Chapter 13
Available Routing Construct (ARC)

Patrice Bellagamba
Cisco Systems, France

Pascal Thubert
Cisco Systems, France

ABSTRACT

Every computer network has been built in the last 30 years on the concept of routing tree to compute the path to be used to reach a given prefix or the border of a routing area. This chapter introduces the concept of Available Routing Construct (ARC), which is a two-end (or more) routing basic element that forms its own recovery domain. As it is dual ended, any failure in an ARC can be easily locally resolved by reversing the path toward the other end. A routing area can therefore be described in a graph of hierarchical ARCs. This new paradigm could be leveraged to improve the network resiliency and utilization for both unicast and multicast traffic.

INTRODUCTION

The traditional routing model is based on a greedy approach that defines a cost metric and then ensures that a packet always progresses so as to monotonically decrease that metric. Link State IGPs (Interior Gateway Protocols) typically describe a routing area using trees as construct, but a tree offers only one solution for a next hop to a given destination. Several tricks are under work to compute multiple trees to allow fast re-routing and/or load repartition.

The "Available Routing Construct (ARC)" proposal introduces a totally disruptive approach where topology is described as a graph of local multi-ended entities called ARCs.

In a space that is dual to the physical domain of links and routers, ARCs form a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) so going from an ARC to the next is effectively greedy and ensures progress of the packet being forwarded. But in the physical domain, the operation of forwarding inside an ARC does not need to be greedy. It can be any form of loop-less walk that may explore the ARC

structure and attempt to exit an ARC towards any other ARC that is downstream in the ARC domain. In other words, an ARC is a micro domain where any operation such as transmission failure recovery and load balancing can be attempted.

The ARC approach is adapted to any routing environment as it is offering natively in one compute micro-loop less Fast-ReRouting (FRR) / Spread load balancing / Bicasting for video traffic.

ARC proposes a split-in between the global path topology that defines the hierarchy of ARCs, and the local topology within an ARC where decision is taken locally to execute local repair or congestion control. In addition, an ARC is by concept dual-ended and offer a support for dual replication of key multicast traffic such as video, where two independent paths must be offered to the replica of the flow, in a concept called: BiCasting.

There are several possible algorithms to build the graph of ARCs, the one proposed in this chapter is a computation that derives from SPF (Shortest Path First); but most interesting benefits are obtained with a centralized approach that may be implemented using a Software-Defined Networking (SDN) controller, a Path Computation Element (PCE) or a Network Management Entity (NME), or with a hybrid approach.

ARC can be positioned in the boundary between SDN and classical distributed routing schemes. Some SDN/NME/PCE techniques will build an ARC set but then the ARC set can live on its own like classical routing and adapt to variations in the network like link-downs and various congestions, without a need for the (centralized) controller to perform continuous adjustments. ARC ambitions to be a factor of efficiency and simplification.

ARCs are particularly efficient in circular topology as of MPLS-TP (MPLS Transport Profile) where it solves, for instance, the hierarchical ring requirement.

By concept, ARC is working as a native SDN protocol where a controller computes the global topology but the data-plane is responsible for ensuring real time operations like convergence and repartition without involving the controller, which could be too slow.

ARC is an opportunity to leverage existing OSPF & IS-IS and be effective in the SDN arena. ARCs apply to operational networks that require ultra-high availability, as well as to networks that would rely on FRR (Fast Re-Route) and MPLS-TP techniques today.

It is also adapted in IoT (Internet of Things) to Low-power Lossy Networks (LLNs) where links constantly expose transient failures and where a retry through an alternate next hop is usually a preferable strategy.

To improve current IGPs, especially in the domain of the loop-less FRR, two tracks have been taken by IETF and Cisco/Juniper: One approach is Loop-Free Alternates (LFA) with an improvement denoted Remote-LFA (RLFA) while the other one is Maximally Redundant Trees (MRT). Both approaches are good and quite efficient, but they do not solve the inherent problem of IGPs, which is the single next hop choice to a given destination based on tree computation and establishment. These enhancements (LFA and MRT) require the computation of multiple disjoint trees to establish backup paths that are physically disjoint of the primary path. LFA by itself covers only a subset of the potential network topology, while its extension RLFA requires a tunnel technology like label path to extend the coverage. On its side, MRT computes a sub-optimum alternate path. Compared to these techniques, ARC works as well as with IP/MPLS, and always computes the next optimum SPF path as alternate.

Regarding other Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) techniques, for most nodes, DAGs enable multiple forwarding solutions to a given destination for a number of nodes in the DAG. So for most nodes, if an adjacency is lost, there is an alternate that can be used instead, by a local decision that does not require any control exchange at the time

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/available-routing-construct-arc/131370

Related Content

A Forecast of the Adoption of Wearable Technology
Tom Page (2018). *Wearable Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1370-1387).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-forecast-of-the-adoption-of-wearable-technology/202018

Documenting Teachers and Students Experiences with Interactive Whiteboards in Ireland: Key Findings from an Irish Pilot Project
Miriam Judge (2010). *Interactive Whiteboards for Education: Theory, Research and Practice (pp. 250-263).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/documenting-teachers-students-experiences-interactive/41623

The Effect of Using Class PC and Interactive Boards on Students' Academic Achievement and Creative Thinking: Applied Study on 2nd Grade Science Students at Private Schools
Mohamad M. Hileh, Abdel-Aziz Ahmad Sharabati, Tamara Yacoub Nasereddinand Suheir Mustafa Hussein (2017). *International Journal of Interactive Communication Systems and Technologies (pp. 1-23).*
www.irma-international.org/article/the-effect-of-using-class-pc-and-interactive-boards-on-students-academic-achievement-and-creative-thinking/206567

An Integrated Process for Aspect Mining and Refactoring
Esteban S. Abait, Santiago A. Vidal, Claudia A. Marcos, Sandra I. Casasand Albert A. Osiris Sofia (2010). *Quality and Communicability for Interactive Hypermedia Systems: Concepts and Practices for Design (pp. 176-194).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/integrated-process-aspect-mining-refactoring/41089

An Empirical Investigation of V LIVE's Service Quality and Users' Acceptance
Johanna M. Askeridisand Aylin Ilhan (2019). *International Journal of Interactive Communication Systems and Technologies (pp. 16-35).*
www.irma-international.org/article/an-empirical-investigation-of-v-lives-service-quality-and-users-acceptance/237230