

# School-Wide Factors Facilitating Technology Integration and Implementation

**Ronald E. Anderson**

*University of Minnesota, USA*

**Sara Dexter**

*University of Minnesota, USA*

## INTRODUCTION

We focus our remarks about recommendations for overcoming barriers to technology integration and implementation at the school level, that is, concerning elements that are associated with the overall school technology environment and shared by all the teachers at a school. These elements are usually beyond the control of any one teacher, but as a group the teachers at a school can, and do, influence the decisions and priority setting that would put these elements into place. The basis for these remarks are primarily from the findings of the Teaching, Learning, and Computing '98 national survey ([www.crito.uci.edu/tlc](http://www.crito.uci.edu/tlc)) and are further elaborated upon in Dexter, Anderson, and Ronnkvist (2002), who describe the quality technology support conditions that are associated with increased teacher and classroom uses of technology; Anderson and Dexter (2001), who note additional technology organization attributes under administrators that influence the emergence of a technology-supported culture or community; and Ronnkvist and Anderson (2001) and Dexter and Seashore (2001), who identify professional community as a mechanism for increasing teachers' learning about, and integration of, technology.

## QUALITY TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT

Part of what makes teachers' integration activities feasible or not is the quality of technology support at a school. Dexter et al. (2002) describe technology support as encompassing both technical and instructional domains. In both of these domains, teachers need facilities, staff support, incentives, and opportunities to provide feedback (see Table 1).

The presence of high-quality technology support programs is correlated to increased use of educational

technology by teachers in their own work, by their students in classrooms, and by self-reported increased usage over time. High-quality support was defined as: 1) customized one-on-one help; 2) frequent teacher participation in ongoing; technology-oriented professional support among teacher peers; 3) professional development content that emphasizes the instructional, and not just the technical, needs of teachers; and 4) access to a broad range of technology resources.

## PROFESSIONAL COMMUNITY

The terms *professional community*, *professional development*, and *professional culture* are often used interchangeably, especially when used to describe teachers in schools. We use the term "professional community" here consistent with the new "school as a learning community" movement. Specifically, professional community involves reflective dialogue, deprivatized practice, collaborating with other teachers, and shared values and teaching goals. In a recent report Ronnkvist and Anderson (2001) found that school-level professional community is important to technology integration. This was a consistent finding even when the model is controlled for technology management structures, as well as shared vision between key stakeholders. Hence, schools where teachers have a high degree of professional community do in fact have higher levels of technology integration. This research supplements the findings in the other sections on leadership and support by identifying an additional mechanism essential to the effectiveness of technology leadership: it reinforces the importance of organizational culture and learning for the effectiveness of school technology programs.

From case studies of schools identified as exemplary in their implementation of technology-supported schooling, Dexter and Seashore (2001) found an unusu-

*Table 1. Technology support content and resources used to deliver technology services to teachers*

Resource Type	Technical Domain	Instructional Domain
Facilities	Network and Internet access, hardware, software	Content-area specific software, communications access to pedagogical expertise
Staff assistance and necessary services	Technical support, help desk, network services	Instructional expertise and background of support personnel
One-on-one personal guidance, help	Computer experts for trouble-shooting	Guided practice, consultation for curriculum integration
Professional development	Operating equipment, general software, etc.	Pedagogy, models implementation Strategies
Incentives	Release time; free hardware, software and network access; anticipation of expert status	Release time, support focusing on instructional content

ally high level of professional community, especially its component of deprivatized practice. Preliminary analysis of these data suggest that the teachers' shared goal to use technology in support of student learning, along with excellent technology access and support, was mutually supportive of their willingness to share with one another their failures as well as successes with technology, and facilitated their learning and integration efforts. When a school staff has habits of discussing the ways technology is used and supported, it appears they identify ways to make the technology environment at the school more conducive to effective use.

## **STRONG TECHNOLOGY LEADERSHIP**

Charismatic administrators and enthusiastic teachers contribute to technology integration, but it is even more essential for a school to become a "technology learning organization," where administrators, teachers, students, and parents together work on how best to adapt new technologies to the improvement of learning. Anderson and Dexter (2001) found that school technology leadership, as defined in terms of a variety

of supportive administrative actions, is necessary for effective technology applications to pervade the school community. They found that infrastructure (funding and amount of equipment and access) is important, but for it to become part of the school culture, school leadership is necessary, in fact, even more essential. Technology integration was measured by: 1) integration of technology in teaching, 2) network and Internet utilization, and 3) student use of application tools. For technology to become an integral part of a school, it not only is necessary to help teachers use the technology, but to have administrators involved in it, too. Based upon the nationwide research, the following organizational attributes are needed in a school; however, not all are required simultaneously or in every instance:

- technology committee, which refers to whether a school had a computer technology committee;
- technology budget, in which the school has sole discretionary authority;
- principal days, where the principal spends quite a number of days annually on technology planning, maintenance, or administration;

1 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:  
[www.igi-global.com/chapter/school-wide-factors-facilitating-technology/11998](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/school-wide-factors-facilitating-technology/11998)

## Related Content

---

### Aphasic Communities of Learning on the Web

Marc Spaniol, Ralf Klamma, Luise Springerand Matthias Jarke (2006). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 31-45).

[www.irma-international.org/article/aphasic-communities-learning-web/1668](http://www.irma-international.org/article/aphasic-communities-learning-web/1668)

### Workplace Learning on the Internet

Gary A. Berg (2005). *Encyclopedia of Distance Learning* (pp. 2064-2066).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/workplace-learning-internet/12393](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/workplace-learning-internet/12393)

### One-to-One Video-Conferencing Education

Hock Chuan Chan, Bernard C.Y. Tanand Wei-Ping Tan (2008). *Online and Distance Learning: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1496-1503).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/one-one-video-conferencing-education/27486](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/one-one-video-conferencing-education/27486)

### The Educational Affordances of Mobile Instant Messaging (MIM): Results of Whatsapp® Used in Higher Education

Amarolinda Zanela Klein, José Carlos da Silva Freitas Junior, Juliana Vitória Vieira Mattiello Mattiello da Silva, Jorge Luis Victória Barbosaand Lucas Baldasso (2018). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 51-64).

[www.irma-international.org/article/the-educational-affordances-of-mobile-instant-messaging-mim/201861](http://www.irma-international.org/article/the-educational-affordances-of-mobile-instant-messaging-mim/201861)

### Societal Issues, Legal Standards, & International Realities Universities Face in the Distance-Learning Market

Robert Hogan (2010). *Distance Learning Technology, Current Instruction, and the Future of Education: Applications of Today, Practices of Tomorrow* (pp. 284-301).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/societal-issues-legal-standards-international/39462](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/societal-issues-legal-standards-international/39462)