

Chapter 40

Technology–Assisted Learning for Students with Moderate and Severe Developmental Disabilities

Diane M. Browder

University of North Carolina at Charlotte, USA

Alicia Saunders

University of North Carolina at Charlotte, USA

Jenny Root

University of North Carolina at Charlotte, USA

ABSTRACT

For students with moderate and severe developmental disabilities, including autism spectrum disorders and intellectual disability, technology can provide critical support for learning and life functioning. A growing body of research demonstrates the benefits of technology for these students to acquire academic skills, improve social functioning, and perform tasks of daily living. This chapter provides a description of this population and their learning needs. The research on technology applications for students with developmental disabilities is reviewed and synthesized. The review includes literature on technology to assist instruction and to provide options for student responding. Examples are provided of how technology can be applied to both instruction and assessment.

INTRODUCTION

Technology has transformed everyday life for many people in the 21st century, but for individuals with moderate and severe developmental disabilities it has especially opened doors of opportunity not previously available. Multiple studies have demonstrated that students with moderate and severe developmental disabilities can benefit from technology in learning academic skills (Knight, McKissick, & Saunders,

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-0034-6.ch040

2013; Pennington, 2010), managing social skills (Ramdoss, et al., 2011), or performing daily living skills (Mechling, Gast, & Seid, 2010). Technology can also promote job-related learning (Morgan & Horrocks, 2011).

Ironically, students with developmental disabilities may not access these opportunities to the extent students who are nondisabled do. While the use of technology in schools may be as high as 98% of students overall (National Center for Education Statistics, 2008), school access for students with intellectual disability may be much lower (Edyburn, 2013; Wehmeyer, Smith, Palmer, & Davies, 2004). Educators need more information on how technology can be used to assist instruction and promote new opportunities for learning.

Technology also can offer a means for students with developmental disabilities to show what they know. All states are required to provide alternate assessments for students who cannot participate in the state's general assessment with or without accommodations. Students with moderate and severe disabilities often are candidates for these alternate assessments. In contrast, Towles-Reeves, Kearns, Kleinert, and Kleinert (2009) found from 17-26% of these students only had emerging symbolic communication and another 8-11% were presymbolic. As Kleinert, Kearns, and Kleinert (2010) note communication is critical to learning and demonstrating achievement in state assessments. Technology can be crucial to promoting communicative competence for students who lack speech.

Given the proliferation of technology in today's world and its potential to promote learning and quality of life for individuals with moderate and severe developmental disabilities, the need exists to identify the research on how to use technology effectively with these students. This chapter includes a brief overview of the population, research on technology for this group of students, and examples of how the technology can be applied in interventions. The implications for practice, including assessment, will also be reviewed. The objectives of this chapter are:

1. To provide a brief overview of students with moderate and severe developmental disabilities and their learning characteristics that may be relevant to technology use.
2. To synthesize the research on the use of technology with this population focusing on academic, social/ communicative, and daily living skills.
3. To offer examples of how this research can be used to plan instruction and assessment.

BACKGROUND

Before identifying effective technology applications, it is important to clarify the population of focus and the learning characteristics that are relevant to planning for technology use. This chapter focuses on the subgroup of students with disabilities who participate in alternate assessments. Students in these alternate assessments work towards alternate achievement of their states' academic content standards. To define this alternate achievement, educators target content from the students' grade level, then prioritize specific standards and translate these into learning targets with a lower level of complexity. For example, if the 4th grade expectation is for students to identify the author's point of view after reading a chapter book, the learning target for alternate achievement may be to identify the author's point of view by using a simplified summary of the book that is read aloud. The student may also respond by selecting from an array of answers rather than generating a response. Students who take alternate assessments are sometimes referred to as the "1%" in the United States because schools can report scores based on

26 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/technology-assisted-learning-for-students-with-moderate-and-severe-developmental-disabilities/151238

Related Content

Next Generation Science Assessment: Putting Research into Classroom Practice

Edward G. Lyon (2014). *K-12 Education: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1615-1633).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/next-generation-science-assessment/88235

Differentiating through Technology for Gifted Students

Debra R. Sprague and Beverly Shaklee (2016). *Special and Gifted Education: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 711-724).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/differentiating-through-technology-for-gifted-students/151227

Response to Intervention in Middle and High School Mathematics

Lynn Gannon Patterson and Meagan Musselman (2015). *Examining Response to Intervention (RTI) Models in Secondary Education* (pp. 129-155).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/response-to-intervention-in-middle-and-high-school-mathematics/134228

Respecting Federal, State, and Local Approaches to IDEA and Service Delivery Models

(2015). *Models for Effective Service Delivery in Special Education Programs* (pp. 29-49).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/respecting-federal-state-and-local-approaches-to-idea-and-service-delivery-models/123256

Shared Reflective Practices and Scenario-Based Learning for Values Development in Primary School Children

Priyadarshini Muthukrishnan, Soon Seng Thah and Goh Lay Huah (2023). *Global Perspectives on Value Education in Primary School* (pp. 173-190).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/shared-reflective-practices-and-scenario-based-learning-for-values-development-in-primary-school-children/329107