

Chapter 68

Culture–Based Creativity in the Regional Strategy of Development: Is Russia in Game?

Oxana Karnaukhova
Southern Federal University, Russia

ABSTRACT

In the knowledge-based society, economic growth depends on the implementation of new ideas. Creative people, creative industries, and creative economies are considered as the crucial drivers of the economic prosperity and change management. This chapter analyzes regional specificity of Russia in creation and support of creativity within social and economic development, using the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor, Impact Report, and the G20 Entrepreneurship Barometer. Using data from Inglehardt's World Values Survey, the analysis of cultural assignments in the decision-making in Russia will continue compared to diverse European practices. It will be a valuable basis for further exploration of collision between global economic systems, demands for creativity and innovation, internal Russian institutional and societal resources for support/rejection of innovation, and culturally indoctrinated behavioral patterns of young researchers and intellectual entrepreneurs, articulated as drivers of the new economy.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Culture is one of the most discussable concepts in extant academic literature. It exists on three levels of analysis: meta, mezzo, and micro (with implications to organization, corporate and other forms of culture). There are several dimensions of culture that could be found within the academic milieu: culture and cultural differences in management,

social psychology, and linguistic anthropology. On the meta-level of analysis since the 1950s, we can see the changes in perception of culture away from the objectivist framing the concept as a way life, including traits, values, and behavioral patterns (Herbig & Dunphy, 1998). The new wave of reassessment of culture came into being during the 1980s called the “cultural turn” (Jameson, 1998). It helped to develop a more flexible definition of

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-8468-3.ch068

Hofstede (1997) who defines culture as “the collective programming of the mind which distinguishes the members of one group or category of people from another.” Here, we are interested not only in a specific values set, but also in differences in culture at the mezzo-level of analysis that distinguish one region from another. That is why we will follow Kim and Markus (1999) who theorize cultures as constantly changing, open systems of behaviors, attitudes, and norms. Such systems also include institutions that are continuously reinforced through various ways of engagement, participation, networking, etc.

Today, we clearly see the intervention of culture in economic systems and sociality. As Paul du Gay (2002) has highlighted, ‘culture’ is accorded a privileged position in this endeavor because it is seen to play a crucial role in structuring the way people think, feel, and act in organizations and beyond. Cultural discourse in economy is not simply about beliefs, values, and symbols, but rather is a form of representational and technological (that is culturally defined) practices that constitutes the regional spaces within which economic actions and events are framed and formatted. On one hand, the logical constructions of Paul du Gay are located around direct relationship between production and consumption processes; therefore, the ability of producers to frame this relationship concludes in assemblage of economic knowledge and different forms of cultural practices. On the other hand, leading economic and financial centers are characterized by information flows and streams, and the ability to launch and maintain a network of their own contacts.

Cultural dimensions are the most influential and feasible in the context of international cooperation and communication strategies (for more details see, for instance, Burt, 1984; Kaufman, 2011). First, these dimensions focus on human values, and precisely shift economic development, directly linking to organizational process and communication. Second, we suppose the dimensions of Hall and Hofstede as the most

recognizable in studying cross-cultural issues in networking. Finally, the most important dimension is the context of intercultural communication. For instance, while describing international financial sectors and the role of cross-cultural communication abilities, Thrift (1994) stressed that one of the significant skills is the ability to construct relationships of trust and to be part of the interpretation of what is actually occurring. The economic models and the results of regional financial engineering are composed and put in play, being simply indications of the culturally shaped imaginations of space-time and display of a collective trust.

Since the mid-1990s, we have lived in a world in which economic existence is constantly being culturalised (Castells, 2000; Urry & Lash, 1994) and associated with creativity and innovation. Entrepreneurs whose business involves the production and distribution of cultural hardware and software have become among the most innovative and creative economic actors in the world. Today, the ‘culture’ industries are broadly defined and other so-called ‘soft’ knowledge intensive industries not only represent some of the most important economic growth sectors, but also offer paradigmatic instances of the de-differentiation of ‘culture’ and ‘economy’ in terms of their own business practices. Being an entrepreneur means acting on the assumption of creative and risky nature of economy, hardly described and calculated; doing ‘cultural economy’ means acting on the assumption that economics is performed and enacted by the very discourses of which they are supposedly the cause.

Interconnections of ‘culture’ and ‘economy’ are clearly resumed in the Impact Report provided by the European Commission in 2009 (Impact, 2009), and embodied in culture-based economy as the leading concept for recognition of the European economic prosperity. It is based on the idea of what constitutes creative and cultural. We can assume that creativity is an intermediary of culture and economy. Usually creativity is considered under

17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/culture-based-creativity-in-the-regional-strategy-of-development/128552

Related Content

Stock Market Responses to Monetary and Fiscal Policies: Case Studing China, India, Indonesia, and Malaysia

Elif Ererand Deniz Erer (2023). *Research Anthology on Macroeconomics and the Achievement of Global Stability* (pp. 134-151).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/stock-market-responses-to-monetary-and-fiscal-policies/310830

Outward Foreign Direct Investments: Experience of Polish Companies

Anetta Kuna-Marszaekand Agnieszka Kysik-Uryszek (2016). *Global Perspectives on Trade Integration and Economies in Transition* (pp. 238-261).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/outward-foreign-direct-investments/156972

ICT and Building a Knowledge-Based Society in Egypt

Nagla Rizkand Sherif Kamel (2015). *Economics: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 169-188).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ict-and-building-a-knowledge-based-society-in-egypt/128490

Increasing Sustainability Through Reverse Logistics: A Study on Expired and Waste Medicines in the Pakistani Pharma Industry

Musawir Ali Soomro, Urooj Nazirand Arham Khan (2022). *International Journal of Circular Economy and Waste Management* (pp. 1-17).

www.irma-international.org/article/increasing-sustainability-through-reverse-logistics/292007

Tourist Attraction Perception of Jharkhand Cuisine: A Study on Tourists Visiting Jharkhand

Viveka Nand Sharmaand Arvind Hans (2022). *International Journal of Circular Economy and Waste Management* (pp. 1-10).

www.irma-international.org/article/tourist-attraction-perception-of-jharkhand-cuisine/306215