E-Collaboration: A Dynamic Enterprise Model

Eric Torkia

Technology Partnerz, Ltd., Canada

Luc Cassivi

University of Quebec - Montreal, Canada

INTRODUCTION

Over the last 10 years or so, we have been witnessing a major paradigm shift from the information age to the relationship age (see Table 1). According to Galbreath (2002), the relationship age is truly about the value of the relationships a firm maintains and manages. Customers, employees, suppliers and partners all contribute synergistically to the economic output of the firm. Ashkenas, Ulrich, Todd, and Kerr (1995) put it more formally by saying that many organizations were faced with a rate of change that exceeded their capability to respond, and that they had to attempt to retool their organization in order to meet an entirely new set of criteria for success. Hence, a firm carrying out business in the Relationship Age is essentially focusing on improving one or more of the success factors such as speed of execution, process and product flexibility, knowledge integration, and ability to innovate new and profitable processes, products, or services (Ashkenas et al., 1995).

The primary focus of the information age firms was internal integration of resources and information. The companies evolving in the relationship age are different because they also focus on external integration with its partners, suppliers, employees, regulators, customers, investors, market analysts, trade associations, and other entities that influence the general business climate in which a given firm operates (Galbreath, 2002).

The concept of the relationship age is an important one because it underscores the many changes that have been prompted by the Internet and global competitiveness requirements. It also highlights the need for an organization to develop new competencies in the areas of internal and external collaboration. In order to be able to develop a collaborative organization, it

is important to understand what it should look like. To this affect, Logan and Stokes (2004) state that a collaborative organization comprises the following characteristics:

- 1. The values and objectives of employees and management are aligned
- 2. A climate of mutual trust and respect exists
- 3. The knowledge of all the staff, customers, and suppliers is shared and pooled to optimize the organization's operations and opportunities
- 4. Decision making is more decentralized than it is in most current organizations and more stakeholders in the organization play a role in defining the direction in which the organization moves
- 5. Hierarchical structures are kept to a minimum; the company is managed democratically by consensus rather than by command and control

Browne and Zhang (1999) also define the collaborative organization and its context:

Today's organizational boundaries are blurring, partnerships with suppliers, clients and even competitors are commonplace, and quality and efficiency issues extend well beyond the traditional enterprise boundary. [...] Information flow between business partners can be seamlessly and effectively facilitated. Individual companies work together to form inter-enterprise networks across the product value chain, in order to survive and achieve business successes.

Furthermore, most current approaches to e-collaboration seek to promote some or all of the following:

 The leveraging of partners business capabilities to achieve flexibility or performance within operations

Table 1. Characteristics of the various economic ages (Source: Galbreath, 2002)

Industrial Age

	1880 - 1985	1955 - 2000	1995 + Beyond
Characteristic	Industrial Age	Information Age	Relationship Age
Basis for value creation	Products	Information	Knowledge
Strategic Planning Cycle	5 years	3 years	Continuous
Management Structure	Centralized	Decentralized	Virtual
Key investments	Land & Machines	IS, IT and network/tele- com infrastructures	People and knowledge tools
Primary Strategic Resource	Raw materials	Information	Relationship Assets
Nature of production	Mass production	Specialization	Mass Customization & personalization
Economic output	Goods	Services	Experiences
Marketing, Sales and Service	Uniformity (push)	Segmentation	1-to-1 relationships
Pricing	Fixed	Flexible	Dynamic
Nature of competition	Distrust + Barriers to entry	Cooperation & Loose af- filiations	Trust & Collaboration
Basis for market valuation	Book value	Revenue/earnings mul- tiples	Market-to-book ratio and market capitaliza- tion

Information Age

- Decisions are business driven and not technology driven
- The use of cross-organizational processes
- The use of the Internet and Web technologies as process and capability enablers
- Tighter relationships with customers and suppliers to improve overall market economics and business performance

These similarities are not a coincidence; they are a "natural reaction to today's business environment!" (Champy, 2002). In line with the seminal works on interorganizational collaboration Galbreath (2002), Venkatraman (1994), and Venkatraman and Henderson (1998) further define Relationship Age businesses (collaborative organizations) as those that:

- Leverage knowledge about their network of relationships, including customers, employees, partners, suppliers and even investors
- Realize that the ability to create memorable experiences is often dependent on external resources,

leveraging the specialization of other market participants via outsourcing, risk/reward-sharing arrangements, and new alliances in order to create more compelling value propositions in the market

Relationship Age

Developing relationship age capabilities cannot occur in a vacuum. Some structure is required to create value, as was the case in the Industrial Age with Taylor's scientific analysis of work, and in the information age with systems thinking and processes. Now that we have moved into the relationship age, it seems appropriate to revisit some of the collaboration and e-collaboration models that are characteristic of the relationship age.

A brief overview of organizational theory relating to collaboration is presented in the next section. This overview touches upon resource and systems based theory as well as collaborative organization archetypes. Finally, the subsequent section introduces the dynamic enterprise alignment (DEA) model, which tries to 8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/collaboration-dynamic-enterprise-model/12429

Related Content

Towards an Automated Model to Evaluate Collaboration through Non-Verbal Interaction in Collaborative Virtual Environments

Luis Casillas, Adriana Peñaand Alfredo Gutierrez (2016). *International Journal of e-Collaboration (pp. 7-23).* www.irma-international.org/article/towards-an-automated-model-to-evaluate-collaboration-through-non-verbal-interaction-incollaborative-virtual-environments/164495

The Impact of the E-Collaborative and Traditional Learning Styles on Learning Outcomes and Anxiety

Yu Zhonggen (2016). International Journal of e-Collaboration (pp. 27-47).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-impact-of-the-e-collaborative-and-traditional-learning-styles-on-learning-outcomes-and-anxiety/159169

Using Virtual Worlds to Assist Distributed Teams

Clint Bowers, Peter A. Smith, Jan Cannon-Bowersand Denise Nicholson (2009). *E-Collaboration: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 505-521).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/using-virtual-worlds-assist-distributed/8809

Decision Making and Decision Support Within New Product Development

Bart MacCarthyand Robert Pasley (2014). *Collaborative Communication Processes and Decision Making in Organizations (pp. 258-279).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/decision-making-and-decision-support-within-new-product-development/88266

IM Support for Informal Synchronous E-Collaboration

Stefan Hrastinski (2008). *Encyclopedia of E-Collaboration (pp. 349-354)*. www.irma-international.org/chapter/support-informal-synchronous-collaboration/12449