

Outsourcing to the Post-Soviet Region and Gender

Elena Gapova

European Humanities University, Belarus

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this article is to analyze the outsourcing of information technology (IT) jobs to a specific world region as a gendered phenomenon. Appadurai (2001) states that the contemporary globalized world is characterized by objects in motion, and these include ideas, people, goods, images, messages, technologies and techniques, and jobs. These flows are a part of “relations of disjuncture” (Appadurai, 2001, p. 5) created by an uneven economic process in different places of the globe and involving fundamental problems of livelihood, equality or justice. Outsourcing of jobs (to faraway countries) is one of such “disjunctive” relationships. Pay difference between the United States (U.S.) and some world regions created a whole new interest in the world beyond American borders. Looking for strategies to lower costs, employers move further geographically; and with digital projects, due to their special characteristics, distribution across different geographical areas can be extremely effective. First, digital networks allow reliable and real-time transfer of digital files (both work in progress and final products), making it possible to work in geographically separated locations. Second, in the presence of adequate mechanisms for coordination through information exchange, different stages of software production (conceptualization, high-level design and low-level analysis, coding) are also separable across space (Kagami, 2002).

In the Western hemisphere, the argument for outsourcing is straightforward and powerful. It is believed that if an Indian, Chinese, Russian or Ukrainian software programmer is paid one-tenth of an American salary, a company that develops software elsewhere will save money. And provided that competitors do the same, the price of the software will fall, productivity will rise, the technology will spread,

and new jobs will be created to adapt and improve it. But the argument against outsourcing centers on the loss of jobs by American workers. Although there is no statistics on the number of jobs lost to offshore outsourcing, the media write about the outcry of professionals who several years ago considered themselves invulnerable.

BACKGROUND

With digital networks, global enterprises gained access to a skilled labor force like never before. Roughly at the same time, former Soviet high-tech professionals became available for the global employment market after the disintegration of the USSR in 1991 and the decay of its science-military-industrial complex, previously their main employer. Though the bigger nations of India and China continue to be main outsourcing destinations, Eastern Europe has been noted as a promising region. Science and technological development used to be a part of the Soviet pride, and *Whitepaper on Offshore Software Development in Russia* (2001) admits that:

Russia's major advantage over other common offshore software development locales is the technical skills and education of its workforce. Russia has more personnel working in R&D than any other country, and ranks 3rd in the world for per capita number of scientists and engineers. Many of these engineers have solid experience and accomplishments in advanced nuclear, space, military, energy and communications projects. (p. 4)

The same is true for Ukraine, Belarus and, to some extent, Kazakhstan, which used to be highly technological areas during the Soviet period.

CURRENT TRENDS

The mechanism of the region's interaction with international IT jobs providers was (and still is, in part) shaped by the specific trends peculiar to the period after 1991, termed as "transition." The reconfiguration of the fundamentally important social institutions and economic restructuring, to an extent, took the form of "privatization of the state" by those best positioned in the old society and now becoming capital owners. At the same time, national output declined drastically (and still has not reached the level of prior to 1991 in any post-Soviet countries), and the new businesses were emerging in the climate of downward movement and the absence of strong governing and market institutions. Initially, much of the subcontracting into the region arose within informal economy. First, IT groups that developed projects for Western customers were growing on the basis of friendly networks in research laboratories or departments whose members would establish contacts with a western IT contractor, often through a colleague who had relocated, and were paid in cash for short-term projects. With time, transnational employers working with semi-formal or informal groups were complemented with foreign-owned/offshore ventures, establishing permanent offices in the country, but hiding their real outputs from state fiscal agencies. Much of the outsourcing into the region is involved with shadow economy, and "many programmers are paid in cash," the *Whitepaper* (2001, p.12) recognized. According to my data, based on interviews conducted in Minsk in 2005, employees may get about 30% of their salary "officially," while 70% is provided "in an envelope," which implies tax evasion. Cash flows to thousands of people employed in offshore software services via global banking systems, as IT (electronic communications and computer technologies in general) is at the core of these systems. Some virtual employers, which may be "non-existent" in the legal space of post-socialist countries, might be interested in this arrangement as well, when the very "concept of a 'job'—a working place with a contract, employees' rights, sick leave, retirements, working hours—is being abolished" (Rotkirch, 2001). What matters is the final product: its cost, quality and availability to a deadline.

In the 1990s, post-Soviet societies seemed to have lacked the political will to make use of the opportunities for national economies, arising from a strong base in science and technology, while universities continued to provide, as a legacy of socialism, nominally free education for IT (and most other) specialists (alongside with new "commercial" educational opportunities). Currently, 200,000 engineers (of all types and specializations) are prepared annually in Russia alone. Many of these find jobs with transnational IT employers, while benefiting from national social resources: free education, almost free healthcare, extensive systems of affordable public transportation or housing, to name a few. Largely functioning within shadow economy, employing specialists on a temporary basis, withholding from involving with institutions and paying their virtual employees non-taxable cash, some of the outsourcing is similar to the "hijacking" of public resources and has smaller beneficial effects on host societies than they potentially might be. The trade-off, involving a restricted social group and in the absence of methods of administration of the technological-social system as a whole, does not yield adequate investments into national social needs nor spreads extensively across industries: It rather implies buying, at discount prices, its intellectual resources.

The local computer lobby, though, normally resorts to the rhetoric of the good of the nation or even national salvation when justifying the place of honor for IT. The logic of IT as national salvation in the long run is about economic interests of a certain group of people and of particular companies, and thus, is a part of the discourse over wage/economic inequality that emerged in post-socialism. Economists tend to explain higher wages of IT professionals in most national economies by the growing demand in skilled labor (James, 1999). This is only partially the case with post-Soviet high techs, whose salaries tend to be higher because they participate in the global, not national, employment market. The role that IT may play in national economies depends on the social context. It may tend to accentuate, rather than ameliorate, economic and technological differences. Gains from IT accrue mainly to economic agents that form part of the modern technological system in respective countries, as distinct from agents who belong to the traditional system

3 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/outsourcing-post-soviet-region-gender/12855

Related Content

The Only Girl in the Class!: Female Students' Experiences of Gaming Courses and Views of the Industry
Lauren Elliott and Julie Prescott (2014). *Gender Considerations and Influence in the Digital Media and Gaming Industry* (pp. 36-55).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-only-girl-in-the-class/110630

The Not So Level Playing Field: Disability Identity and Gender Representation in Second Life
Abbe E. Forman, Paul M.A. Baker, Jessica Pater and Kel Smith (2012). *Gender and Social Computing: Interactions, Differences and Relationships* (pp. 144-161).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/not-level-playing-field/55348

A Complex View of Technological Change in the UK
Anoush Simon (2006). *Encyclopedia of Gender and Information Technology* (pp. 116-121).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/complex-view-technological-change/12724

Online Sisterhood: Women, Income Generation, and Online Social Capital in Urban Indonesia
Ariane J. Utomo (2016). *Gender Considerations in Online Consumption Behavior and Internet Use* (pp. 208-227).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/online-sisterhood/148840

Gender and Education in Oral Traditions, Culture, and ICTs
Chetan Sharma and Y. R. Maindiratta (2006). *Encyclopedia of Gender and Information Technology* (pp. 392-397).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/gender-education-oral-traditions-culture/12766