

# Online Instruction as a Caring Endeavor

Jeanine E. Gangeness

*Bemidji State University, USA*

## ONLINE NURSING INSTRUCTION: CREATING A CARING ATMOSPHERE

Online instruction has been approached with caution by nursing. Concerns range from unfamiliarity with online pedagogy to the potential for decreased student connectedness. Being unfamiliar with the online format can be overcome through a series of self-training, taking courses online, and dedication to the process. Student connectedness is basic to nursing and a caring and compassionate profession. It has been argued that an online format will create an atmosphere of disconnectedness and isolation. To combat this disconnectedness and isolation, an atmosphere of caring is required in the online classroom. This article considers the basics of both creating an atmosphere of caring and concepts that support the online pedagogy.

Caring is the essence of nursing and should be conveyed in the instruction of nurses. Online instruction can facilitate a caring atmosphere, when done properly, and promote growth for student nurses. Grounding this notion is a brief discussion of various nursing concepts and theories on caring, and how the key elements of some of these theories relate directly to the outcomes of online instruction. In addition to the concept of caring and relating this to online instruction, a connection to the supporting concepts of trust and professional nurse autonomy are intimately connecting to caring and online instruction. This connection illustrates the foundational results of online instruction and how these are indeed the same elements of the concept of caring.

## BACKGROUND: CARING THEORY AND CONCEPT

Caring is the very essence of nursing (Watson, 1999). A caring atmosphere demonstrates to student nurses the importance of caring in all aspects of nursing practice, including nursing courses. In a meta-analysis of theories of caring in nursing, McCance, McKenna and Boore (1999) discuss the work of Leininger, Watson,

Roach, and Boykin and Schoenhofer. They conclude that a human element is present in all of these theories. Roach focuses on the five Cs of caring; compassion, competence, confidence, conscience, and commitment (McCance et al., 1999). Boykin and Schoenhofer stress the importance of truly knowing another, as well as identifying unique forms of caring (McCance et al., 1999). The concepts outlined by Boykin and Schoenhofer, as well as Roach, connect nicely with online instruction.

In addition to the theories of caring, studies of how students learn and perceive caring in nursing have been studied. The students identified caring as a process, and as a connection through lived experiences (Wilkin & Slevin, 2003). Watson and Lea (1997) quantitatively define caring with their caring dimensions inventory [CDI], and specifically found a high level of validity and reliability to items that relate to the connectedness achieved in both patient-nurse relationships, and these coincide with the online faculty-student, student-student relationships. These items were “listening to the patient,” “getting to know the patient as a person,” “involving the patient with his or her care,” and “explaining a clinical procedure” (Watson & Lea, 1997, pp. 88, 91). These are all items that are important aspects to caring in nursing, and can translate well to the online instructional environment.

## MAIN FOCUS: CONNECTION TO ONLINE INSTRUCTION

Translating from the caring concept in nursing to the online instructional environment involves first outlining the elements of an online classroom. In a longitudinal qualitative study, McShane (2004) interviews online instructors to determine emerging themes of their experiences in the online instruction realm. A predominate theme was “enhanced relationships with students”; this was made possible through first introductions of faculty and students, then with further discussion postings and the ability to go back and recall the au-

tobiographical information that student had provided. This ability to have permanent information on students alleviates the need for faculty to recall, on the spot (in face-to-face courses), the information that students provide as introductions to themselves. Additionally, there is the removal of the face-to-face bias between ages; in written communication everyone is taken at “face” value. “[Computer Mediated Communication] CMC makes it easier to... relate to the whole person” (McShane, 2004, p. 8). Glahn and Gen (2002) support this communication theme in online instruction, and identify asynchronous communication as the hallmark of online instruction. In addition to the communication improvement of online instruction, there is a sense of mentorship that online instructors adopt as a form of instruction (Glahn & Gen, 2002; McShane, 2004). Mentorship requires frequent interaction with students, and solid, comprehensive feedback on assignments. This respectful form of instruction allows for students to learn from other students, as well as the traditional student from instructor model. Additionally, the students and instructors can “recall” interactions and information by going back to see the interaction. Communication and relationship are the very foundation of online instruction; similarly they are also the foundation of caring.

