### Chapter 7 Addressing Cultural and Gender Project Bias: Engaged Learning for Diverse Student Cohorts

**Jennifer Loy** Griffith University, Australia

**Rae Cooper** Griffith University, Australia

### ABSTRACT

Engaged student learning is based on creating significant learning experiences for every student. Attracting a more diverse student body into Engineering requires a re-evaluation of the conventional project topics that dominate the discipline. Recognising and addressing cultural and gender bias in the development of project work allows for the education of Engineering faculty on the development of a range of project work opportunities that support the learning for a more diverse cohort. The selection of set project work has the potential to negatively impact the learning experience of minority students. This chapter considers the elements influencing set project work and provides strategies for understanding cultural and gender bias, and for redesigning project work that provides for a more diverse cohort.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2212-6.ch007

Copyright ©2017, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

#### DRIVING DIVERSITY

It is most beneficial to consider all students as individuals and provide an education that caters to a full range of personalities, interests, backgrounds and social groups. In supporting diversity, there can be an underlying assumption that the driver is for an equality defined as "anonymity" within the cohort. Many of the strategies suggested in learning and teaching publications, for example on group work, advocate randomization as a way of ensuring that all students are treated equally (Race, 2006) and there is an emphasis on language that does not differentiate between students. However, this homogenization both assumes a balanced cohort profile as a starting point and that the minority should be always striving to become the "same" as the majority. In practice, isolating minority students within a dominant majority will not provide them with equality, as their voice will count even less as individuals spread throughout a dominant group, than if they were grouped together. Similarly, providing all students with the same project work and assessment mechanisms does not provide equity if those tools bias a particular students" learning preferences over another and not support the development of diversity that the future of the profession needs to provide the balanced workforce. The argument that the outcome will be a spread of marks, with all having an equal chance, is superficial in that it does not take into account whether the dominant majority is repeatedly succeeding over minority groups. More fundamentally, it supports the notion that minorities with diverse learning preferences should adapt to suit the preferences of the dominant majority. This further sustains the existing paradigm.

According to scholarship on learning and teaching, Bloom's definition of deep learning is required in order for students to genuinely gain an understanding of any subject. This applies to engineering education as much as for any other discipline arguably perhaps more so because of the need for engineers to understand the broader implications of their work for the development of viable, rigorous, systems outcomes for specific tasks. Leaders in educational research argue that for this to be achieved, students need to be actively engaged in their learning. Dee Fink (2013) describes this as the need to provide significant learning experiences for individuals; that is, activities that they can each personally relate to.

This is without doubt a challenge, and particularly for a discipline with an inherited body of knowledge and practice that has evolved very specifically for a dominant majority. Yet the drivers here are not only about improving learning and teaching, or even about supporting diversity for its own sake, they also relate to changing practice in the profession as a whole and the need to evolve the discipline to encompass more divergent thinking and practices as professional engineering challenges are become more complex and interdisciplinary. In addition, as engineering outcomes grow with the perpetual development of new technologies, the range of

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/chapter/addressing-cultural-and-gender-project-</u>

bias/175502

### **Related Content**

#### Conferences as Learning Spaces for Advancing Knowledge and Action for the SDGs: Insights From Youth Experiences

Mona Betour El Zoghbi (2019). *Building Sustainability Through Environmental Education (pp. 89-120).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/conferences-as-learning-spaces-for-advancing-knowledgeand-action-for-the-sdgs/219053

# The Strengths and Weaknesses of a "Learning While Earning" Variation of Work-Integrated Learning

Kaye Clark (2014). International Journal of Quality Assurance in Engineering and Technology Education (pp. 52-65).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-strengths-and-weaknesses-of-a-learning-while-earning-variation-of-work-integrated-learning/134453

#### Bridging the Academia-Industry Gap in Software Engineering: A Client-Oriented Open Source Software Projects Course

Bonnie K. MacKellar, Mihaela Sabinand Allen B. Tucker (2014). Overcoming Challenges in Software Engineering Education: Delivering Non-Technical Knowledge and Skills (pp. 373-396).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/bridging-the-academia-industry-gap-in-softwareengineering/102341

## Leveraging Community-Based Service Learning Experiences into Academic Credit in Engineering Curricula

John Tharakan (2012). International Journal of Quality Assurance in Engineering and Technology Education (pp. 77-85).

www.irma-international.org/article/leveraging-community-based-service-learning/63641

# A Study on Adaptability of Total Quality Management in Engineering Education Sector

Chandra Sekhar Patro (2012). International Journal of Quality Assurance in Engineering and Technology Education (pp. 25-37). www.irma-international.org/article/a-study-on-adaptability-of-total-quality-management-inengineering-education-sector/83623