

IT Governance



Hans P. Borgman

ESC-Rennes School of Business, France

INTRODUCTION

This article examines the theoretical perspectives and current insights as well as open issues regarding information technology (IT) governance. The term first emerged in the academic and practitioner literature in 1992 (Loh & Venkatraman, 1992) when the first major IT outsourcing contracts were signed, creating the need for organizations to more explicitly ‘govern’ their IT arrangements from a relatively straightforward internal IT department to a complex hybrid arrangement crossing the organizational boundary. Conceptually, the term can be traced back to the areas of corporate governance, strategic information systems, and (to a lesser extent) IT management (Webb, Pollard, & Ridley, 2006). Where corporate governance is about decision making rights and responsibilities in the interest of the organization’s shareholders and other stakeholders (OECD Publishing, 2004), IT governance is about the decision rights and accountability framework to encourage desirable behavior in the use of IT by an organization (Peter Weill & Ross, 2004).

Since 1992, the importance of IT governance has increased significantly, as evidenced by a stream of literature (see the next section for an overview), and executives and researchers alike agree that IT governance today plays a vital role for corporate success. Beyond outsourcing (complex offshoring and outsourcing have become common since 1992), the following set of drivers has contributed to this increased importance:

- Increasing IT pervasiveness: executives find it increasingly difficult to avoid or delegate IT decision making (De Haes & Van Grembergen, 2009; Peterson, 2004). A mounting number of strategic IT issues require cross-functional perspectives and business input for resolution;
- Compliance requirements: IT must accommodate legislative compliance that typically

requires detailed audit trails. Perhaps the best example is the so-called SOX compliance, referring to the US Sarbanes-Oxley Act, which was prompted by the failure and demise of Enron in 2002. Sarbanes-Oxley focuses on enhanced corporate governance through improved internal controls - requiring and emphasizing IT accountability and imposing new IT governance requirements (Brown & Grant, 2005);

- ROI pressure: IT investments have a notoriously bad track record when it comes to demonstrating measurable value to the business. The low success rate of IT development and implementation projects is widely published and researched: the Standish Group (The Standish Group, 2013) reports that, using 2012 data from a global set of thousands of ‘small’ IT projects, only 39% of these can be considered successful (on time, on budget and with required features), 43% challenged, and 18% failed (projects cancelled or results never used), with overall average cost overruns of 59% and time overruns of 74%. The success rate reported by the Standish Group has not significantly improved over the last 15 years. Although these studies have received criticism (Eveleens & Verhoef, 2010), the general consensus is that the failure rate of IT projects is very high. Many of the factors explaining the failure rate relate to unclear roles and responsibilities within and between the IS and business people involved. In addition, those projects that successfully ‘go live’ subsequently fail to demonstrate organizational benefits due to poor alignment between IS and business strategies (Kearns & Sabherwal, 2007).

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-5888-2.ch268

- Strategic IT sourcing: beyond outsourcing (which has seen an enormous growth since the original Kodak-IBM deal discussed in the aforementioned 1992 article by Loh and Venkatraman), executives are now faced with more complex offshoring and outsourcing arrangements that also include cloud-based offerings of platforms, infrastructures and applications (Heier, Borgman, & Bahli, 2012);
- Cost control: mounting human and financial consequences of IT put forward strong arguments for improved control processes. Nolan and McFarlan (2005) estimate that corporate information assets often make up more than 50% of capital investment budgets - given constantly changing technologies, as well as an increasingly complex business environment.

The following background section offers a more detailed overview and definition of IT governance and related concepts. Subsequent sections describe problems and solutions looking first 'upwards' at the link between IT governance and the overall business strategy and then 'downwards' at the link with IT management. This article finishes with future research directions and a conclusion.

BACKGROUND

Both narrow and broad definitions of IT governance exist (Webb et al., 2006), and their diversity reflects both the interdisciplinarity of this area as well as new insights and developments over the years. As the drivers mentioned in the previous section have become more important over the years, the emphasis in the definitions shifted from a focus on the link between end-users, information systems and the locus of decision-making regarding IT to a more comprehensive definition that is more linked to executive involvement with IT decision making.

An example of the earlier stream is the paper by Sambamurthy and Zmud (1999) where the authors develop a contingency model describing how certain internal and external forces promote particular organizational IT decision-making arrangements. The authors distinguish between the centralized, decentralized and federal mode.

An example of a more comprehensive definition is from Weill (2004) who defines IT governance as the framework for decision rights and accountabilities to encourage desirable behavior in the use of IT. Weill then identifies five major IT decisions that together constitute IT governance, going beyond Zmud's focus on organizational decision-making arrangements: IT principles, IT architecture, IT infrastructure strategies, IT investment and prioritization, and finally business application needs. His decision-making model is also more refined: he distinguishes between six IT governance archetypes that describe the people who are involved in making these decisions (business monarchy; IT monarchy; feudal, federal, IT duopoly and anarchy), ensuring alignment between IT investments and the business strategy of an organization, about the delivery of business value through IT, about accountability and about responsibly managing IT resources and risks.

In addition to academics, practitioners have also proposed definitions. Of particular importance is ISACA and its IT governance institute. ISACA (www.isaca.org) is an association dating back to 1967 aiming to support professionals with jobs involving auditing controls in computer systems. Over the years, ISACA's focus has expanded and shifted to include IT governance: they formed the IT governance institute in 1998 (www.itgi.org) to conduct research and training in this area. One of the outcomes is the development of a framework, called 'control objectives for information and related technologies', better known as the COBIT framework. Although originally designed as a framework with best practices to manage IT from the perspective of senior IT management (with a focus on risks and controls), COBIT has evolved into a more comprehensive IT governance framework that is also aimed at general management (albeit from a clear IT control perspective). COBIT 5 describes IT governance as 'the board's ability to direct and control the enterprise's use of IT resources in line with strategic goals' (IT Governance Institute, 2012).

For this article a comprehensive definition is adopted: *IT governance is the set of enabling mechanisms to request, prioritize, sponsor, fund, monitor, and enforce IT investment decisions* (Heier, Borgman, & Hofbauer, 2008; Korac-Kakabadse & Kakabadse, 2001). This definition is chosen as it is close to both the well-accepted Weill and COBIT definitions and it offers a process-focus linking IT Governance to business strategy as well as to IT management.

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/it-governance/112693

Related Content

The Holon/Parton Structure of the Meme, or The Unit of Culture

J. T. Velikovsky (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition* (pp. 4666-4678).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-holonparton-structure-of-the-meme-or-the-unit-of-culture/184173

Fault Analysis Method of Active Distribution Network Under Cloud Edge Architecture

Bo Dong, Ting-jin Sha, Hou-ying Song, Hou-kai Zhao and Jian Shang (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/fault-analysis-method-of-active-distribution-network-under-cloud-edge-architecture/321738

Business Analytics in Franchising

Ye-Sho Chen, Baozhou Lu and Qingfeng Zeng (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 5018-5028).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/business-analytics-in-franchising/112950

Research on Singular Value Decomposition Recommendation Algorithm Based on Data Filling

Yarong Liu, Feiyang Huang, Xiaolan Xie and Haibin Huang (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/research-on-singular-value-decomposition-recommendation-algorithm-based-on-data-filling/320222

Particle Swarm Optimization from Theory to Applications

M.A. El-Shorbagy and Aboul Ella Hassanien (2018). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 1-24).

www.irma-international.org/article/particle-swarm-optimization-from-theory-to-applications/197378