The foundations of caring in nursing, according to Roach, involve the five “Cs: compassion, competence, confidence, conscience, and commitment (McCance et al., 1999). One of the qualities of compassion is “a quality of presence which allows one to share with and make room for the other” (McCance et al., 1999, p. 1391). The use of discussion, sharing of ideas, and support through group work in online courses encourages the compassion that is described by Roach. Competence is another quality that focuses on knowledge, skills, experience, and judgment; these are all supported by the interplay that is foundational to a well-constructed and administered discussion in the online course. Another of the “Cs” in Roach’s conceptualization of caring is confidence; this focuses on the building of trusting relationships. Trust is identified as a supporting concept of caring, and is focused on later in this discussion (McCance et al., 1999). Considering another nursing conceptualization of caring, Boykin and Schoenhofer specifically outline the importance of a quality relationship and truly knowing another, and identifying unique forms of caring in care providers as important characteristics (McCance et al., 1999).

As supported by McShane (2004), the relationship between instructor and student can be enhanced with online instruction, removing age biases that are evident in face-to-face interaction, improving access between instructor and student for questions, notifications, and feedback, thereby enhancing a mentor-type relationship. As online instruction becomes more and more common, this unique form of care, trust, mentorship, and professional autonomy will be better accepted and considered mainstream.

## FUTURE TRENDS: SUPPORTING CONCEPTS

Trust and professional autonomy are two of the main supporting concepts for caring. These supporting concepts emerge as consequences of a well-constructed online course. The connections between caring, trust, professional autonomy, and online instruction are remarkably synchronous.

Trust is a concept that is congruent with a caring atmosphere, and requires those involved in the relationship to give of themselves to create and sustain this relationship. Hupcey, Penrod, Morse, and Mitcham (2001) identify the attributes of trust as:

*Dependency on another individual to have a need met; choice or willingness to take some risk; an expectation that the trusted individual will behave in a certain way; limited focus to the area or behavior related to the need; and testing of the trustworthiness of the individual.* (p. 290)

Online instructors establish a trusting relationship with the students, and students reciprocate with their responsiveness and interactivity with both the instructor and other students. This relationship includes providing direction and outcomes to the course. How this content is developed, and the interaction that occurs, involves the students, their interests, the exploration of their interests, as well as the mentoring and focusing of the instructor during discussion and evaluation exercises.

This relationship involves an initial risk taken by the instructor, then risks taken by students. These risks include the information shared online. Instructors have communicated the risks they take by putting themselves and the information they develop “out there” on the

2 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:  
[www.igi-global.com/chapter/online-instruction-caring-endeavor/11944](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/online-instruction-caring-endeavor/11944)

## Related Content

---

### Adapting a Face-To-Face Competence Framework for Digital Competence Assessment

Teresa Torres-Coronas and M. Arántzazu Vidal-Blasco (2011). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education* (pp. 60-69).

[www.irma-international.org/article/adapting-face-face-competence-framework/49710](http://www.irma-international.org/article/adapting-face-face-competence-framework/49710)

### Applying Contextual Design to Educational Software Development

Mark Notess (2008). *Online and Distance Learning: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1325-1343).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/applying-contextual-design-educational-software/27468](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/applying-contextual-design-educational-software/27468)

### ICT Integration Efforts in Higher Education in Developing Economies: The Case of Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia

Dawit Tibebe, Tridib Bandyopadhyay and Solomon Negash (2009). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education* (pp. 34-58).

[www.irma-international.org/article/ict-integration-efforts-higher-education/3984](http://www.irma-international.org/article/ict-integration-efforts-higher-education/3984)

### A New Taxonomy for Evaluation Studies of Online Collaborative Learning

Lesley Treleaven (2008). *Online and Distance Learning: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 3449-3464).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/new-taxonomy-evaluation-studies-online/27647](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/new-taxonomy-evaluation-studies-online/27647)

### CAL Student Coaching Environment and Virtual Reality in Mechanical Engineering

S. Manjit Sidhu, N. Selvanathan and S. Ramesh (2006). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education* (pp. 12-27).

[www.irma-international.org/article/cal-student-coaching-environment-virtual/2277](http://www.irma-international.org/article/cal-student-coaching-environment-virtual/2277)