# Chapter 13 The Reasons of Low E-Government TakeUp in Europe: An Exploratory Analysis

#### **Aykut Arslan**

Piri Reis University, Turkey

#### **ABSTRACT**

Despite the efforts in terms of policies and investments, take-up of e-government services is slow, obscuring the overall benefits of e-government itself and still far from satisfactory today. Differences in uptake of e-government services across European countries seem to be independent from the quality and quantity of the supply. The data show a gap between the supply and use of e-government services in general; in other words, suggesting a limited correlation between the provisions of sophisticated e-government services on the one hand and the take-up of e-government services on the other. This signals a broader and diversified situation. To explore the determinants of low e-government take-up in European context, this chapter examines the aggregate data of 29 countries by conducting T-tests and Mann-Whitney U analyses.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The soaring adoption of information and communication technologies (ICTs) in the world has enhanced hopes for proliferation of benefits such as the improvement of public services in terms of quality and productivity through the reduction of costs and time to deliver public services (Ferro & Molinari, 2010); the increase of transparency, accountability (Colesca, 2009), and trust in public

institutions (User satisfaction and impact, 2008; Colesca, 2009). However, to realize such benefits and return on investments, the only possible way is the widespread use of the e-government services (Verdegem & Verleye, 2009). There are assertions that, in terms of practical experiences and in the light of researches, citizens' acceptance of public e-services is quite below (Bavec, 2008; User satisfaction and impact, 2008; OECD, 2009; Ferro & Molinari, 2010). In support of this argu-

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-5868-4.ch013

ment the resent figures regarding the use of the Internet for interaction with public authorities in the EU27+ highlight that only 42% of individuals aged between 16 to 74 use e-government services despite the 82% average online availability of the services (9th Benchmark Report, 2010, p. 13). What's more, the differences between countries, ranging from take-up figures of 85% for the most advanced to 29% for the bottom of the league are remarkable. The report argues that the preference of conventional ways of interacting by significant numbers of citizens forces even the most innovative public administrations to run a great deal of their services through more traditional and often cost-intensive way. On the other hand it continues to pinpoint a substantial gap between investment in making public services available and their take-up which is "too great" (9th Benchmark Report, 2010, p. 80) (up to 85%, with a 46% average in EU27+). In addition, the report holds a negative belief about the quality and availability of information regarding improvements and criticizes the methods of delivery for these services as inadequate. A similar view which supports the criticism above holds the governments responsible for spending billions of dollars on "e-enabling" of vertical silos or stovepipes since 1990s disregarding citizens' needs (CS Transform White Paper, 2010). Yet, there are endeavors to become more citizen-centric instead of one-size-fits-all approaches and thus applying methods for user needs identification and more segmentation for personalization.

Differences in uptake of e-government services across European countries seem to be independent from the quality and quantity of the supply (OECD, 2009). The data show a gap between the supply and demand (use of e-government services) in general; in other words, suggesting a limited correlation between the provisions of sophisticated e-government services on the one hand and the take-up of e-government services on the other. This signals a broader and diversified situation. Therefore, unlike studies grounded on behavioral theories of technology acceptance, and to provide

more generalizable cause and effect relationship, this paper seeks answers in analyzing panel data from different sources by employing T-test and Mann-Whitney U analyses.

## 2. BACKGROUND AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT

# 2.1. Does Providing User-Centric and Sophisticated E-Services Ensure High Take-Up?

Though e-government has been recognized as a vital ingredient for efficient and effective governments, its successful acceptance and adoption by citizens still remains an elusive phenomenon (Srivastava & Teo, 2005). There are different views about e-service adoption in e-government literature. One claim is that the provision of egovernment services in many countries is still far from reaching full effectiveness (Moon, 2002; Reddick, 2004; Wescott, 2002) and is still supplied to citizens and organizations through traditional channels often cost-intensive way since either all appropriate services may not match electronic delivery or the preference of conventional ways of interacting by lots of citizens. But still, particularly with countries that had already transformed many of their services into advanced levels such as Ireland, Malta, Austria and Portugal (all at 100%), followed closely by Sweden, Germany and Italy (all at 99%), the adoption rates of e-services use are relatively low (9th Benchmark Report, 2010, p.33). There are arguments about "administrative approach" (Ho, 2002; 9th Benchmark Report, 2010) of the service delivery. Contrary to their disclosures of citizen-centered services in their national strategies, most of the countries continued the use a 'pull' rather than 'push or pro-active' model of service delivery; and the resistance of silos to enable cross-agency information visibility and service delivery is still a challenge (9th Benchmark Report, 2010, p. 104). Nevertheless there are some

# 9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-reasons-of-low-e-government-take-up-ineurope/107173

#### **Related Content**

#### A Heuristic Model to Implement Government-to-Government Projects

Luis Antonio Joia (2007). *International Journal of Electronic Government Research (pp. 1-18)*. www.irma-international.org/article/heuristic-model-implement-government-government/2024

#### A Review of the Factors Affecting User Satisfaction in Electronic Government Services

Vishanth Weerakkody, Zahir Irani, Habin Lee, Nitham Hindiand Ibrahim Osman (2014). *International Journal of Electronic Government Research (pp. 21-56)*.

www.irma-international.org/article/a-review-of-the-factors-affecting-user-satisfaction-in-electronic-government-services/122482

## E-Government Approaches to Facilitate the Process of Democracy in the Administration of Bangladesh: Rhetoric or Reality

Musleh Uddin Ahmedand Md. Abir Hasan Khan (2016). *Trends, Prospects, and Challenges in Asian E-Governance (pp. 127-138).* 

 $\underline{www.irma-international.org/chapter/e-government-approaches-to-facilitate-the-process-of-democracy-in-the-administration-of-bangladesh/140365$ 

#### The Promise of Digital Government

G. David Garson (2004). *Digital Government: Principles and Best Practices (pp. 2-15).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/promise-digital-government/8380

## From Conception to Demise: Implications for Users of Information Systems in Changing a Local Parastatal Educational Institution in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

Sam Lubbeand Shawren Singh (2009). Handbook of Research on Strategies for Local E-Government Adoption and Implementation: Comparative Studies (pp. 832-862).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/conception-demise-implications-users-information/21495