCA) (Simon, 1999), perhaps the most widely used method; the other is a t-test-based approach which has been more and more widely accepted. In the important papers (Alizadeh et al., 2000; Khan et al., 2001), PCA was used. The basic idea of PCA is to find the most "informative" genes that contain most of the information in the data set. Another approach is based on t-test that is able to measure the difference between two groups. Thomas, Olsen, Tapscott, and Zhao. (2001) recommended this method. Tusher et al. (2001) and Pan (2002) also proposed their method based on t-test, respectively. Besides these two main methods, there are also some other methods. For example, a method called Markov blanket was proposed by Xing, Jordan, and Karp (2001). Li, Weinberg, Darden, and Pedersen (2001) applied another method which combined genetic algorithm and K-nearest neighbor.

PCA (Simon, 1999) aims at reducing the input dimension by transforming the input space into a new space described by principal components (PCs). All the PCs are

4 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/biomedical-data-mining-using-rbf/10575

Related Content

Online Data Mining

He´ctor Oscar Nigroand Sandra Elizabeth González Císaro (2008). *Data Warehousing and Mining: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 75-83).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/online-data-mining/7633

A Space-Efficient Protocol for Consistency of External View Maintenance on Data Warehouse Systems: A Proxy Approach

Shi-Ming Huang, David C. Yenand Hsiang-Yuan Hsueh (2008). *Data Warehousing and Mining: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 3116-3141).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/space-efficient-protocol-consistency-external/7824

Conceptual Data Modeling Patterns: Representation and Validation

Dinesh Batra (2008). *Data Warehousing and Mining: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 280-302).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/conceptual-data-modeling-patterns/7645

Data Mining Methods for Microarray Data Analysis

Lei Yuand Huan Liu (2005). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining (pp. 283-287).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/data-mining-methods-microarray-data/10608

Web Usage Mining through Associative Models

Paolo Giudiciand Paola Cerchiello (2005). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining (pp. 1231-1234)*. www.irma-international.org/chapter/web-usage-mining-through-associative/10786