

Research on the E-Teacher in the K-12 Distance Education Classroom

Elizabeth Murphy

Memorial University of Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada

María A. Rodríguez-Manzanares

Memorial University of Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada

INTRODUCTION

Compared to the post-secondary level, distance education at the elementary and secondary levels has received little attention from researchers (Kapitzke & Pendergast, 2005; Smith, Clark, & Blomeyer, 2005). This lack of attention is of concern given the rapid and broad growth of this form of education. In the United States, online education programs are experiencing rapid growth. For example, during the academic year 2005-2006, more than 90,000 middle and high school students were enrolled in state virtual schools in the Southern Regional Education Board, which represented a 100% increase in enrollments from the previous year (Southern Regional Education Board, 2006).

While we might assume that research from contexts of post-secondary may inform K-12 distance education, Cavanaugh, Gillan, Kromrey, Hess, and Blomeyer (2004) caution against this assumption as follows: "The temptation may be to attempt to apply or adapt findings from studies of K-12 classroom learning or of adult distance learning, but K-12 distance education is fundamentally unique" (p. 4). The authors further observed that, although research in this area "is maturing" (p. 17), it has only been studied since about 1999. The current "explosion in virtual schools" (p. 6) creates a compelling rationale for continued efforts to conduct research on K-12 distance education.

BACKGROUND

Typically, distance education research has evidenced a preoccupation with comparisons of the face-to-face versus the distance education classroom (Gunawardena & McIsaac, 2004). This research reflects a tradition of media comparison studies which, argue McIsaac and Gunawardena (1996), have resulted in "very little useful

guidance for distance education practice" (Research related to media in distance education section, ¶ 2). Lockee, Moore, and Burton (2001) remind us why we should be cautious about drawing conclusions based on media comparisons:

Comparing a face-to-face course to a Web-based course doesn't tell us anything about what the teacher or students did in a face-to-face class, or what strategies the Web-based event employed. Perhaps a Web-based event succeeded because students engaged in collaborative problem-solving compared to students in the face-to-face setting who simply received information through lectures. (Instructional Strategies section)

The lack of usefulness of this focus in research may be due to its underlying assumptions that the technology, and not the teaching, is the determinant of effectiveness. Sabelli (2004) observed that studies of distance education need to concentrate, not on whether distance education is effective, but on why. Likewise, Morrison (2001) argued that investigation of the effectiveness of distance education should not compare distance and face-to-face delivery, but should focus on quality instruction that results in student achievement equivalent to courses delivered by other means. According to Cavanaugh et al. (2004), in a context of virtual schooling, effectiveness can be assessed in terms of teacher quality.

In spite of the need to investigate teacher quality in this context, in fact, "there is little empirical research specifically focused on K-12 teachers and teaching in distance education courses" (Clark, 2003, p. 692). Cavanaugh et al. (2004) argued in relation to distance education that "teacher effectiveness is a strong determiner of differences in student learning" (p. 20). Likewise, Sherry (1996) explained that the most important factor for successful distance educa-

tion is a “caring, concerned teacher who is confident, experienced, at ease with the equipment, uses the media creatively, and maintains a high level of interactivity with the students” (Systems of distance education section, ¶ 4). If we want to learn about the effectiveness of this growing form of education, we need to focus more research efforts on understanding the practice of the e-teacher. Specifically, argue Cavanaugh et al., what is needed is “research that guides practitioners in refining practice so the most effective methods are used” (p. 6).

RESEARCH ON THE K-12 E-TEACHER

A limited number of studies have been conducted thus far on the practice of the e-teacher in the K-12 classroom. These have primarily resulted from either evaluations (e.g., Mills, 2002; Pendergast & Kapitzke, 2004; Zucker & Kozma, 2003) and masters’ theses or doctoral dissertations (e.g., Litke, 1998b; Muirhead, 2000b; Smith, 2000). Some of the studies may not have been designed to focus specifically on the e-teacher but on virtual schooling in general. These studies provide some insight into the practice of the e-teacher in the K-12 distance education classroom.

