

Chapter 44

An Innovative Approach to the Development of Project Management Processes for Small-Scale Projects in a Large Engineering Company

Claude Y. Laporte

École de Technologie Supérieure, Canada

Frédéric Chevalier

Tetra Tech, Canada

ABSTRACT

A 400-employee Canadian division of a large American engineering company has developed and implemented project management processes for their small-scale and medium-scale projects. The company was already using a robust project management process for their large-scale projects. The objectives of this project were to reduce cost overruns and project delays, standardize practices to facilitate the integration of new managers, increase the level of customer satisfaction and to reduce risk-related planning deviations. For this project, the engineering organization used the ISO/IEC 29110 standards developed specifically for very small entities, i.e. organizations, having up to 25 people. An analysis of the cost and the benefits of the implementation of small and medium scale project management processes was performed using the ISO economic benefits of standard methodology. The engineering enterprise estimated that, over a three-year timeframe, savings of about 780,000\$ would be realized due to the implementation of project management processes using the ISO/IEC 29110 standard.

INTRODUCTION

Standards are sources of codified knowledge and studies have demonstrated the benefits of standards, such as product interoperability, increased productivity, market share gains, and improved interaction with stakeholders such as enterprises, government organizations and the public. Standards and associated

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-0196-1.ch044

technical documents could be considered as a form of technology transfer and, if the right standards are selected and used correctly they should have an economical impact in an organization.

Many advantages or benefits as well as disadvantages or costs have been reported regarding the use of voluntary standards. Table 1 lists a few of the advantages and disadvantages reported.

The most recent study on the economic benefits of standardization (Miotti, 2009), performed by the French standardization organization AFNOR, showed that standardization made a significant contribution to growth of the French economy during the 1950-2007 period, i.e. 0.81% per year or almost 25% of GDP growth. The study was based on a survey of 1,790 French companies or organizations of all sizes and from all sectors of activity where 30% of respondents were from enterprises of less than 20 employees, 47% from small and medium enterprises (i.e. 250 employees or less) and 23% from large companies (i.e. more than 250 employees). The contribution of standards to the French economy is in line with data illustrated in Table 2 for other countries, such as Germany and Australia. In addition to known benefits of standards, five major lessons emerge from the French study (Miotti, 2009):

- Company value enhancement: The knowledge capital contributed by corporate involvement in standardization work represents true value.

Table 1. Advantages and disadvantages of voluntary standards reported (adapted from Miotti, 2009; Land, 1997)

Advantages or Benefits	Disadvantages or Costs
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promote innovation • Improve efficiency of an organization • Increase competitiveness • Facilitate the access to a wider market • Clarify the rules of a market • Improve quality of products and services • Promote improvement of Processes • Facilitate partnerships • Improve the image, credibility of organizations • Promote a uniform terminology • Regularly updated • Facilitate the selection of suppliers and partners • Facilitate access to recognize knowledge • Facilitate access to investments and financing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Difficult to understand • Cost of acquire standards • Cost of standard implementation • Cost of certification • Require outside expertise to implement them • Conflicting standards • High number of standards available • Describe only 'what to be done' not 'how to do it' • Insufficient guidance to select and apply them • Slow evolution of standard may impede innovation • Difficult and costly to apply in small organizations • Difficult to demonstrate 'savings' • Many producers of standards • Perception that standards add unnecessary bureaucracy to an organization

Table 2. Comparative contribution of standards to national economies (adapted from Miotti, 2009)

	Germany (DIN)	UK (DTI)	Standards Council of Canada	Australia Standards	France (AFNOR)
Period subject to analysis	1961-1990	1948-2001	1981-2004	1962-2004	1950-2007
Growth rate of GDP (%)	3.3	2.5	2.7	3.6	3.4
Contribution to growth of GDP (%)	27.3	11.0	9.0	21.8	23.8
Impact in % points on GDP growth	0.9	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.8

36 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/an-innovative-approach-to-the-development-of-project-management-processes-for-small-scale-projects-in-a-large-engineering-company/155317

Related Content

Variation on the Effects of the 2003 CAP Reform and Regional Differences in the Italian Olive Oil Sector

Valentina Sabbatini, Yiorgos Gadanakis and Francisco Areal (2016). *International Journal of Food and Beverage Manufacturing and Business Models* (pp. 48-60).

www.irma-international.org/article/variation-on-the-effects-of-the-2003-cap-reform-and-regional-differences-in-the-italian-olive-oil-sector/145325

Generation Z Perceptions of Quality Certification: A Cross-National Study

Irene (Eirini) C. Kamenidou, Spyridon A. Mamalis and Efstathios Dimitriadis (2018). *International Journal of Food and Beverage Manufacturing and Business Models* (pp. 23-41).

www.irma-international.org/article/generation-z-perceptions-of-quality-certification/205686

Productive-Technological Capabilities, Development, and National Sovereignty: Old and New Challenges for Brazil

Eliane Araujo and Samuel Costa Peres (2023). *Strategic Management and International Business Policies for Maintaining Competitive Advantage* (pp. 236-255).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/productive-technological-capabilities-development-and-national-sovereignty/326742

Strategy in Action: The Use of Visual Artefacts for Strategic Change

(2018). *Management Control Systems in Complex Settings: Emerging Research and Opportunities* (pp. 131-144).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/strategy-in-action/193128

A Novel Technique for Securing E-Commerce Transaction

Arnab K. Maji (2015). *Strategic Infrastructure Development for Economic Growth and Social Change* (pp. 120-138).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-novel-technique-for-securing-e-commerce-transaction/125209