

Chapter 49

Using Second Life to Support Student Teachers' Socio-Reflective Practice: A Mixed-Method Analysis

Melissa L. Burgess

American Public University System, USA

ABSTRACT

Two congruent, yet converging phenomena are taking place in teacher preparation programs. The first centers on the integration and use of social technologies in collaborative learning, and the second focuses on the shifting of student-teacher reflective practices from private activities to interactive and social practices. The catalytic nature of social technologies provides opportunities to explore relationships between discourse and interactivity. Our global society pleads for educational institutions to prepare teachers who can navigate between cultures, deal with uncertainty, and share and question real-life issues toward structured dialogues, which, in turn, will elicit critical reflection. This mixed-methods analysis fuses social technology use with the Multi User Virtual Environment (MUVE), Second Life, with the social practice of reflection among student teachers during their field experience placements.

ORGANIZATION BACKGROUND

In many teacher preparation programs in the U.S. and abroad, the concept of reflection is typically introduced and applied throughout the program. Reflection is used toward examining, adjusting, and further developing personal theories on teaching and learning. Applicable knowledge gleaned from student teaching field experiences resulting in critical reflection takes time, support, and several

varied experiences (Hiebert & Stigler, 2000). In past years, reflection has typically been carried out through individually-constructed, personal narratives (written or oral), however 21st century teaching and learning is rapidly morphing this historically individualistic activity toward reflections that stretch far and beyond classroom walls. The notion of social learning is altering the way future and current teachers approach their own teaching and learning, and should serve as a model

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-8632-8.ch049

for today's student teachers. Current societal expectations encourage teachers to continually gain knowledge—from pre-service education up until retirement from the profession (Guskey, 2000; Villegas-Reimers, 2003). Portfolios, professional learning communities, reflective models, and action research have served as products of teacher learning that further and support the quest for lifelong learning (Day & Sachs, 2004; Guskey, 2000; Villegas-Reimers, 2003). Moreover, these forms of learning also promote more collaborative, and socially-constructed learning opportunities which are also aligned to 21st century learning.

Thus, building from these social platforms, the social, reflective, and affective aspects of teacher preparation programs support collectivity, collaboration, and community (Garet, Porter, Desimone, Birman, & Kwang, 2001; Hoban, 2002; Huberman, 2001; Lester, 2003; Lieberman, 1994; Peery, 2004; Rogers & Babinski, 2002). Researchers posit that student teachers learn successful pedagogy through: observations, analysis, active participation, and reflection; through mentor and peer conversations and collaborations; and through the sharing of classroom experiences (Becker & Reil, 1999; Commeyras & DeGroff, 1998; Darling-Hammond & Falk, 1997; Hammerness, Darling-Hammond, Bransford, Berliner, Cochran-Smith, McDonald, & Zeichner, 2005; Lyons & Pinnell, 2001). The National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) further asserts the importance of collaborative reflection, stipulating that student teacher candidates should “reflect on their practice and make necessary adjustments to enhance student learning” and to further “collaborate with colleagues to contribute to school improvement and renewal” (Standard I.C.2) (NCATE, 2008, p. 18). Conversations and collaborations allow for knowledge-negotiating and building based on multiple, rather than individual, perspectives (Cunningham, Duffy, & Knuth, 1993). Empirical research has linked, and positively evidenced the coupling of teachers and reflective collaboration with significant improve-

ment in teaching (McLaughlin & Talbert, 2001; Wilson & Berne, 1999; Winter, 2003).

State Competencies in Technology Integration and Professional Development Required in Teacher Preparation Programs

In this case study, domains and competencies established and set forth by the State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC)/Texas Education Agency (TEA) are adhered to by teacher preparation programs across Texas. These standards clearly state what an educator in Texas must know and be able to demonstrate. These standards are derived from the state-required curriculum for students in Texas—the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and further form the foundation for Texas Examinations of Educator Standards (TExES). Additionally, and more importantly, the standards provide alignment for teachers to attain the essential knowledge and skills to teach the TEKS to Texas students. Two of the thirteen competencies were the primary focus in this study: (1) Competency 009 and (2) Competency 012.

One effective way to get students engaged in various technologies is for instructor to regularly model the use of technology in the classroom and provide examples of how to use technology in everyday life. All too often however, time constraints prevent teachers from having the time to explore and learn potential applications using emerging technologies. In teacher preparation programs, teacher candidates must demonstrate how to use various technologies to achieve instructional goals as stated in Competency 009:

Competency 009: “The teacher incorporates the effective use of technology to plan, organize, deliver, and evaluate instruction for all students” (TEA, 2011).

Emerging social networks and technologies have served as innovative environments for collab-

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/using-second-life-to-support-student-teachers-socio-reflective-practice/137226

Related Content

Immigration of Younger Workers: Generation Z in Turkey

Mustafa Bekmezci and Wasim ul Rehman (2023). *Leadership Perspectives on Effective Intergenerational Communication and Management* (pp. 150-165).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/immigration-of-younger-workers/317851

Identifying Criteria for Continuous Evaluation of Software Engineers for Reward and Recognition: An Exploratory Research

Sreejith S. S. and Muthu Mathirajan (2016). *International Journal of Human Capital and Information Technology Professionals* (pp. 61-78).

www.irma-international.org/article/identifying-criteria-for-continuous-evaluation-of-software-engineers-for-reward-and-recognition/163411

Problem-Focused Higher Education for Shaping the Knowledge Society

Juri Valtanen, Eleni Berki, Elli Georgiadou, Margaret Ross and Geoff Staples (2011). *International Journal of Human Capital and Information Technology Professionals* (pp. 23-37).

www.irma-international.org/article/problem-focused-higher-education-shaping/60525

Service Delivery in Aged Care Case Study: ICT and Anywhere Working

Gabriele Helen Taylor, Yvette Blount and Marianne Gloet (2021). *Anywhere Working and the Future of Work* (pp. 113-133).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/service-delivery-in-aged-care-case-study/263831

Relating Software Quality Models and Process Methods to User Value

Mohamed A. Sherif and Elli Georgiadou (2013). *International Journal of Human Capital and Information Technology Professionals* (pp. 27-42).

www.irma-international.org/article/relating-software-quality-models-and-process-methods-to-user-value/78900