Institutional Dimensions of Information Systems Evaluation¹

Vassilis Serafeimidis

Atos KPMG Consulting, UK

INTRODUCTION

Information systems (IS) evaluation is a complex organizational and social decision making process. IS evaluation has attracted a lot of interest from the academic community and practitioners during the last few decades. This interest in some way has been generated by the tremendous increase of IT investments and the fact that IT/IS have become an organizational "necessity" in order to, for example, support routine data processing operations, initiatives for competitive advantage, and business transformation exercises.

IS evaluation is highly subjective and context dependent, as well as covering a wide area of situations and activities. A number of good definitions are listed next:

"Evaluation is a series of activities incorporating understanding, measurement, and assessment. It is either a conscious or tacit process which aims to establish the value of or the contribution made by a particular situation. It can also relate to the determination of the worth of an object." (Remenyi & Sherwood-Smith, 1997, p. 46).

"IS evaluation is a process for searching and for making explicit, quantitatively or qualitatively, all the impacts of an IT project and the programme and strategy of which it is a part." (Farbey, Land & Targett, 1999, p. 190)

BACKGROUND

Information Systems Evaluation – The "journey"

In the 1980s organizations started to realize that successful IT outcomes do not occur by default; they are highly uncertain and in order to achieve organizational success, IS have to be managed effectively and be considered broadly within their context. The difficulties in identifying and measuring potential benefits and costs, deriving from current organizational practices, forced many organizations to establish management control mechanisms. Among these mechanisms are the thorough "appraisal" of potential IT investments and the "evaluation" of their expected deliverables. Evaluation happens in many ways (e.g., formally, informally), uses diverse criteria (e.g., financial, technical, social), follows rigorous methodologies or "gut feelings," and often becomes a political instrument that influences the balance of organizational power and stimulates organizational changes.

The role that evaluation plays as an organizational process varies. It is strongly related to other management and decision making processes. The management expectation from IS evaluation is about establishing by quantitative and/or qualitative means the worth of IT to the organization (Farbey et al., 1993) and IT's contribution to the organizational growth (Bakos & Kemerer, 1992; Hitt & Brynjolfsson, 1996). This can be achieved by effective IS evaluation which ranks alternatives (Clemons, 1991) and forms a central part of a complex and incremental planning, decision-making and control (diagnosis) process (Hawgood & Land, 1988). Evaluation is then a crucial feedback function (Baker, 1995), which helps the organization learn (Walsham, 1993) and thereby reduces the uncertainty of decisions. This feedback helps trace and understand the underlying factors leading to the success or otherwise of an IT investment. In many cases (Farbey et al., 1995) evaluation is a mechanism for gaining commitment and, in highly politically influenced environments, for legitimization, and in some other occasions is a mechanism for exploration and discovery.

In order to understand IS evaluation we have to focus on the why, what, which aspects, when, who and how dimensions. Three different streams of IS evaluation theoretical and practical developments have been dominating this research field: the technical/functional; the economic/financial; and the "interpretive".

The traditional (formal-rational or functionalist) conception sees evaluation as an external judgement of an information system that is treated as if it existed in isolation from its human and organizational components and effects. It also places excessive emphasis on the technological and accounting aspects at the expense of the organizational and social aspects. Therefore, it neglects the organizational context and process of IS development and its content, elements that are critical to the successful application of IT in support of the business. In general, more attention has been focused over the years on prescribing how to carry out evaluations (with technicallydriven and cost-focused frameworks) rather than analyzing and understanding their role, interactions, effects and organizational impacts (Smithson & Hirschheim, 1998).

Both the *functional and economic* streams promote a logical rationalistic philosophy that searches for the efficiency and effectiveness of an information system in technical and business terms. Developments under these areas have attracted attention for a long period of time as they have addressed necessary questions regarding the performance and the financial aspects of the technical components and their investment returns. These two

modes, although necessary and complementary, suffer a number of deficiencies. Their limitations include the:

- limited consideration of the organizational context,
- narrow purposes deriving from the formal/rational paradigm,
- lack of consideration of the new content elements and relevant measures,
- confined and fragmented time horizon,
- neglect of human aspects of evaluation, and
- narrow methodological focus.

	Technical stream	Economic stream	Interpretive alternatives
Why - Purpose/ Reasons	 Technical performance (e.g., quality) Control of resources (e.g., costs) 	• Quality and utilization of IS outputs (e.g., accuracy of information)	Context-sensitive (i.e., contingent, emergent) Understanding of social actions Organizational learning
What - The subject of evaluation Criteria and measurement	 IT system Automate Cost reduction 	 IS outputs Informate Productivity Business value User satisfaction Uncertainty/Risks 	 Broad portfolios of processes and systems Intermediate relevant measures (e.g., more reliable systems)
When - Time frame	• <i>Ex ante</i> and <i>ex post</i> in relation to the systems development life cycle	• <i>Ex ante</i> and <i>ex post</i> in relation to the systems development life cycle	Continuous benefits management
Who - People	• IT experts	IT expertsFinance expertsBusiness managers	 "Evaluation party" including internal and external stakeholders
How – Methodologies /Tools	 Quality-related (e.g., TQM, software metrics) Cost-related (e.g., COCOMO, function point analysis) 	 Economic oriented (e.g., agency theory) Finance oriented (e.g., CBA, SESAME, DCF, IRR) Behavioral science driven (e.g., ROM, value analysis) 	 Meta-methodologies Contemporary methods (experimental and exploratory)
Strengths	 Addresses the technical system performance Assesses the efficiency of IT/IS 	 Focuses on financial and economic impact of the investment Assesses the effectiveness of IT/IS 	 Increases the understanding of the role and impact of the investment Assesses the fit and the contributions of IS/IT within its organizational context
Weaknesses	 Does not address uncertainty of business requirements and the organizational fit of IT Prescriptive 	 Approaches require specialist expertise Dominated by economic results 	Applicability and use are challenging

Table 1. Summary of the characteristics of the IS evaluation modes (based on Serafeimidis, 2001)

2 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/institutional-dimensions-information-systemsevaluation/14471

Related Content

Visualizing the ICT-Assisted Flipped Pedagogical Approach in EFL Education Min Wangand Zhonggen Yu (2022). *Journal of Information Technology Research (pp. 1-14).* www.irma-international.org/article/visualizing-the-ict-assisted-flipped-pedagogical-approach-in-efl-education/298328

The Virtual Identity, Digital Identity, and Virtual Residence of the Digital Citizen Fortunato Sorrentino (2009). *Encyclopedia of Information Communication Technology (pp. 825-832).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/virtual-identity-digital-identity-virtual/13440

Impact of Organisational Intranets on Profitability in Organisations

Udo Richard Averweg (2008). Information Communication Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 2817-2844). www.irma-international.org/chapter/impact-organisational-intranets-profitability-organisations/22849

An Examination of the Role of Organizational Enablers in Business Process Reengineering and the Impact of Information Technology

Hamid Reza Ahadi (2004). *Information Resources Management Journal (pp. 1-19)*. www.irma-international.org/article/examination-role-organizational-enablers-business/1259

Open Source Applications for Image Visualization and Processing in Neuroimaging Training

Juan A. Juanes, Pablo Ruisoto, Alberto Prats-Galinoand Andrés Framiñán (2014). *Journal of Information Technology Research (pp. 75-87).*

www.irma-international.org/article/open-source-applications-for-image-visualization-and-processing-in-neuroimagingtraining/111299