QoS-Oriented MAC Protocols for Future Mobile Applications

Alexander Markhasin

Siberian Telecommunications University, Russia

Stephan Olariu

Old Dominion University, USA

Petia Todorova

Fraunhofer FOKUS, Germany

INTRODUCTION

Common intended access to a physically unshareable resource requires arbitration. Since in wireless communications the transmission medium cannot be shared without loss of information, medium access control (MAC) plays the role of an arbiter stipulating, for each of the intended users, the conditions and parameters of exclusive access rights. The major challenge in designing a good MAC protocol is to provide each user with the negotiated quality-of-service (QoS) parameters while, at the same time, utilizing the network resources as efficiently as possible. Thus, an ideal MAC protocol must strike a balance between the conflicting goals of QoS provisioning, efficiency, simplicity, and service controllability. The MAC protocol improvements ensure a dominant effect on the development of the QoS and other future breakthrough wireless technologies.

BACKGROUND

Future generation of global wireless and satellite multimedia communications for mobile multi-service applications requires dynamic (soft) control and stringent QoS guarantees. The MAC technology employed depends on the bandwidth allocation strategy, the scheduler algorithms used, and on the MAC protocol itself. As showed in Markhasin, Olariu, and Todorova (2004), QoS-oriented MAC technology, along with the all-IP/ATM architecture, is expected to provide cost-efficient broadband multi-service to mobile and geographically distributed users anytime and anywhere.

Assume that at some time t the system contains N_{t} mobile users belonging to k data priority service classes, with input multimedia traffic intensities G_{ikt} , output traffic intensities S_{ikt} , and total input intensity $G_t = \sum_{i,k} G_{ikt} \leq C_{MAC}$, where C_{MAC} is the MAC protocol efficiency, and $C_{MAC} = \max_{\{G_t,t\}} \sum_{i,k} S_{ikt}(G_{ikt})$. A *QoS-oriented MAC* protocol must guarantee for each point in time t belonging to some interval $[t_1, t_2)$ the following required values:

- a) QoS characteristics: average delay, loss probability, throughput;
- b) traffic parameters: peak and average rates; and
- c) bandwidth: the amount of bandwidth necessary to support the negotiated QoS.

Perhaps the most natural and straightforward arbitration strategies used in MAC is token based. Specifically, an artifact known as the token regulates access to the transmission medium. The arbiter allocates the token to users according to a scheduling strategy. The user that possesses the token has access to the resource; once the token is relinquished, the access right to the resource is lost. Many multimedia applications require effective control of the token requests generation rate variation, including token bank fair queuing (TBFQ) proposed in Wong, Zhu, and Leung (2003), recurrent M-sequences RS-token broadcast reservation (TBR-RS) proposed by Markhasin (1996), and also scheduler algorithm *priority* parameters regulation, local queue buffer size, and ARQ quantity of automatic request for repeat adjusting, as also shown in Markhasin (1996).

One can define static (*hard*) *QoS provisioning* as a session with static control parameters satisfying predetermined traffic profiles $G_{ikt} = const(t)$. Bandwidth resource $Y_{it} = const(t)$, ... $t \in [t_1, t_2)$ and other control parameters are regulated at long-term intervals only. Therefore hard QoS out-of-profile traffic is not guaranteed, so that performance degradation is possible (Wong et al., 2003).

known slotted ALOHA, which doubles the efficiency of

ALOHA, was offered (Roberts, 1972). The next fundamen-

tal contribution was the development of several carrier-

sense protocols, known generically as CSMA (Kleinrock

& Tobagi, 1975). Some multi-access methods, later re-

ferred to as slotted and non-slotted ALOHA and CSMA,

were proposed and analyzed (Markhasin, 1969). There

also the slotting effect about protocol efficiency increas-

ing was opened. Rubin (1979), Tobagi (1980) and others

show that the majority of other classical free, controlled,

and hybrid access methods were also proposed in the

aware. Some adjusting mechanisms were used for adapt-

The first generation of MAC protocols was not QoS-

Similarly, one can define *soft QoS provisioning* as a session with dynamic controlled/adapted QoS characteristics according to traffic profiles variation $G_{ikt} = var(t)$. Bandwidth resource $Y_{it} = var(t)$, ... $t \in [t_1, t_2)$ and other control parameters are regulated at short-term intervals (Markhasin, 1988).

According to Fattah and Leung (2002) and Wong et al. (2003), existing scheduler algorithms can be classified as *work-conserving* with non-priority service disciplines and *non-work-conserving* using priority service disciplines.

In order to develop QoS-oriented MAC protocols for all-IP/ATM mobile applications, Markhasin (2001) shows that one has to overcome three main impediments:

- the time barrier: concerning the degradation of longdelay MAC efficiency when the round-trip time is significantly increased;
- the dynamical barrier: concerning the instability of the dynamic on-the-fly control of QoS provision-ing; and
- the economic barrier: concerning the unacceptably large cost of the wireless broadband ATM networks with centralized architecture.

