Chapter 7 Culturally Situated Design Tools: Generative Justice as a Foundation for STEM Diversity

Ron Eglash

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

William Babbitt

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Audrey Bennett

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Kathryn Bennett

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Brian Callahan

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

James Davis

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

John Drazan

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Charles Hathaway

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

David Hughes

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Mukkai Krishnamoorthy

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Michael Lachney

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Michael Mascarenhas

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Shayla Sawyer

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Kathleen Tully

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2005-4.ch007

ABSTRACT

The "pipeline" model of STEM education conceives of underrepresentation by race, gender and class in terms of leaks that fail to deliver students to their destination in the science and technology workforce. But that model fails to consider the role of STEM in producing underrepresentation. This can only be solved by moving from the extractive approach of the pipeline model to a generative model in which the value produced by STEM students cycles back to their own communities. We report on our experience creating and evaluating Culturally Situated Design Tools. Using a framework of "generative justice", we contrast the cyclic social damage, which reproduces underrepresentation with the potential for STEM education as a niche in the technosocial ecosystem that can address underrepresentation and causal factors.

INTRODUCTION

The "pipeline" model of STEM education envisions a stream of students entering the educational system, but because of "leaks" only a small percentage make it to the end. Low-income, female, and underrepresented ethnic groups leak out more than others, which "explains" their underrepresentation. This model has become so naturalized in STEM education that we no longer think of it as a model; it appears to be common sense. To think that the model itself is flawed may seem irrational. However scientists challenge models in search of better ones all the time.

Consider, for example, the origins of the pipeline model in the oil industry. If scientists and engineers approached the problem in the same way--the only solution to America's rising fuel prices is to get more oil through the pipeline--we would be trapped forever in a world with accelerating global warming, middle-eastern petrodictatorships, ocean oil spills, etc. Fortunately we now understand the importance of replacing these extractive industries with renewable energy. Biofuels based on waste products, for example, can suck up as much carbon in the plant growth part of the cycle as they release in the fuel part of the cycle. Similarly we must now replace an extractive approach to underrepresented students in STEM with a generative approach that embodies both social and environmental justice.

A common reaction to this view, especially from scientists and engineers, is that we are confusing two entirely different phenomena. Whatever our critique of the social and environmental damage caused by STEM may be, surely K-12 students are unware of it. To the contrary, while underrepresented youth may not have the data or analytic tools to back up their intuition, few of those living poverty think they are inhabiting a system which treats them and their community in fair and just ways. Because they often lack the means to express this analytically, the reaction can appear irrational. In the case of underrepresented ethnic groups these include

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/culturally-situated-design-tools/173053

Related Content

Reflections of Own Vs. Other Culture: Considerations of the ICC Model

Eiko Gyogiand Vivian Lee (2016). *International Journal of Bias, Identity and Diversities in Education (pp. 15-28).*

www.irma-international.org/article/reflections-of-own-vs-other-culture/156495

"Female Charm": Women's Role in Tourism Internationalization, Innovation, and Networking Strategies

Carlos Costa, Zélia Breda, Fiona Eva Bakas, Marília Durãoand Isabel Pinho (2019). *Gender and Diversity: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1789-1808).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/female-charm/209065

Gender Differences in Perception of Gamification Elements on Social Live Streaming Services

Katrin Scheibeand Franziska Zimmer (2022). Research Anthology on Feminist Studies and Gender Perceptions (pp. 405-422).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/gender-differences-in-perception-of-gamification-elements-on-social-live-streaming-services/296629

IYKYK: Black Queer Womxn's Experiences at Religiously Affiliated Institutions

Jazmin "JD" Dantzlerand Tolulope Taiwo (2024). *Perspectives on Transforming Higher Education and the LGBTQIA Student Experience (pp. 187-204).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/iykyk/337379

Beyond Incarcerated Identities: Identity, Bias and Barriers to Higher Education in Australian Prisons

Marcus K. Harmes, Susan Hopkinsand Helen Farley (2019). *International Journal of Bias, Identity and Diversities in Education (pp. 1-16).*

www.irma-international.org/article/beyond-incarcerated-identities/216370