

Chapter 57

Triangulation in Organizational Research: Validating Knowledge in Human Competence at Work

Ben Tran

Alliant International University, USA

ABSTRACT

The use of qualitative and quantitative methods in studying the same phenomenon has received attention among the scholars and researchers. As a result, it has become an accepted practice to use some form of triangulation in social research. In the social sciences, the use of triangulation can be traced back to Campbell and Fiskel. This was later developed by Webb and elaborated by Denzin beyond its conventional association with research methods and designs in science. The objective of science is to discover, describe, and explain the fact, whereas in the case of social science it is to observe, verify, and conclude. This chapter also covers the positivist view and the postmodernism and post-positivism paradigms of triangulation as well as the types of knowledge derived from the usage of triangulation in organizational research. This chapter concludes with how triangulation validates knowledge in human competence within an organizational setting.

INTRODUCTION

Good research practice obligates the researcher to triangulate, that is, to use multiple methods, data sources, and researchers to enhance the validity of research findings. Regardless of which philosophical, epistemological, or methodological perspectives an evaluator is working from, it is necessary to use multiple methods and sources of data in execution of a study in order

to withstand critique by colleagues (Mathison, 1988). Methodological triangulation is the most discussed type of triangulation and refers to the use of multiple methods in the examination of a social phenomenon. Psychologists have long used Denzin's notion of within-method triangulation in assessing psychological traits. Multiple scales comprise a psychological assessment such as an intelligence test in an effort to assess the different aspects of intelligence (Mathison, 1988).

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-7456-1.ch057

In social science, triangulation is defined as the mixing of data or methods so that diverse viewpoints or standpoints cast light upon a topic. The mixing of data types, known as data triangulation, is often thought to help in validating the claims that might arise from an initial pilot study (Olsen, 2004). In social research, triangulation is used in a less literal sense, for it involves the use of multiple methods and measures of an empirical phenomenon in order “to overcome problems of bias and validity” (Blaikie, 1991, p. 115; Blaikie, 2000, p. 262-269; Scandura & Williams, 2000) for improving the validity of research or evaluation findings (Miles & Huberman, 1984, p. 235). It is essentially a strategy that will aid in the elimination of bias and allow the dismissal of plausible rival explanations such that a truth proposition about some social phenomenon can be made (Campbell & Fiskem 1959; Denzin, 1978, 1989; Webb, Campbell, Schwartz, & Sechrest, 1966). Historically, triangulation is a new concept in the social science repertoire dating back to a paper published by Campbell and Fiske in 1959.

A central focus for researchers within management and organizational sciences is producing knowledge about human action and activities in organizations. Traditionally, according to Sandberg (2005), knowledge has been produced from quantitative or qualitative approaches within the positivistic research tradition. However, during the past three decades, interest in qualitative approaches based on the interpretive research tradition has steadily increased in management and organizational sciences (Alvesson & Skoldberg, 1999; Prasad & Prasad, 2002; Zald, 1996), as well as within social sciences more generally (Atkinson, Coffey, & Delamont, 1003; Denzin & Lincoln, 1994; Flick, 2002; Lincoln & Denzin, 2003; Schwandt, 1994). The strong growth of interpretive approaches mainly stems from a dissatisfaction with the methods and procedures for producing scientific knowledge within positivistic research.

Advocates of interpretive approaches claim that those methodological procedures and claims for objective knowledge have significant theoretical limitations for advocating our understanding of human and organizational phenomena (Alvesson & Skoldberg, 1999; Denzin & Lincoln, 1994, 2000; Lincoln & Denzin, 2003; Prasad & Prasad, 2002; Sandberg, 2001). In so doing, this chapter will cover the founding purpose of triangulation, as well as the five types of triangulation (Cox & Hassard, 2005, p. 110-111) in organizational research. This chapter will also cover the positivist view and the postmodernism and post-positivism paradigms of triangulation as well as the types of knowledge derived from the usage of triangulation in organizational research. This chapter will conclude with how triangulation validate knowledge in human competence within an organizational setting.

HISTORY OF MIXED-METHODS: TRIANGULATION

Research paradigms are simply mental models for guiding practice, for some, and for others, research paradigms are regarded as stable worldviews, which supportive assumptions, constructs, and propositions (Greene & Caracelli, 1997, Morgan, 2007). These and other paradigmatic considerations have dominated the debate over research methodologies (Bryman, 1984). Up to the 1970s, positivism reigned supreme as its adherents tried to elevate this approach to the uppermost epistemic position, such that “doing quantitative” became the gold standard of education research (Howe, 1992, 2009). However, by the end of the 1980s, in what has been called the golden age of qualitative research, the constructivist-interpretive paradigm had become firmly entrenched within several fields, including that of education (Denzin & Lincoln, 2005; Ridenour & Newman, 2008).

Mixed methods research is becoming increasingly articulated, attached to research practice, and

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/triangulation-in-organizational-research/124551

Related Content

Electric Vehicle Fleet Management Using Ant Colony Optimisation

Javier Biera Murieland Abbas Fotouhi (2020). *International Journal of Strategic Engineering* (pp. 1-16).
www.irma-international.org/article/electric-vehicle-fleet-management-using-ant-colony-optimisation/243665

How Continuous Improvement Can Support Logistics: A Reflection of Best Practices

Brian J. Galli (2018). *International Journal of Strategic Engineering* (pp. 1-23).
www.irma-international.org/article/how-continuous-improvement-can-support-logistics/196601

Disaster Management in High Risk Regions: A Case Study of the Indian Himalayas Region

Bright Chinemerem Amajuoyi, Oguguo C. Njoku, Joachim Kodjo Arthur and Dilshad Sarwar (2020).
International Journal of Strategic Engineering (pp. 59-71).
www.irma-international.org/article/disaster-management-in-high-risk-regions/243669

Using Social Media to Organize a Marginalized Community: A Case Study Examining LGBT Military Leaders Advocating for Inclusive Service

Todd R. Burton (2020). *Applied Social Science Approaches to Mixed Methods Research* (pp. 216-249).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/using-social-media-to-organize-a-marginalized-community/244125

Effectively Applying System Analysis and System Thinking in Six Sigma Environments

Brian J. Galli (2019). *International Journal of Strategic Engineering* (pp. 9-21).
www.irma-international.org/article/effectively-applying-system-analysis-and-system-thinking-in-six-sigma-environments/230934