

Chapter 4

Integration, Innovation, and Intimidation?

Linking the Third Sector and Local Government in Ireland

Brid C. Quinn

University of Limerick, Ireland

ABSTRACT

Local governments find themselves dependent on co-operation, not only with other levels of government but also with a range of non-governmental actors. New actors, drawn from the private sector, civil society, and technocratic élites, have become involved in the governing process and interdependencies have grown. Various approaches have been implemented to link the third sector and government, and various conceptualizations and analyses have emerged. This chapter explores strategies to involve the third sector in local government in Ireland. Ireland makes for an interesting case since it depicts an example of a state-designed system for formally integrating the third sector in the reformed structures of local governance. It provides insights about the theory and practice of subnational governance and generates transferable knowledge about the impact of nationally directed strategies to integrate the third sector with government. It also offers suggestions for further research on such linkages.

INTRODUCTION

In both their social problem-solving and service-delivery roles, local governments find themselves dependent on co-operation, not only with other levels of government but also with a range of non-governmental actors. New actors, drawn from the private sector, civil society, and technocratic élites, have become involved in the governing process and interdependencies have grown, resulting in formal and informal alliances involving actors from the public, private and voluntary or third sectors. A wealth of literature portrays the strategies devised and the payoffs and problems inherent in the collaborative approach to governance (Geddes, 2006; Healey, 2015). The roles played by the third sector have varied as they became involved in networks, multi-sectorial/inter-agency collaborations, and partnerships. Such entities

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-1645-3.ch004

are complex and dynamic, reflecting changing issues and relationships and the distribution of power and resources. In recent years the state-third sector relationship in many countries has become increasingly formal, with different levels and forms of regulation being introduced (Phillips & Rathgeb Smith, 2014). While roles and relationships vary from country to country, there is evidence of an increased role for the local level in promoting and achieving development in this changing landscape. Changing paradigms and social expectations, as well as financial stringency, mean that local governments must increasingly rely on co-operation from third sector organizations to make development happen. This chapter explores strategies to formally involve the third sector in local government in Ireland. Ireland makes for an interesting case since it depicts a nationally designed system for formally integrating the third sector in the reformed structures of local governance while also encapsulating the intense effects of austerity on all sectors.

The chapter begins by investigating perspectives on the role and relationships of the third sector in contemporary public governance. Drawing on international literature, it reviews both conceptual interpretations and practical manifestations. The focus then moves to Ireland, with a brief overview of the evolution of local government and the third sector, followed by a discussion of current form and functioning and the impact of recent reforms. Limerick City and County Council provides an exemplar of the formal integration of the third sector in a local authority, using qualitative data to identify and analyze the changes brought about by the top-down reforms. The chapter concludes by reviewing the relevance of the changed structures and relationships for the public and third sectors, setting the Irish case in the international context and making some recommendations for future research.

Linking the State and the Third Sector: Concepts and Practice

The manner in which states are governed and public policy problems are tackled has been transformed in recent decades, and this transformation is frequently depicted through the lens of governance. The drive towards governance has led to “a re-dimensioning of the role of the state” and “a shared responsibility for resource allocation and conflict resolution” (Schmitter, 2002, p. 55). Governance theorists such as Osborne (2010), Pierre (2000), Rhodes (1997, 2007), and Stoker (2011) have delineated the differences between the traditional government approach and the changed institutions, issues, instruments, and patterns of interaction that are characteristic of governance. Theorizing on governance is concerned not only with the structures, mechanisms, and methods of governing but also with the sets of relationships between the governing and the governed and the formal and informal regimes and networks which are created. The focus on governance as a dynamic process reflects the changing role of governments as actors and/or institutions, the changing conceptualization of the state, the changing approaches to decision-making and conflict-resolution, and the determination and implementation of policy. There is also an awareness of the diversity of actors involved in the governing processes and of the increased interdependencies between organizations and between actors. One discernible trend is the emergence of networks involving actors from the public (often different levels of government), private, and third sectors. Networks are frequently cited alongside markets and hierarchy as the third way of governing. Such networks are complex and dynamic, reflecting changing issues, relationships, and distribution of power and resources (Torfing, Peters, Pierre & Sorensen, 2012). Networks operate at both single and multiple levels of governance, adding to the complexity of intergovernmental relations. Agranoff has proposed “an action-centered theory of inter-governmental relations that not only incorporates politics and government but all-important administrative-administrator interactions along with NGO interac-

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/integration-innovation-and-intimidation/172894

Related Content

Institutionalizing the Politics-Administration Dichotomy in Local Government: Reforming the Council-Manager System in Ireland

Mark Callanan (2016). *Theoretical Foundations and Discussions on the Reformation Process in Local Governments* (pp. 153-178).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/institutionalizing-the-politics-administration-dichotomy-in-local-government/154524

A Comparison of Resource Equalization Processes for Subnational Rural Governance and Development: Case Studies of England, Scotland, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand

Tony Jackson, Etienne Neland Sean Connelly (2017). *Handbook of Research on Sub-National Governance and Development* (pp. 117-149).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-comparison-of-resource-equalization-processes-for-subnational-rural-governance-and-development/172897

The Subnational Region: A Utopia? The Challenge of Governing Through Soft Power

Alexander Lawrie (2017). *Handbook of Research on Sub-National Governance and Development* (pp. 96-115).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-subnational-region/172895

Collaborative Governance and the Implementation of Fiscal Responsibility Frameworks

Sonia D. Gatchair (2017). *Handbook of Research on Sub-National Governance and Development* (pp. 463-482).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/collaborative-governance-and-the-implementation-of-fiscal-responsibility-frameworks/172916

The Normative Base of Local Government: Progress in Local Democracy and the Reformation Process

Rusen Keles (2016). *Theoretical Foundations and Discussions on the Reformation Process in Local Governments* (pp. 24-41).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-normative-base-of-local-government/154519