

Chapter 17

Complex Adaptive Systems Thinking Approach to Enterprise Architecture

Marc Rabaey
Open-Raxit, Belgium

ABSTRACT

Complex systems interact with an environment where a high degree of uncertainty exists. To reduce uncertainty, enterprises (should) create intelligence. This chapter shows that intelligence has two purposes: first, to increase and to assess (thus to correct) existing knowledge, and second, to support decision making by reducing uncertainty. The chapter discusses complex adaptive systems. Enterprises are not only complex systems; they are also most of the time dynamic because they have to adapt their goals, means, and structure to survive in the fast evolving (and thus unstable) environment. Crucial for enterprises is to know the context/ecology in which they act and operate. The Cynefin framework makes the organization and/or its parts aware of the possible contexts of the organization and/or its parts: simple, complicated, complex, chaotic, or disordered. It is crucial for the success of implementing and using EA that EA is adapted to function in an environment of perpetual change. To realize this, the chapter proposes and elaborates a new concept of EA, namely Complex Adaptive Systems Thinking – Enterprise Architecture (CAST-EA).

INTRODUCTION

The aim of this chapter is to introduce Complex Adaptive System (CAS) and Systems Thinking (ST) into Enterprise Architecture (EA). The combination of CAS and ST is called Complex Adaptive Systems Thinking (CAST) (Lowe & Ng, 2006).

Systems Thinking has many forms, but we are basing our concept on the ST defined by Gharajedaghi (2011). In the context of Enterprise Architecture, ST is, in essence, a holistic approach to an enterprise and its environment. Sterman (2010) defines ST as “the ability to see the world as a complex system, in which we understand that ‘you can’t just do one thing’ and that ‘everything is

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-8619-9.ch017

connected to everything else” (p. 4). In this light, we will propose a new concept of EA, which will not only incorporate the Information Technology (IT) view but also a global (holistic) view of the enterprise.

Sterman (2010) wants us to see the world as a complex system, so we will first give a brief overview of complex systems. Senge (2006) states that complex systems have to do with dynamic complexity and not detail complexity. The latter arises where there are many variables, which are difficult (almost impossible) to hold in mind simultaneously to appreciate them as a whole. The former arises where effects over time of interrelatedness are subtle and the results of actions are not obvious, or where short-term and long-term effects are significantly different, or where effects locally are different from effects on a wider scale (Flood, 1999).

Complex systems are interacting with an environment where much uncertainty exists. To reduce the uncertainty, the enterprise will create intelligence. In our discussion, however, we will show that intelligence has two purposes: to increase and to assess (thus to correct) the existing knowledge and to support decision making by reducing the uncertainty. Rabaey and Mercken (2012) are proposing the system of ‘Intelligence Base’ to organize the intelligence process and the exploitation of knowledge.

After the section on uncertainty and intelligence, we will discuss Complex Adaptive Systems. Enterprises are not only complex systems, but they are also most of the time CAS because they have to adapt their goals, means and structure to survive in the fast evolving (and thus unstable) environment. In this section, we will show the consequence of wanting to implement EA for a CAS.

Crucial for enterprises is to know in which context they are acting and reacting. The Cynefin framework makes the organization and/or its parts aware of the possible contexts of the organization and/or its parts: simple, complicated,

complex, chaotic or disordered. Dettmer (2011) uses the Cynefin framework to determine which management methods and tools can be used in which context. Important to note is that systems can move from one context to another without the enterprise knowing about it (necessity to have an intelligence system).

As a consequence, it is crucial for the success of implementing and using EA, that EA is adapted to function in a context that may permanently change. Therefore, we are proposing a new concept of EA, namely Complex Adaptive Systems Thinking – Enterprise Architecture (CAST-EA).

SYSTEMS

Open Systems

An enterprise or any other organization cannot be a closed system, if it wants to interact with its environment, therefore, it is an open system. Although we will discuss Complex Adaptive Systems (CAS) in more depth later, we will first define what a system is. Russell Ackoff is a Systems Thinking pioneer and organizational theorist. He (Joyce, 2011; Matthews, 2012) states that a system is a whole, that consists of parts, each of which can affect the behavior of the whole or its properties. The parts do not necessarily do it all the time, but they can. Furthermore, each part of the system, when it affects the system, is dependent for its effect on some other parts. In other words, the parts are interdependent; therefore, no part of the system or a collection of parts has an independent effect on it.

As such, a system is a whole that cannot be divided into independent parts. Moreover, the essential or defining properties of a system are properties of the whole which none of its parts have and thus when a system is taken apart it loses its essential properties. As an example, if one takes all of the different cars on the market

49 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/complex-adaptive-systems-thinking-approach-to-enterprise-architecture/137354

Related Content

Design and Prototyping of a Smart University Campus

Vincenzo Cimino and Simona E. Rombo (2019). *Handbook of Research on Implementation and Deployment of IoT Projects in Smart Cities* (pp. 228-252).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/design-and-prototyping-of-a-smart-university-campus/233275

Productivity Evaluation of Self-Adaptive Software Model Driven Architecture

Basel Magableh and Stephen Barrett (2011). *International Journal of Information Technology and Web Engineering* (pp. 1-19).

www.irma-international.org/article/productivity-evaluation-self-adaptive-software/65066

Research on the Information Construction of Accounting Audit Based on the Big Data of Computer

Dongmei Lin (2017). *International Journal of Information Technology and Web Engineering* (pp. 74-82).

www.irma-international.org/article/research-on-the-information-construction-of-accounting-audit-based-on-the-big-data-of-computer/182266

DEPTH: A Method and a Web-Based Tool for Designing and Executing Scenario-Based Usability Inspections of E-Systems

Petros Georgiakis and Symeon Retalis (2010). *Integrating Usability Engineering for Designing the Web Experience: Methodologies and Principles* (pp. 309-323).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/depth-method-web-based-tool/40505

A Semantic Web-based Approach for Context-Aware User Query formulation and Information Retrieval

Hahn H. Hoang, Tho M. Nguyen and A M. Tjoa (2008). *International Journal of Information Technology and Web Engineering* (pp. 1-23).

www.irma-international.org/article/semantic-web-based-approach-context/2638