Chapter 1 A Review of the Social Entrepreneurship Phenomenon

Andrea Carolina Silva Antonio de Nebrija, Colombia

Carlos Poza Universidad Nebrija, Spain

ABSTRACT

Entrepreneurship analyzes a very wide field of study and, at the same time, it converges with many topics, including the characteristics of entrepreneur, conditions that favor entrepreneurship, resources and funding sources, legislation, family businesses, succession protocols, learning and teaching of creating enterprise, and typologies of entrepreneurship. Social entrepreneurship is characterized by its input in creating value, and given the activities carried out by entrepreneurs, they have a big social impact, especially in communities and vulnerable groups but not exclusively, as many social entrepreneurial initiatives are forms of social benefit, and they do not circumscribe to particular conditions of vulnerability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Around the world, Governments, Civil Society, Private Sector and Organizations have focused the generalized interest on social problems and sustainability, linked to social value making and human development, whit the purpose of integrating and uniting efforts to the promotion of equity in quality of life. These strategies include to potent entrepreneurship and create enterprises oriented to overcome environmental, social and economic challenges that affect the world.

From the French word *entrepreneur*¹, entrepreneurship has identified form the 17th and 18th centuries to those persons who are in charge of the things happen and metaphorically they had identified with the role of architects, because they were who undertook the work of building, by their own initiative as well as by request, and took to reality. In the same metaphoric sense, it identified two kinds of persons: the adventurous warrior who undertakes a fight or a great achievement, entrepreneur, or the Head of the State who planned strategies and politics, intraentrepreneur (Verin, 1982 quoted by Rodríguez, 2009:86). At

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-1923-2.ch001

the end of the past century, the term got the space to explore it form different ambits: economic, social, institutional, academic, psychological and administrative or management and it recognized the link between the spirit of enterprise, economic growth and development of the life conditions in the territories.

From this statement, it was based the meaning of growth as the goal to get the development. The consequences of this presumption took the world of today to accept that that growth is not a synonym of development and, in some occasions, it is not even a goal to get developed. Austrian Joseph Alois Schumpeter is recognized for his contribution to the study of social development and its relationship with entrepreneurship, from the concept of innovation and what an entrepreneur does. All his economic theories refer to something more than simple functional and dynamic logic of economic process; they refer to life and growth of a civilization, of which industrial system is part of it, with entrepreneur as creative spontaneous leader amongst businessmen helped by the credit, provide innovations to keep the vigor of economic development² (Schumpeter, 1912).

In his work *The Theory of Economic Development*, published in 1912, he lays out that the economic development has a cyclic character, and it involves a driving force which it is the entrepreneur, a process that it is dynamic and transforming, based on innovation, a tool what it is the *creative destruction* and a goal that is the profit. This circuit has movements, indefinitely repeated phenomena, it means, factors and methods are immutable. For not continuing with this situation or seasonality, which it is near to not-development of economic system, it is necessary a mechanism of change, the driving force, to introduce the innovation, that it is a characteristic act of the entrepreneur, with the decision that he/she has to carry new combinations out (innovation) introducing whether a new source of material, a new good, method, market or establishing a new organization, in any kind of entrepreneurship.

The entrepreneurship is a very wide field of study, and at the same time it converges many topics, including the characteristics of entrepreneur, the conditions that favor the entrepreneurship, resources and funding sources, legislation, family businesses, protocols of succession, learning and teaching of creating enterprise and typologies of entrepreneurship.³

The social entrepreneurship, which it has to do with sensibility and ability to recognize business opportunities which their impact is focused on improvement of quality of life, on creating social value, from a management focus, where the opportunity is a desirable future state of welfare, which it requires the introductions of goods and services through the creating of alliances (Marijek et al., 2004).

The social entrepreneurship must be understood as the building, evaluation and pursuit of social changes according to context (Roberts and Woods, 2005) and it emerges to care of matters in which the profitable sector, government and non-profitable sector cannot or does not get to solve (Mukesh et al., 2009). It is a strategic alternative that it favors the quality of life, via creating of sustainable social value, and it differs from the classic concept of non-profitable company, in the sense of it does seek to be profitable from the management or entrepreneurial focus of its activity, in order to survive as it does any other entrepreneurial organization oriented to the market.

The social entrepreneurship is characterized by its input in the making of value, given the activities have a big social impact, especially in communities or vulnerable groups but not in an exclusive way; i.e., many social entrepreneurial initiatives are form social benefit and do not circumscribe to particular conditions of vulnerability.

In some way, development and economic growth do not favor equity, paradoxically,⁴ which it is a fundamental element in helping to alleviate situations derived from social problems. It is there when the social entrepreneurship has a focus on vulnerable population and its dynamics is based on defeating the lacks that limit the social interaction.

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/a-review-of-the-social-entrepreneurshipphenomenon/179654

Related Content

Religiosity and Entrepreneurship Intentions among Pentecostal Christians

Isaac Oluwajoba Abereijoand Juliana Funmilayo Afolabi (2017). *Entrepreneurship: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1865-1880).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/religiosity-and-entrepreneurship-intentions-among-pentecostal-christians/179736

Online Learning: Challenges and Suggestions to Enhance Student Engagement in Higher Education Institutions

Amrik Singh, Dilbag Singhand Sumit Chhikara (2024). *Reshaping Entrepreneurial Education Within an Industry 4.0 Context (pp. 59-80).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/online-learning/337227

Sustainable Growth: Growth by Poverty Alleviation or Poverty Creation – The Case of Sub-Saharan Africa

Adem Gök (2022). Sustainability and the Future of Work and Entrepreneurship for the Underserved (pp. 334-345).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/sustainable-growth/307713

Racial Diversity in Publicly Traded Companies

Jeffrey Kurt Orlando Thompsonand Richard C. Thompson (2020). *International Journal of Sustainable Entrepreneurship and Corporate Social Responsibility (pp. 66-85).* www.irma-international.org/article/racial-diversity-in-publicly-traded-companies/259409

Convergence of Interoperability of Cloud Computing, Service Oriented Architecture and Enterprise Architecture

Susan Sutherland (2013). International Journal of E-Entrepreneurship and Innovation (pp. 43-51). www.irma-international.org/article/convergence-of-interoperability-of-cloud-computing-service-oriented-architecture-andenterprise-architecture/81263