

Chapter 6

Analysing Simulation Results Statistically: Does Significance Matter?

Klaus G. Troitzsch
Universität Koblenz-Landau, Germany

ABSTRACT

Many papers on simulation in the social sciences come up with significance tests in which the authors describe the effect of a parameter on some simulation outcome as significant on some level of significance. This chapter discusses the question whether significance tests on simulation results are meaningful, and it argues that it is the effect size much more than the existence of the effect that matters and that it is the description of the distribution function of the stochastic process incorporated in the simulation model which is important, particularly when this distribution is far from normal — which is particularly often the case when the simulation model is nonlinear.

INTRODUCTION

Multiple runs of simulation models can be seen as samples from an unlimited universe of all possible runs executed by this simulation model for one single parameter combination. In empirical research one usually has only one sample at a time from a limited universe (typically, the population of a country), and this sample is usually biased by effects of the lack of precise information on the universe, low response rates and self-selection. Thus the situation of an empirically active researcher resembles the situation of a researcher running his or her simulation only once for each parameter

combination. Thus simulation researchers are luckier than their empirically active colleagues, as the latter have to assume some probability distribution for the parameters they want to estimate from their empirical data, and usually they choose a normal distribution or some distribution derived from the normal distribution (such as the χ^2 distribution) or use some non-parametric analysis, whereas simulation researchers can construct an arbitrary large “sample of samples” from which they can at least visualise the form of the probability density function of the macro output parameter in question, as this resembles the histogram of the parameter estimates from the

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-5954-4.ch006

individual simulation runs for an identical input parameter combination. And another advantage of simulation researchers is that they have full control of the input parameters of their simulation models whereas even the best controlled lab experiments with human test persons cannot avoid systematic bias (for the complementarity of real-world experiments and “simulation experiments” see also chapter 18).

If simulation serves the purpose of generating macrostructures from microspecifications (Axtell & Epstein, 1996)(Epstein, 2006, p. 8) then simulation is a deductive attempt(Epstein, 2006, p. xiv): trying to deduce macro behaviour from theoretical assumptions on micro behaviour — which more often than not is not directly observable, whereas the macro structure and the macro processes can often be easily observed. Thus one could argue that a simulation model can be used to test theories on the macro effect of micro assumptions: if the macrostructures predicted by a simulation model do not have empirical correlates then the micro assumptions are at least questionable (for more about the analysis of emergence in multilevel simulation models see also chapters 1 and 4).

The rest of this chapter gives several examples of multiple runs of some simulation models and argues that — unlike most empirical analyses — here the question is not whether a certain input parameter (the analogue of an independent variable) — has or has not an effect on a certain output parameter (the analogue of a dependent variable) but what is interesting in simulation research is the form of the relation between input and output parameters and the size of the effect. Sensitivity analysis, of course, is still interested in which input parameters have an effect on which output parameters, but mostly in order to eliminate input parameters whose effect is small.

The examples are taken from a well-published and relatively simple problem: the El Farol Problem (Arthur, 1994), and a much more complex one that extends the famous sugarscape model

(Axtell & Epstein, 1996) with an element of networks between agents (König, Möhring, & Troitzsch, 2003).

BACKGROUND

The Role of Simulation

Before we concentrate on stochastic simulation models (which seem to be a large majority among simulation models in the literature), a few words about simulations models and their role are in order. As argued decades ago by Thomas Ostrom, simulation models and the programming languages used to design and to implement them can be seen as a “third symbol system” beyond natural language and mathematics (Ostrom, 1988). All three symbol systems are used to derive new statements from statements already known. Thus, for instance, we use calculus to derive the function which describes the states of a system at arbitrarily many points of time from a differential equation which defines the relation between the state of the system and the change of this state:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= f(x, t) \stackrel{\text{yields}}{\rightarrow} x = x(t), \text{ e.g.} \\ \frac{dx(t)}{dt} &= \lambda x(t) \stackrel{\text{yields}}{\rightarrow} x(t) = K \exp(\lambda t) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t) \stackrel{\text{yields}}{\rightarrow} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(t), \text{ e.g.} \\ \left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= x(a + bx + cy) \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= y(d + ex + fy) \end{aligned} \right. \stackrel{\text{yields}}{\rightarrow} ? \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/analysing-simulation-results-statistically/106763

Related Content

An Exposition of Uncertain Reasoning Based Analysis: An Investigation of Total Hip Arthroplasty
Malcolm J. Beynon, Cathy Holt and Gemma Whatling (2011). *Computational Modeling and Simulation of Intellect: Current State and Future Perspectives* (pp. 183-205).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/exposition-uncertain-reasoning-based-analysis/53306

Business Process Reengineering in the Automotive Area by Simulator-Based Design

Torbjörn Alm, Jens Alfredson and Kjell Ohlsson (2008). *Simulation and Modeling: Current Technologies and Applications* (pp. 337-358).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/business-process-reengineering-automotive-area/28992

Innovation through Virtual Technologies

N. Raghavendra Rao (2011). *Virtual Technologies for Business and Industrial Applications: Innovative and Synergistic Approaches* (pp. 1-13).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/innovation-through-virtual-technologies/43401

Technological Organization of Model Investigation

(2023). *Deterministic and Stochastic Approaches in Computer Modeling and Simulation* (pp. 72-89).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/technological-organization-of-model-investigation/332098

Projector-Based Augmentation

Oliver Bimber (2007). *Emerging Technologies of Augmented Reality: Interfaces and Design* (pp. 64-89).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/projector-based-augmentation/10159