Chapter 27 About the Use of the DMs in CLIL Classes

Anna Consonni

Ufficio Scolastico Regionale per il Veneto, Italy

ABSTRACT

This chapter is a contribution to the discussion regarding the implementation of the CLIL methodology in school classes, particularly as far as the traditional tension between language and content learning is concerned. It starts with an overview of the development and diffusion of this methodology in European schools, lingers over what is going on in the countries of the MIH project (Spain, Italy, Germany, Poland, France, and Austria), and finally, it focuses on its results, the Digital Modules. It shows how it is possible to overcome the above-mentioned dichotomy, language versus contents, by using a cultural approach that enables students to move their own thinking forward. In fact, even if the basic principle of the CLIL is that the content comes before the language, in school practices this is not always the case, as documented in several surveys.

INTRODUCTION

Do Coyle, one of the major experts in CLIL, stresses the strong cognitive value of this approach. She says that learning contents in another language asks teachers to revisit the language, to model it such a way as to promote conceptualizing the learning. Following the traditional theories regarding learning languages, the input must be clear to the learners (Krashen, 1987) as well as their outputs must be comprehensible (Swain, 1985). This is possible only if both the meaning and its expression are understood.

the content teachers are not trained and depend on the expertise of their language colleagues. This situation is well known by the school staff, by the students and their families as well as by the policy makers. It is not by chance that both the Council of Europe and the European Commission have been promoting the CLIL since the 90s, when they

realized that many kids having learnt at school a

In most of the EU countries, except for the regions characterized by bilingualism, usually

CLIL classes are attended by pupils who started

learning a second language quite late (between 8

and 11) and for few hours a week. Moreover, often

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-6042-7.ch027

foreign language for eight years or more could hardly string a sentence together, as David Marsh says in an interview available on the Web¹.

The low competence in foreign languages of the students starting a CLIL experience, and sometimes also of the teachers, combined with the insufficient number of language hours scheduled, obliges teachers to translate the content from the language 2 to the 1 and vice-versa, in spite of the prevalence of the communicative method of teaching language. In any case, it is not sure that the communicative method is recommended in the CLIL, as far as the contents to be taught are complex and far away from the life situations commonly used in language learning. The teachers' experiences clearly show that they always have to translate from L1 to L2 or vice-versa and explain punctually the different ways to express the meaning in the two languages. However, this practice provides two positive consequences:

- 1. The emerging of the meaning from its first intuitive phase to its full awareness, which is not always the case in one's own mother tongue, as the learner can "feel" the meaning even if he can't exactly express it;
- 2. The affirmation of the principle of interpretance (Eco, 1993), by which the natural language serves also as meta-language, so that it is possible to compare the semantic system of the language 1 to the language 2's one.

This second point introduces another topic, which is the social and historical construction of the language and its cultural aspects. This is a very important issue, because, even if everybody agrees that in the CLIL the contents prevail over language learning, it is worth pointing out that the major experts in this field come from language studies and training and, mainly, from the training of English as foreign language teachers. On the other hand, it is to be stressed that the political impulsion given to the CLIL by the European

authorities stemmed from the need to improve the language competences of the European citizens.

As a consequence, the CLIL studies focus on language issues and take little notice of the cultural ones, as well as the materials available, except for those produced by the teachers by taking into account the needs of the their classes, tend to translate contents and exercises from the curricular L1 textbooks to L2 ones without adapting the approach to the different needs (Coonan & Marangon, 2006).

The state of the art of the CLIL in Europe indicates two points:

- 1. English is the dominant language in CLIL experiences.
- 2. The subjects involved are mathematics, sciences, and social sciences.

The first point does not stun. Even if the European policies go to the opposite direction, by sustaining minorities languages and, in general, supporting the diffusion of all the languages spoken within the EU borders, the dominant position of English is undeniable. The second point has to be analyzed together with the first one. In fact, mathematics and sciences not only have their own symbolic language which limits the role of the verbal language in learning, but they also confirm the importance to study English because the international scientific literature is more and more published in this language. The same thing can be said for social sciences, economics, and geography. On the contrary, it is much more difficult to deal with philosophy and history in a foreign language, so that it is quite frequent to use a mix of history, human geography, sociology, and economics in CLIL, but impossible to find CLIL experiences involving the great topics of history and philosophy.

Starting from these preliminary remarks, the MIH project intended to provide materials that could motivate and support teachers in approaching these great topics, such as national 18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/about-the-use-of-the-dms-in-clil-classes/108739

Related Content

Amazon Mechanical Turk: A Web-Based Tool for Facilitating Experimental Research in ANLP

Amber Chauncey Strainand Lucille M. Booker (2012). Cross-Disciplinary Advances in Applied Natural Language Processing: Issues and Approaches (pp. 90-102).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/amazon-mechanical-turk/64582

Information Extraction from Text and Beyond

Marie-Francine Moens (2012). Cross-Disciplinary Advances in Applied Natural Language Processing: Issues and Approaches (pp. 24-39).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/information-extraction-text-beyond/64578

Digital Filters for Digital Audio Effects

Gordana Jovanovic Dolecekand Alfonso Fernandez-Vazquez (2007). Advances in Audio and Speech Signal Processing: Technologies and Applications (pp. 22-55).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/digital-filters-digital-audio-effects/4682

A Geometric Dynamic Temporal Reasoning Method with Tags for Cognitive Systems

Rui Xu, Zhaoyu Li, Pingyuan Cui, Shengying Zhuand Ai Gao (2020). *Natural Language Processing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 248-265).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-geometric-dynamic-temporal-reasoning-method-with-tags-for-cognitive-systems/239939

Fair Use Defences During Copyright Litigation: Is the Success of a Fair Use Defence Strategy Predictable?

Michael D'Rosario (2020). Natural Language Processing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 539-560).

 $\underline{www.irma-international.org/chapter/fair-use-defences-during-copyright-litigation/239954}$