A SURVEY OF MAC PROTOCOLS

The main goal of this section is to provide an overview of various proposed MAC protocols and to compare them in terms of their ability to provide mobile users with QoS guarantees. Historically, *ALOHA* was the first MAC protocol offered for packet radio networks proposed (Abramson, 1970). It is classical *free (random) access* protocol. Unfortunately, the maximum throughput of this protocol is only 1/2e. Some two years later, the well-

Table 1

| Time process access to Access medium regulation mechanism | | Stochastic access process | | | Determi - |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|
| | | Continu - ous process | Discrete process | | nistic (Fixed) |
| | | | Definite frame | Adaptive frame | access process |
| Controlled access | Centralized controlled access | Non-slotted Polling | DAMA, FPODA, ARDA, SFR | Slotted Polling | S-TDMA, PAMA |
| | Distributed controlled access | Non-slotted Token- passing | Superframe Reservation (SFR) | RS-Token Broadcast Reservation, MLMA | JTIDS |
| Free (Random) access | Carrier insensitive | Pure ALOHA | Slotted ALOHA | | |
| | Carrier sensitive | Non -slotted CSMA | Slotted CSMA $(v_0 = 1)$ | Slotted CSMA, Ethernet | |
| Hybrid access (controlled/free) | | ALOHA/ Polling | DSA ₊₊ ,GPRS DQRUMA, TBFQ | Reserved ALOHA, MASCARA | |

a) MAC classification matrix with protocol examples

ing the time intervals division mainly to burst data traffic. Differentiation and service quality control problems were raised in the 1980s for integrated packet radio and satellite networks (Rubin, 1979; Li, 1984; Markhasin, 1985). The development of asynchronous transfer mode (ATM),

1970s-1980s.

development of asynchronous transfer mode (ATM), along with multimedia information technologies and *mapplications*, triggered an interest in guaranteed QoS control. Wong et al. (2003) noted that much research has been focused on hard QoS provisioning of integrated services. For *short-delay wireless media*, *hard QoSaware* demand assignment protocols SFRs type, including DQRUMA (Karol, Liu & Eng, 1995) and others (for an overview, see Gumalla & Limb, 2000). The TBQF scheduling scheme for wireless packet-switched networks, which provides *soft QoS* guarantees for heterogeneous traffic, was also proposed (Wong et al., 2003).

The soft QoS-oriented multifunctional medium access control (MFMAC) technology for *long-delay satellite mediums* was proposed by Markhasin (2001), who also introduced MFMAC technology based on soft QoSaware RS-token broadcast reservation (TBR-RS) MAC protocol (Markhasin, 1996). This protocol uses the recur-

b) The examples of MAC protocol short-delay efficiencies

| Time process access to Access medium regulation mechanism | | Stochastic access process | | | Determi - nistic |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------|
| | | Continu - ous process | Discrete process | | (Fixed) |
| | | | Definite frame | Adaptive frame | access process |
| Contr <mark>olled</mark> access | Centralized controlled access | 1/(1+v _{large}) | 1/(1+v _s N/J) | $1/(1+v_{middle})$ | $1/(1+v_{small})$ |
| | Distributed controlled access | $1/(1+v_{middle})$ | 1/(1+v _s N/J) | $1/(1+v_{small})$ | $1/(1+v_{small})$ |
| Free (Random) access | Carrier insensitive | 1/2e | 1/e | | |
| | Carrier sensitive | $\frac{1/(1+a_{cf}w_{small})}{a_{cf}>1}$ | | $\frac{1/(1+a_{aj}w_{small})}{a_{cf}>a_{af}>1}$ | |
| Hybrid access (controlled/free) | | $\frac{1}{1 + a_{ch} w_{large}} \\ a_{ch} > 1$ | $\frac{1}{1 + a_{dh} w_m N/J} \\ a_{dh} > 1$ | $\frac{1}{1 + a_{ah} w_{middle}} \\ a_{ah} > 1$ | |

3 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/qos-oriented-mac-protocols-future/14616

Related Content

Biometric Paradigm Using Visual Evoked Potential

Cota Navin Guptaand Ramaswamy Palaniappan (2009). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Second Edition (pp. 362-368).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/biometric-paradigm-using-visual-evoked/13599

The Columbia Disaster: Culture, Communication & Change

Ruth Guthrieand Conrad Shayo (2006). Cases on Information Technology: Lessons Learned, Volume 7 (pp. 251-271).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/columbia-disaster-culture-communication-change/6393

Developing Trust in Virtual Teams

Niki Panteli (2005). Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, First Edition (pp. 844-848). www.irma-international.org/chapter/developing-trust-virtual-teams/14346

Could the Work System Method Embrace Systems Concepts More Fully?

Steven Alter (2007). *Information Resources Management Journal (pp. 33-43).* www.irma-international.org/article/could-work-system-method-embrace/1310

Flipping the Classroom to Gain Time: A Pedagogical Innovative Model

Paula Peresand Anabela Mesquita (2016). *Journal of Cases on Information Technology (pp. 36-52).* www.irma-international.org/article/flipping-the-classroom-to-gain-time/173723