One of the earliest examples is a case study of a virtual program in a junior secondary school in Alberta, Canada (Litke, 1998a, 1998b) which reported on the perceptions of e-teachers. Findings revealed that they faced many issues including dealing with an increased workload, being overwhelmed by change, coping with technical problems, and building positive working relationships with students and parents. Other issues related to programs having been designed for face-to-face instead of for online use as well as a shortage of appropriate learning resources. The teachers also identified a perceived lack of authority in the online environment evident in “the loss of teachable moments, the absence of relationships between both students and teachers and students, the loss of discussion and stories, and the adherence to deadlines” (1998a, Discussion: Teachers’ Perceptions section, ¶ 2). The author concluded that the biggest barrier to becoming a successful online educator was beliefs and attitudes and that “the teachers struggled to learn to teach online” (Discussion: Teachers’ Perceptions section, ¶ 5).

One year after Litke’s study, Downs and Moller (1999) published their findings related to the experi-

ences of students, administrators, and one teacher in a high-school distance education course in New York State. The authors found that the teacher’s efforts and ability played an important role in dealing with challenges related to simultaneously teaching and managing technology and that the teacher’s characteristics contribute to the success of distance education in general. One of the questions that arose out of the research was how a teacher’s style might need to change in order to move from a traditional classroom environment to an online one.

In the context of her doctoral studies, Smith (2000) investigated virtual schools in Alberta, Canada. Part of her study focused on e-teacher satisfaction (N=18) in relation to workload and hiring practices. The findings (see Smith, 2000; Hunter & Smith, 2002) focused on concerns about demanding workloads resulting from e-mail communication, course development, the need to keep up with technology and pedagogy, a lack of tracking systems, and a lack of preparation time. Teacher satisfaction resulted from new approaches to delivery; the opportunity to work in innovative, creative environments; opportunities for collaboration and communication and for the development of technology integration; time and space flexibility; and camaraderie. Smith also described a changing role for the teacher whereby the student becomes both student and teacher.

Like Smith, Muirhead’s (2000a, 2000b) doctoral research focused on distance education in a K-12 context. The author conducted interviews over a six-month period to investigate the perceptions of 14 e-teachers in Alberta, Canada. Muirhead identified challenges, one of which involved the addition of new tasks such as authoring online courses while being responsible for teaching. Another challenge noted for e-teachers was the unreliability of computer technology as well as a lack of integrated content-development tools. Other challenges identified included the altering of teachers’ relationships with others, the need to make adjustments to cope with time pressures, unease with adapting to changing roles and responsibilities, coping with workload stress, feeling overwhelmed with a need to master new skills and knowledge, and the existence of strained feelings between e-teachers and traditional teachers.

A longer and more comprehensive study was completed by Barker and Wendel in 2001. The authors spent three years focused on virtual secondary schools in three Canadian provinces. As part of their findings,

3 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/research-teacher-distance-education-classroom/11988

Related Content

An Engineering Approach for Online Learning

Lorna Uden (2003). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 63-77).

www.irma-international.org/article/engineering-approach-online-learning/1604

Web 2.0 Meets Conference: The EduCamp as a New Format of Participation and Exchange in the World of Education

Thomas Bernhardt and Marcel Kirchner (2010). *Looking Toward the Future of Technology-Enhanced Education: Ubiquitous Learning and the Digital Native* (pp. 192-204).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/web-meets-conference/40734

Media and Women in Technology

Mara H. Washburn (2009). *Information Communication Technologies for Enhanced Education and Learning: Advanced Applications and Developments* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/media-women-technology/22629

Emerging Trends in Skill-Based Education With MLearning and ERP

Manoj Shantikumar Killedar and Chetana Hemant Kamlaskar (2018). *Optimizing Open and Distance Learning in Higher Education Institutions* (pp. 313-335).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/emerging-trends-in-skill-based-education-with-mlearning-and-erp/183425

Evaluating Educational Technologies: A Historical Context

Manetta Calinger and Bruce C. Howard (2008). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education* (pp. 9-18).

www.irma-international.org/article/evaluating-educational-technologies/2356