

# Chapter 11

## To Code or Not to Code: Obtaining Value From the Customization of Packaged Application Software

**Bryon Balint**  
*Belmont University, USA*

### ABSTRACT

*Businesses that purchase packaged application software—for example, an Enterprise Resource Planning system—must make choices about customization. Software vendors, anecdotal evidence, and practitioner-oriented research all recommend that organizations should customize software as little as possible, and instead adapt their processes to meet the “best practices” of the software. However, businesses continue to exceed their budgets on implementing and maintaining customized software, often to a significant extent. This suggests that either these organizations are making poor decisions, or that the conventional wisdom about customization is incorrect. In this paper we model the primary factors in the customization decision: “fit” between the desired business process and the packaged software; costs related to development, maintenance, integration, and performance; and benefits related to increased fit, integration, performance, and user acceptance. We use simulation techniques to illustrate the conditions under which customization is likely to provide value to the organization, as well as conditions under which customization should be avoided.*

### INTRODUCTION

Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems are the most complex category of Enterprise Information Systems. While ERP adoption has progressed into some service industries, the vast majority of the ERP user base continues to be large manufacturing firms (META Group, 2004). Firms make the decision to implement ERP systems for many reasons, sometimes technical (Y2K or the obsolescence of old systems, for example) but predominantly to meet operational business requirements. One reason that many firms choose to implement ERP is in order to achieve competitive advantage over other firms in the same industry (Jafarnejad, Ansari, Youshanlouei, & Mood, 2012). The logic is that by following the business processes prescribed by the functionality and structure of the ERP system, business units (BU's) within

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2382-6.ch011

## **To Code or Not to Code**

a firm will be collectively more efficient because they will be able to share data effortlessly (Ravasan & Rouhani, 2014). ERP systems have been shown to give firms an advantage over their competitors on several performance dimensions including profit margin, return on assets, and inventory turnover (Hitt, Wu & Zhou, 2002; Tang & Marthandan, 2011). However, many companies decide to implement an ERP system after some of their competitors have already implemented or started implementing the same ERP system. For example, General Motors, Ford, Volvo and BMW all use SAP, the ERP market leader (SAP, 2014).

One way in which companies seek to extract additional gains from an ERP systems and other packaged software is to tailor it using custom development that meets business-specific needs. For example, a publisher may have a complex royalty structure that is not handled within the standard accounting functionality of an ERP package. Given this situation, the publisher can either choose to simplify its royalty process or to modify the ERP package with custom development in order to handle its existing process. Most ERP vendors provide a mechanism for custom development but warn against it. One advertised benefit of ERP systems is the “best practices” that are embedded in the software; custom development may be incongruent with these practices, or may interfere with their use. Custom development may also affect the integration of data across different areas, another important benefit of ERP systems. In addition, all custom development must be maintained over time, and custom development that is done poorly may slow down system performance (Ng, 2013).

In spite of these potential issues, many firms in the publisher’s situation would choose to customize the package. A responsible implementation project manager would conduct some form of cost-benefit analysis to decide which pieces of custom development to create. Unfortunately, not all of these analyses are complete or accurate. Benefits from customization may be overstated, and software development costs are notoriously underestimated (Harter, Krishnan, & Slaughter, 2000). As a result, firms may not derive the value from custom development that they expect; in fact, custom development may decrease the overall value of the system. However, ERP project managers that fully understand the costs and benefits of custom development should be able to make more knowledgeable decisions about it.

In this paper we present a model of custom development in the context of packaged application software. The model is most relevant to large-scale business software such as ERP systems, but can be generalizable to any business application software. We then use simulation techniques to model different conditions under which custom development may occur. As a result, we are able to describe and interpret the optimal conditions for the custom development related to packaged software. Implications and limitations of our model are also discussed.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

A firm that implements a business software application package is naturally going to encounter some “gaps” between the functionality that the package provides and the business requirements. In these cases, a firm may choose to either adapt its processes to conform to the software package, or it may choose to customize the package itself (Soffer, Boaz, & Dov, 2005; Zarei & Naeli, 2010). Because most ERP packages are designed to be general, i.e. to be used by a wide range of businesses in different industries, they usually have some degree of customization or “configuration” built in that does not involve custom development (Chand, Hachey, Hunton, Owhoso, & Vasudevan, 2005; Negi & Bansal, 2013). Light (2005) looked at the qualities of custom development in the ERP environment in terms of context, content and

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/to-code-or-not-to-code/177346](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/to-code-or-not-to-code/177346)

## Related Content

---

### An Efficient Multi-Objective Model for Data Replication in Cloud Computing Environment

K. Sasikumar and B. Vijayakumar (2020). *International Journal of Enterprise Information Systems* (pp. 69-91).

[www.irma-international.org/article/an-efficient-multi-objective-model-for-data-replication-in-cloud-computing-environment/243704](http://www.irma-international.org/article/an-efficient-multi-objective-model-for-data-replication-in-cloud-computing-environment/243704)

### Contrasting Approaches to Preparedness: A Reflection on Two Case Studies

Lorraine Warren and Ted Fuller (2010). *Enterprise Information Systems for Business Integration in SMEs: Technological, Organizational, and Social Dimensions* (pp. 400-411).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/contrasting-approaches-preparedness/38210](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/contrasting-approaches-preparedness/38210)

### IT Governance and IT Risk Management Principles and Methods for Supporting 'Always-On' Enterprise Information Systems

Mario Spremic (2010). *Always-On Enterprise Information Systems for Business Continuity: Technologies for Reliable and Scalable Operations* (pp. 1-16).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/governance-risk-management-principles-methods/36588](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/governance-risk-management-principles-methods/36588)

### Dissolving Organisational and Technological Silos: An Overview of Enterprise Integration Concepts

W. Lam and V. Venky Shankararaman (2007). *Enterprise Architecture and Integration: Methods, Implementation and Technologies* (pp. 1-22).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/dissolving-organisational-technological-silos/18359](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/dissolving-organisational-technological-silos/18359)

### Optimal Spectrum-Hole Detection Scheme for Cooperative CRN Using Dynamic Weighted VIKOR

Jayakumar Loganathan, S. Janakiraman and Ankur Dumka (2020). *International Journal of Enterprise Information Systems* (pp. 108-131).

[www.irma-international.org/article/optimal-spectrum-hole-detection-scheme-for-cooperative-crn-using-dynamic-weighted-vikor/243706](http://www.irma-international.org/article/optimal-spectrum-hole-detection-scheme-for-cooperative-crn-using-dynamic-weighted-vikor/243706)