Chapter 7.6 An Empirical Study of the Effects of Training Sequences on Database Training Tasks and User Outcomes

Clive C. Sanford Lviv Polytechnic National University, Ukraine

> **Anol Bhattacherjee** University of South Florida, USA

ABSTRACT

This paper introduces the concept of IS training sequences and examines how sequencing of conceptual and procedural training impacts task and user outcomes. Building on assimilation theory, we propose four hypotheses, relating training sequences to task performance, satisfaction, and self-efficacy, and test them using a quasi-experimental study in a database design context. Our empirical results suggest that subjects trained in the conceptual-procedural sequence achieve better far-transfer and near-transfer task performance and higher self-efficacy than those trained in the reverse sequence, although user satisfaction is not significantly different between the two groups. Implications for IS training research and practice are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

As information systems (IS) are adopted widely by firms as the means of improving task performance and user productivity in today's IS-dominated workplace, such adoption also imposes substantial pressure on firms to continuously train internal users in the knowledge and skills needed to use these systems appropriately. Despite training innovations, such as computer-based, video-based, and online training, the core issue still remains: how to structure training programs best to maximize users' knowledge retention and task performance (Davis & Bostrom, 1993).

The training literature suggests several approaches to IS training, such as instructionbased vs. exploration-based training (Davis & Bostrom, 1993), applications-based vs. constructbased training (Olfman & Bostrom, 1991), and conceptual vs. procedural training (Olfman & Mandviwalla, 1994; Santhanam & Sein, 1994). Of these, the last taxonomy has gained the broadest acceptance. Conceptual training is a top-down approach, where IS users are trained in the nature and associations of semantic objects required for comprehending and solving given problems, while procedural training is a bottom-up approach that informs users of action-plan sequences required to complete specific tasks. Because of the direct and immediate applicability of procedural knowledge in specific task situations, procedural training has emerged as the approach of choice for many corporate IS training programs (Quinoñes & Dutta, 1997).

Prior training research has compared the relative effects of conceptual and procedural approaches on IS task performance and reported mostly mixed results (Olfman & Mandviwalla, 1994; Santhanam & Sein, 1994). However, researchers tend to agree that both forms of training are important. Santhanam and Sein (1994) note that procedural training is more useful when the target system is easy to operate; however, users trained in this approach tend to perform poorly on novel tasks. They also state, "conceptual training is likely to provide a better opportunity for a user to form a coherent mental model compared to procedural training" (p. 382). Olfman and Mandviwalla (1994) observe, "some combination of concepts and procedures is needed. ... It is the relative quantity and sequencing of the two kinds of content that has not been fully established" (p. 407) (emphasis added).

Training sequence can be defined as the ordering of conceptual and procedural training. Though the importance of training sequences was noted in the research already cited, to date, there has been little empirical analysis of such sequences in the IS training literature. The idea of training sequences, however, has some support in learning theory (Glaser, 1990) and instructional design theory (Reigeluth & Stein, 1983), where researchers have advocated holistic user training, including both conceptual and procedural components. The rationale is that this strategy integrates two complementary forms of knowledge (concepts and procedures) that are both required for the performance of complex organizational tasks. In light of these suggestions, the goal of this study is to examine which training sequence (conceptualprocedural or procedural-conceptual) has a greater impact of task outcomes (e.g., performance) and user outcomes (e.g., satisfaction, self-efficacy).

To study this issue, we draw on Ausubel's (1978) assimilation theory in the educational psychology literature in order to develop four research hypotheses relating training sequences to near- and far-transfer IS task performance, user satisfaction, and user self-efficacy (terms defined later). These hypotheses are then tested empirically within the context of database design using student subjects via a quasi-experimental laboratory study. Results of the analysis confirm that the conceptual-procedural training sequence improves task performance and self-efficacy more than the reverse sequence, although user satisfaction is not significantly different between the two sequences.

The rest of this paper proceeds as follows. The next section formulates research hypotheses by drawing on assimilation theory and prior IS training research. The third section describes the experimental research design, subjects, treatments, and measurement. The fourth section describes statistical data analysis techniques and results. The fifth section discusses the study's key findings, limitations, and implications for research and practice.

THEORY AND RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

Assimilation Theory

Assimilation theory defines two types of human learning: meaningful learning and rote learning 14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/empirical-study-effects-training-sequences/163880

Related Content

The Next Generation of Personalization Techniques

Gulden Uchyigit (2009). Intelligent User Interfaces: Adaptation and Personalization Systems and Technologies (pp. 72-92).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/next-generation-personalization-techniques/24471

Toward a Comprehensive Framework: EUC Research Issues and Trends (1999-2000)

James P. Downey (2004). *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing (pp. 1-16).* www.irma-international.org/article/toward-comprehensive-framework/3789

Two Experiments in Reducing Overconfidence in Spreadsheet Development

Raymond R. Panko (2007). *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing (pp. 1-23).* www.irma-international.org/article/two-experiments-reducing-overconfidence-spreadsheet/3820

The Effect of Usability Guidelines on Web Site User Emotions

Patricia A. Chalmers (2008). End-User Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1734-1741).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/effect-usability-guidelines-web-site/18282

Digital Heritage Systems: The ARCO Evaluation

Stella Sylaiou, Martin Whiteand Fotis Liarokapis (2013). *Cases on Usability Engineering: Design and Development of Digital Products (pp. 321-354).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/digital-heritage-systems/76807