

Chapter 25

HCI and E-Learning: Developing a Framework for Evaluating E-Learning

Titilola T. Obilade

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter developed a framework for evaluating e-learning for use in Human Computer Interaction (HCI). A systems approach was used in the study; input, processes and output. It discussed the different assumptions about how people learn; behaviorism, cognitivism and constructivism. Further, it examined the common threads in the definitions of e-learning and the literature on evaluation of e-learning models. Nine categories of evaluation of e-learning were identified but five were reviewed because the remaining four overlapped. Two separate evaluations were reviewed under each category, making a total of ten reviews. The reviews showed that the evaluations were not conducted in the same way even within the same category making comparisons difficult. The framework was developed from the highlights in the review. The developed framework can be used to evaluate different e-learning modules along common lines making it easy to compare evaluations. It is hoped that over the next few years, a consistency in evaluations of e-learning would be achieved for use in HCI.

INTRODUCTION

This chapter would develop a framework for evaluating e-learning for use in Human Computer Interaction (HCI). Before an evaluation can be done, there must be an input, some processes and an output. How do we know that the output is actually the desired outcome? How do we know if the process we are using is actually the correct process? If the process is correct, can the input be wrong?

This chapter will attempt to answer some of these questions by developing a framework for evaluating e-learning. However, before examining different models of e-learning, the inputs, processes and the outputs in Human Computer Interaction will be examined (see Figure 1). The HCI process takes place in a system.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-0978-3.ch025

HCI and E-Learning

A system is a set of objects together with relationships between the objects and between their attributes. (Hall and Fagan, 1956)

---we propose that a system be taken as a nested network, with the underlying structure of a nested graph. (Harary and Batell, 1981)

Miller (1956) described a system as an entity that has common properties, constraints and the parts within the system are dependent on parts within and outside the system. The common thread in the three definitions is the inter and intra connections of all the parts.

In systems theory, the system consists of the inputs, the processes, the outputs and the feedback (Richey, 1986). The interactions in the system could be the processes, the constraints, the instructions and the feedback (Miller, 1965; Richey; 1986). The feedback can be negative or positive. The negative feedback does not connote a bad process. The negative feedback is what keeps the system the same. As illustrated in Figure 2, the information from A goes back into A through channel B so that the system remains the same. This is a negative feedback. When the inputs from A keep increasing in steady amounts, this is a positive feedback. "Positive feedback alters variables and destroys their steady state" (Miller, 1965 p. 35).

A system can also be affected by the supra system. The supra system has control over the system. The environment can be the immediate environment or the total environment (Miller, 1965). The immediate environment includes the system without the supra system. The total environment includes the immediate environment and the supra system. An example of a system is a university. The university makes use of an e-learning platform. Inside the system, there are subsystems. Each subsystem carries out similar functions. In each system, there is an echelon of processes that are arranged in hierarchy. Systems contain levels of different functions. The e-learning model/module is affected by learners' and

Figure 1. Input, process and output in a system





Figure 2. Illustration of a feedback system



21 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/hci-and-e-learning/167306

Related Content

Study of Relation between CSR and Employee Engagement in Hotel Industry

Jagdeep Singh (2016). *Corporate Social Responsibility in the Hospitality and Tourism Industry* (pp. 63-68). www.irma-international.org/chapter/study-of-relation-between-csr-and-employee-engagement-in-hotel-industry/147189

The Rural Learning Challenge: Meeting the Health Needs of Rural Residents through ICTs

Al Lauzon (2017). *Medical Education and Ethics: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1284-1306). www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-rural-learning-challenge/167342

The Impact of the Inter-Section Smart Specialization, Social Enterprise, and Innovation on Health Promotion and Equity

Gloria Macassa (2022). *International Journal of Sustainable Entrepreneurship and Corporate Social Responsibility* (pp. 1-12). www.irma-international.org/article/the-impact-of-the-inter-section-smart-specialization-social-enterprise-and-innovation-on-health-promotion-and-equity/287866

Green Growth Intervention on Employment Generation in India: Dynamic CGE Model Approach

Anandajit Goswami and Saswata Chaudhury (2017). *International Journal of Sustainable Entrepreneurship and Corporate Social Responsibility* (pp. 39-60). www.irma-international.org/article/green-growth-intervention-on-employment-generation-in-india-dynamic-cge-model-approach/209681

Gender Neutrality: For Sustainability in Power Relations

Anandajit Goswami, Sampurna Goswami and Ashutosh Senger (2019). *International Journal of Sustainable Entrepreneurship and Corporate Social Responsibility* (pp. 41-50). www.irma-international.org/article/gender-neutrality/233634