Definition and Measurement of the Technology Readiness Concept

María Teresa Martín

Rey Juan Carlos University, Spain

María Victoria Román

Rey Juan Carlos University, Spain

Manuel Recio

University of Almería, Spain

INTRODUCTION

During the last few decades, various theoretical developments have been carried out with a view to describing the characteristic and distinct behavioral process that lies under any adoption of technological services and products. These developments are based mainly on the Social Psychology approach.

There are three extensive theories within the field of Social Psychology whose ultimate purpose has been to define the internal psychological factors that explain human behavior: the Expectancy-Value Theory, the Cognitive Dissonance Theory, and the Self-Perception Theory. While the Expectancy-Value Theory has been used widely in the research of adoption and usage of information systems, the other two theories have been less recognized.

Of all Expectancy-Value Theory models, we should draw our attention to the Reasoned Action Model (Azjen & Fishbein, 1980), because it underlies many of the studies on usage of technology. The Planned Behavior Model (Azjen, 1985, 1991) represents a reformulation of the Reasoned Action Model, justified by the existence of conducts that, albeit in part, a person cannot voluntarily keep under control. A rough description of both models is presented in this chapter, inasmuch as they served as a basis for the construction of the Technology Acceptance Model (Davis, 1989; Davis, Bagozzi & Warshaw, 1989), known as one of the main models for the technology readiness concept. The Technology Acceptance Model seems to possess a similar or even better explicating power than its predecessors (Davis et al., 1989; Mathieson, 1991; Taylor & Todd, 1995a; Chau & Hu, 2002).

Once we recognize the importance of attitude in predicting the use of technology, we continue by analyzing the Technology Readiness Model (Parasuraman & Colby, 1997, 1998, 2001). During the last few years, this model has been gaining more and more recognition among marketing professionals as a model for the explanation of the technology attitude.

Out of our review, we can make a synthesis of variables related to technology readiness by the analyzed models. The chapter ends with an exposition of the reasons that have led us to choose the Technology Readiness Model as a basic theoretical model to develop a scale of technology attitude.

Expectancy-Value Models

We now proceed with a brief examination of the main Expectancy-Value Models that have served as a theoretical basis for models of use of technology prediction, so we can gain a much better insight of the latter.

The Reasoned Action Model

The Reasoned Action Model (Azjen & Fishbein, 1980), whose ultimate aim is the prediction and understanding of the human behavior determinants, argues that the latter is mostly under the subject's control and, as a consequence, can be forecast by observing the declaration individuals make of their intentions to carry out or not to carry out a particular type of conduct. Also, the behavioral intention directly depends on two factors: a personal one or attitude toward behavior that represents the individual, either positive or negative, evaluation about whether an action should or should not be done; and a social factor or subjective norm that is the personal awareness of social pressures that force us to take that course of action.

Attitudes can be explained by considering the information the individuals possess that shapes their beliefs in the consequences when a certain conduct becomes real. Attitudes do not depend solely on beliefs, but also on the evaluation people make about each of those behavioral beliefs. Therefore, the drive of each belief (degree of certainty that upholds it) is boosted by the negative or positive intensity of the outcome of the conduct. The sum of all products results in an attitude.

Subjective norms can be assessed indirectly from two main components: first, the informative basis of individuals, which establishes normative beliefs, that is to say, beliefs about how other people or institutions (referees) judge how an individual should behave; second, the subject's motivation to observe the referees' directives. Like it happens with attitudes, each normative belief is boosted by the motivation to follow that opinion. The subjective norm is obtained from the sum of all these products.

In this model, we can see a display of another series of external variables or stimulus conditions that affect relationships between intentions and behavior by means of their direct influence on beliefs in the consequences of conduct and in normative beliefs (see Figure 2).

Azjen and Madden (1986) introduced some nuances into the Reasoned Action Model by pointing out that previous experience, attitude, and subjective norm can influence one another; attitudes can influence behavior both indirectly through behavioral intention and also directly; subjective norm can only have an indirect effect on behavior; and previous experience can influence conduct indirectly as well as directly. The results of this investigation also show that the relation between intention and behavior is not as intense as it should be to make trustworthy predictions. Thus, "intentions only forecast behaviors not requiring either special abilities or skills, extraordinary opportunities, nor the other people's cooperation (Morales, Rebolloso & Moya, 1996, pp. 563-564).

The Planned Behavior Model

Azjen (1985, 1991) reformulated the aforementioned Reasoned Action Model, thus originating the Planned Behavior Model (see Figure 2). The difference between both models lies in the fact that the latter introduces the perceived behavioral control element to improve the behavioral intention forecast. The introduction of this intention-determining factor is justified by the existence of conducts that, at least in part, cannot be kept under the voluntary control of the individuals. That is to say, irrespective of a likely favorable attitude toward a certain behavior and the strong pressure that individuals receive around them urging them to do it, their intention will also influence the subjective likeliness of achieving it or perceived behavioral control.





14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/definition-measurement-technology-readinessconcept/12966

Related Content

Current State of EHR Interoperability

(2024). *Multinational Electronic Health Records Interoperability Strategies (pp. 28-37).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/current-state-of-ehr-interoperability/340736

Studying Online Support for Caregivers of Patients With Alzheimer's Disease in China: A Text-Mining Approach to Online Forum in China

Haijing Hao, Sue Levkoff, Weiguang Wang, Qiyi Zhang, Hongtu Chenand Dan Zhu (2020). *International Journal of Healthcare Information Systems and Informatics (pp. 1-17).* www.irma-international.org/article/studying-online-support-for-caregivers-of-patients-with-alzheimers-disease-in-

china/272114

Computer Based E-Healthcare Clinical Systems: A Comprehensive Survey

Mohamed K. Watfa, Hina Majeedand Tooba Salahuddin (2016). International Journal of Privacy and Health Information Management (pp. 50-69).

www.irma-international.org/article/computer-based-e-healthcare-clinical-systems/147592

Integration of Business and Healthcare Delivery Processes: Case Study on Quality and Clinical Effectiveness of Performance Measurement in Polish Healthcare

Anna Beata Rosiek (2010). International Journal of Healthcare Delivery Reform Initiatives (pp. 20-28). www.irma-international.org/article/integration-business-healthcare-delivery-processes/51682

In Vivo Near Infrared Techniques for Protein Drug Development

Yueqing Gu, Zhiyu Qian, Huimin Qian, Chunsheng Fangand Yulin Song (2008). *Encyclopedia of Healthcare Information Systems (pp. 1365-1373).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/vivo-near-infrared-techniques-protein/13085