Chapter 14 Some Other Applications in Community Graph under the Preview of Social Graph Using Graph-Mining Techniques

Bapuji Rao iNurture Education Solutions Private Limited, India

> Sasmita Mishra IGIT, India

Saroja Nanda Mishra IGIT, India

ABSTRACT

The retrieval of sub-graph from a large graph in structured data mining is one of the fundamental tasks for analyze. Visualization and analyze large community graph are challenging day by day. Since a large community graph is very difficult to visualize, so compression is essential. To study a large community graph, compression technique may be used for compression of community graph. There should not be any loss of information or knowledge while compressing the community graph. Similarly to extract desired knowledge of a particular sub-graph from a large community graph, then the large community graph needs to be partitioned into smaller sub-community graphs. The partition aims at the edges among the community members of dissimilar communities in a community graph. Sometimes it is essential to compare two community graphs for similarity which makes easier for mining the reliable knowledge from a large community graph. Once the similarity is done then the necessary mining of knowledge can be extracted from only one community graph rather than from both which leads saving of time.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-1877-8.ch014

INTRODUCTION

Day by day the applications on graphs are increasing rapidly leading to increase in size and complexities of graph. To represent a large community graph in the memory is a very challenging and rather difficult task. The direct visualization of such a large community graph is beyond human capability. The visualization can be achieved by compressing a large community graph into a smaller one which ultimately contains all the information's related to the nodes of the community graph. Representation and compressing of such kind of community graph without knowledge loss presents a new challenge to graph mining. One issue in this direction is that, the huge community graph may severely restrict the application of existing pattern mining technologies. The compressed community graph should conserve the characteristics of the original community graph. So that visualization becomes easier and the process of knowledge extraction can be carried out more efficiently and easily. Graph compression problem can be addressed by clustering algorithms, as group members have similar characteristics and can be represented by super nodes. Similar work is done by graph partitioning algorithms by Fjallstrom (1998) and Elsner (1997), which can be used to detect hidden community structures. Most of these algorithms are based on the distance matrix, and do not focus on the structure of the original graph. Another feasible technique is to construct a small graph consisting of a set of the most important nodes and edges. Much literature has discussed how to rank the centrality of nodes and edges by Newman et al (2004) and Gert (1966). Another technique is the information-theoretic technique by Navlakha et al (2008) which can construct both lossless and lossy compressed graph representations. Such representation has two parts. The first one being the graph summary that captures the important communities and relationships in the original community graph and the second one is set of edge corrections which help to re-create the original community graph from a compressed community graph. The analysis of complex networks has become a hot research topic in the field of data mining. One of the examples is social network's community network. Communities, also known as clusters, are often referred to as vertices with a high density of connections among them and seldom connected with the rest of the graph by Girvan et al (2002). Community detection provides valuable information about the structural properties of the network by Boccaletti et al (2006) and Girvan et al (2002), the interactions among the agents of a network by Blondel et al (2008) or the role the agents develop inside the network Wang et al (2010). Scalable Community Detection (SCD) by WWW (2014) detects disjoint communities in undirected and un-weighted networks by maximizing WCC, which is proposed community metric. Weighted Community Clustering (WCC) is a metric based on triangle structures in a community. A community (a module or a cluster) can be thought as a group of nodes with more interactions amongst its members than between its members and the remainder of the network by Girvan et al (2002). Such groups of nodes (communities) are interpreted as organizational units in social networks by Feld (1981) and Simmel (1964). The authors use graph theory's some important techniques to solve the problem of partitioning a community graph to minimize the number of edges or links that connect different community by Rajaraman et al (2011). The aim of partitioning a community graph to sub-community graphs is to detect similar vertices which form a sub-community graph. For example, considering Facebook is a very large social graph. It can be partitioned into sub-graphs, and each sub-group should belong to a particular characteristics. Such cases the authors require graph partitions. In this partition, it is not mandatory that each sub-group contain similar number of members. A partition of a community graph is to divide into clusters, such that each similar vertex belongs to one cluster. Here a cluster means a particular community. A graph arises in many situations like web graph of documents, a social network graph of friends, a road-map graph of cities. 59 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-global.com/chapter/some-other-applications-in-community-graph-</u> under-the-preview-of-social-graph-using-graph-mining-techniques/173835

Related Content

Vector Evaluation of Problematic Situations

(2017). *Multi-Criteria Decision Making for the Management of Complex Systems (pp. 100-113).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/vector-evaluation-of-problematic-situations/180010

A Hierarchical Fuzzy Portfolio Selection Process Considering Transaction Costs with a Hybrid Intelligent Algorithm

Masoud Rabbaniand Seyyed Mostafa Bahadornia (2013). International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences (pp. 90-108).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-hierarchical-fuzzy-portfolio-selection-process-considering-transaction-costs-with-ahybrid-intelligent-algorithm/94663

How Do Groups Matter?: Competitive Responses, Environmental Dynamism and Firm Performance

Bau-Jung Changand Yu-Pin Chen (2014). *International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences (pp. 47-64)*. www.irma-international.org/article/how-do-groups-matter/116461

Social Media in Business Decisions of MSMEs: Practices and Challenges

Vikas Kumar, Pooja Nandaand Samira Tawangar (2022). International Journal of Decision Support System Technology (pp. 1-12).

www.irma-international.org/article/social-media-in-business-decisions-of-msmes/286686

Cost Framework for Evaluation of Information Technology Alternatives in Supply Chain

Jagdish Pathakand Navneet Vidyarthi (2013). *Management Theories and Strategic Practices for Decision Making (pp. 353-372).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/cost-framework-evaluation-information-technology/70966