

Chapter 82

Governing the Public Sector E–Performance: The Accounting Practices in the Digital Age

Carlotta del Sordo

University of Bologna, Italy

Rebecca L. Orelli

University of Bologna, Italy

Emanuele Padovani

University of Bologna, Italy

ABSTRACT

Over the past several decades the demand for accountability in the field of public administration has been growing exponentially in Europe. The particular emphasis for this theme was the stimulus for the significant adoption and use of information technology systems in the public sector. Thus, the main focus of European countries has been e-government that provides process reform of the manner in which governments work, share information, and deliver services to external and internal clients. Therefore, accountability has become more critical for improving the economic, financial and organizational management of public matters. The need for accountability has pushed the Italian legislature to produce a sequence of legislative and regulatory interventions towards increased transparency in public administrations. This paper presents an account of the likely consequences that performance monitoring systems have, through e-government technology, on public service transparency and accountability. This research utilizes a study on the Brunetta reform (from the Ministry of Public Administration) to foster public sector productivity; that study's key principles are efficiency, meritocracy, accountability, and transparency.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-1837-2.ch082

1. INTRODUCTION

E-government is typically defined as providing government services via the Internet or other technologies to citizens and businesses (Chen & Gant 2001). E-government involves using the tools and systems made possible by Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) to provide better public services to citizens and businesses (Hood & Margetts, 2007). Specifically, e-government harnesses information technologies (such as Wide Area Networks, the Internet, and mobile computing) to transform relations with citizens, businesses, and other government arms. These technologies can improve public sector performance by various means: better delivery of government services to citizens, improved interactions with business and industry, citizen empowerment through access to information, or more efficient government management. The resulting benefits are less corruption, increased transparency, greater convenience, revenue growth, and cost reduction.

This study presents an account of the likely consequences that performance monitoring systems have on public transparency and accountability through e-government technology. The research utilizes a study of the Brunetta reform (from the Italian Ministry of Public Administration) to foster public sector productivity; that study's key principles are efficiency, meritocracy, accountability, and transparency.

One of the Brunetta reform study's key elements is represented by its linkage to the ongoing Italian e-government strategy. Specifically, we outline the rationale for introducing performance monitoring technologies in public central administrations (ministries), the manner in which the central government used the system and the ways in which central public administrations responded to a compulsory performance monitoring system.

This paper is structured as follows. The next section provides the theoretical framework for e-performance in the public sector. The third establishes the e-government policy scenario in Europe, whereas the fourth introduces the timeline of Italian e-government strategies. The fifth section provides an analysis of the Brunetta reform content that represents the Italian response to the EU request regarding e-government implementation; in addition, the Brunetta reform represents the starting point of a new performance measurement path through e-government in Italy. The sixth section measures the implementation results. The last section presents conclusions.

2. E-PERFORMANCE AND PUBLIC SECTOR

Performance measurement is established based on the idea that each public organization formulates its own envisaged performance by defining specific performance indicators that are used to steer and control activities to attain strategic goals (De Bruijn, 2002). Hatry defines performance measurement as the "measurement on a regular basis of the results (outcome) and efficiency of services or programs" (1999, p. 3). Bouckaert and Halligan (2008) consider performance as "not a unitary concept ... [which] must be viewed as a set of information about achievements of varying significance to different stakeholders."

In explaining how and why the performance concept is volatile in the public sector, we can use the "performance regime" concept of Talbot (Talbot, 2008). Talbot suggests that a multitude of actors, such as government departments, ministries, legislatures, and audit, inspection, judicial, and regulatory bodies may be involved in defining the context within which a government may be engaged in developing and adopting a performance measurement system. Thus, a multitude of actors are involved in shaping the prevailing idea of the performance that must be accomplished.

9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/governing-the-public-sector-e-performance/176830

Related Content

Shared Leadership and Key Innovation Indicators in Six Sigma Projects

Brian J. Galli, Mohamad Amin Kaviani, Eleonora Bottaniand Teresa Murino (2017). *International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences* (pp. 1-45).

www.irma-international.org/article/shared-leadership-and-key-innovation-indicators-in-six-sigma-projects/189233

Web-Based Decision Support System for Solving Multiple-Objective Decision-Making Problems

Leoneed Kirilov, Vassil Guliashkiand Boris Staykov (2021). *Research Anthology on Decision Support Systems and Decision Management in Healthcare, Business, and Engineering* (pp. 594-620).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/web-based-decision-support-system-for-solving-multiple-objective-decision-making-problems/282607

Clinical Decision Support Systems Question and Answering

David José Murteira Mendes, Irene Pimenta Rodriguesand César Fonseca (2021). *Research Anthology on Decision Support Systems and Decision Management in Healthcare, Business, and Engineering* (pp. 543-554).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/clinical-decision-support-systems-question-and-answering/282604

Predicting Stock Market Price Using Neural Network Model

Naliniprava Tripathy (2018). *International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences* (pp. 84-94).

www.irma-international.org/article/predicting-stock-market-price-using-neural-network-model/208680

The Power of Incentives in Decision Making

Geraldine Ryanand Edward Shinnick (2008). *Encyclopedia of Decision Making and Decision Support Technologies* (pp. 724-731).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/power-incentives-decision-making/11314