Chapter 3 Wild Architecture: Explaining Cognition via Self-Sustaining Systems

Vincent T. Cialdella Illinois State University, USA

Emilio J. C. Lobato Illinois State University, USA

J. Scott Jordan Illinois State University, USA

ABSTRACT

In this chapter, the authors focus on cognitive architectures that are developed with the intent to explain human cognition. The authors first describe the mission of cybernetics and early cognitive architectures and recount the popular criticism that these perspectives fail to provide genuine explanations of cognition. Moving forward, the authors propose that there are three pervasive problems that modern cognitive architectures must address: the problem of consciousness, the problem of embodiment, and the problem of representation. Wild Systems Theory (Jordan, 2013) conceptualizes biological cognition as a feature of self-sustaining embodied context that manifests itself at multiple, nested, time-scales. In this manner, Wild Systems Theory is presented as a particularly useful framework for coherently addressing the problems of consciousness, embodiment, and representation.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-1947-8.ch003

Copyright ©2017, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

INTRODUCTION

For some time now, one of the leading assumptions in the development of cognitive architectures has been Marr's (1982) tri-level theory of explanation; the idea that the proper approach in developing a cognitive architecture (and thus explaining cognition) is to

- 1. Determine the computations necessary to completing a cognitive task (e.g., sorting a list of numbers from lowest to highest),
- 2. Generate a representation of the inputs, outputs, and algorithms an informationprocessing system would need to complete the task, and
- 3. Actually build (i.e., implement) a system capable of executing the algorithms.

The purpose of the present paper is to examine issues that have proven challenging to Marr's implementation approach to explaining cognition. Three particular challenges are the issues of consciousness, embodiment, and representation. After examining these challenges, we will present an approach to describing cognitive architectures (Wild Systems Theory—WST, Jordan, 20213) that addresses each challenge, while simultaneously shifting the focus of modeling from looking to biology for inspiration, to looking at a more fundamental property that biological systems share with many other types of systems, including chemical, psychological, and cultural—specifically, the ability of certain far from equilibrium systems to generate catalysts that feedback into and sustain the processes that produced them; what Kauffman (1995) refers to as 'autocatalytic' systems, and what Jordan (2013) refers to as self-sustaining, or *wild* systems.

PROBLEMS WITH "IMPLENTATION AS EXPLANATION"

While Marr's (1982) approach to developing cognitive architectures has inspired research that has given rise to a host of new technologies, there are those who have expressed doubts regarding his assertion that implementation constitutes explanation. In his seminal paper, *Quantitative analysis of purposive systems: Some spadework at the foundation of scientific psychology*, William T. Powers (1973) expressed his belief that psychologists were working under the confused assumption that control-theoretic concepts had been developed to explain the behavior of organisms. According to Powers, control-theoretic concepts were developed so that engineers could develop systems capable of doing what organisms do; namely, maintain ordered states with their environment by offsetting environmental disturbances to those ordered states. Powers referred to this ability to maintain ordered states as *input control*, and he

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/chapter/wild-architecture/176185</u>

Related Content

Behavioral Biometrics: Categorization and Review

Roman V. Yampolskiy, Nawaf Ali, Darryl D'Souzaand Abdallah A. Mohamed (2014). International Journal of Natural Computing Research (pp. 85-118). www.irma-international.org/article/behavioral-biometrics/118159

Studies of Computational Intelligence Based on the Behaviour of Cockroaches

Amartya Neogi (2017). *Nature-Inspired Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1039-1086).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/studies-of-computational-intelligence-based-on-thebehaviour-of-cockroaches/161061

Unified Swarm Intelligence Algorithms

Yuhui Shi (2018). *Critical Developments and Applications of Swarm Intelligence (pp. 1-26).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/unified-swarm-intelligence-algorithms/198919

Cognitively Inspired Neural Network for Recognition of Situations

Roman Ilinand Leonid Perlovsky (2012). *Nature-Inspired Computing Design, Development, and Applications (pp. 39-59).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/cognitively-inspired-neural-network-recognition/66769

FPGA Coprocessor for Simulation of Neural Networks Using Compressed Matrix Storage

Jörg Bornschein (2011). System and Circuit Design for Biologically-Inspired Intelligent Learning (pp. 255-275).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/fpga-coprocessor-simulation-neural-networks/48898