

# Chapter 4

## The Design Implementation Framework: Iterative Design From the Lab to the Classroom

**Melissa L. Stone**

*Arizona State University, USA*

**Kevin M. Kent**

*Arizona State University, USA*

**Rod D. Roscoe**

*Arizona State University, USA*

**Kathleen M. Corley**

*Arizona State University, USA*

**Laura K. Allen**

*Arizona State University, USA*

**Danielle S. McNamara**

*Arizona State University, USA*

### ABSTRACT

*This chapter explores three broad principles of user-centered design methodologies, including participatory design, iteration, and usability considerations. We discuss characteristics of teachers as an important type of ITS end user, including barriers teachers face as users and their role in educational technology design. To exemplify key points, we draw upon our own experiences in developing an ITS for writing strategies (i.e., the Writing Pal). We conclude by offering a tentative design approach—the Design Implementation Framework (DIF)—that builds upon existing cyclical design methods but with some tailoring to ITS and educational technology contexts.*

### INTRODUCTION

With each new school year, the list of available educational technologies expands dramatically, along with more widespread integration of these technologies into classrooms. Educators at all levels increasingly rely on a variety of technologies to engage students in learning complex material across domains. These technologies vary in form and function, such as assistive technologies (e.g., text-to-speech software and interactive whiteboards) that facilitate or transform teaching, and instructive technologies that directly teach concepts, train skills, scaffold practice, and provide feedback. Across these formats, however,

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2639-1.ch004

## ***The Design Implementation Framework***

educational technologies are beholden to similar questions and constraints regarding design, usability, and implementation. How can these tools be developed and deployed in ways that consider teachers' and learners' true needs in school environments?

One widely used type of educational technology is the intelligent tutoring system (ITS). Briefly, ITSs are computer programs that model expert human tutors by instructing students on a specific topic or skill, providing feedback on responses, and strategically facilitating student performance and understanding through adaptive instruction (Anderson, Boyle & Reiser, 1985; Burns, Luckhardt, Parlett & Redfield, 2014; VanLehn, 2006). One-on-one human tutoring has been shown to be a highly effective mode of instruction; however, it is severely limited by the availability of expert human tutors (Muldner, Lam, & Chi, 2014). ITSs have been developed in response to this problem. These systems rely on artificial intelligence-based computer systems and agents to provide students with personalized educational experiences that model human tutoring.

By various measures, these efforts have been successful. For example, Ma, Adesope, Nesbit, and Liu, (2014) conducted a meta-analysis of effect sizes from 107 ITS studies that incorporated 14,321 participants from K-12 and college populations and spanned eight different content domains. The study found that, across various uses (i.e., the primary means driving instruction, a supplement to instruction, a component of instruction, and an aid to homework), ITSs were associated with superior performance in comparison to large-group instruction ( $g = 0.42$ ), non-ITS computer-based instruction ( $g = 0.57$ ) and instruction via textbooks ( $g = 0.35$ ). Importantly, the meta-analysis revealed no significant differences when comparing ITS use to human tutoring or small-group instruction. This finding provides support for a previous review (VanLehn, 2011), which showed that ITSs provided nearly the same learning gains in STEM topics as one-on-one human tutors. Support for the effectiveness of these systems has been replicated in studies across a variety of domains, such as in K-12 pupils' mathematical learning (Steenbergen-Hu & Cooper, 2013), and physics and computer literacy (Nye, Graesser & Hu, 2014). These positive findings have spurred the popularity of ITSs in classrooms, as these systems continue to influence the educational landscape.

Surprisingly, despite the popularity and effectiveness of these systems (and perhaps because they appear to work so well), there has been little research published on their design, usability, or user experiences (Chughtai, Zhang, & Craig, 2015; Lin, Chen, Sun, & Tsai, 2014; Lin, Wu, & Hsueh, 2014; Sung & Mayer, 2012). Both early and current research on ITSs has been driven primarily by considerations related to learning processes and performance gains. Exemplar questions include: How do people learn math?, How should people learn how to write?, and What pedagogical approaches are most effective? Answers to these questions help with the development of instructional design principles such as "give hints, but not too many" and "teach explicit metacognitive strategies." Such an approach is necessary and useful in guiding the development of systems that help students learn. However, design principles derived from the learning sciences are potentially separable from those that emerge from a focus on usability and user experience. Although innovative instructional ITS elements such as animated pedagogical agents (Kim & Baylor, 2016), natural language interaction (Graesser, Li, & Forsyth, 2014), and affect-aware scaffolding (D'Mello & Graesser, 2015) can be effective, they are certainly influenced by good interface, navigation, and aesthetic design (e.g., clear and organized menus). Likewise, effectiveness may depend upon users' impressions and subjective reactions to these features (Mayer & Estrella, 2014; Roscoe, Wilson, Johnson, & Mayra, 2017).

While ITS developers have often reported target users' enjoyment and ease of use (e.g., Jackson & McNamara, 2013; Sung & Mayer, 2012), there are few focused usability studies in the literature

21 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:  
[www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-design-implementation-framework/183013](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-design-implementation-framework/183013)

## Related Content

---

### A Matter of Perspective: The Role of Casual Attribution in the Assessment of User-System Outcomes

Rex Karsten (2003). *Advanced Topics in End User Computing, Volume 2* (pp. 125-147).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/matter-perspective-role-casual-attribution/4447](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/matter-perspective-role-casual-attribution/4447)

### Online Calculator Training in Mathematics and Technology

William Bresciaand Tammy Cline (2008). *End-User Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1346-1374).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/online-calculator-training-mathematics-technology/18258](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/online-calculator-training-mathematics-technology/18258)

### The Development and Empirical Validation of the B2E Portal User Satisfaction (B2EPUS) Scale

Dewi Rooslanit Tojiband Ly Fie Sugianto (2008). *End-User Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 823-839).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/development-empirical-validation-b2e-portal/18223](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/development-empirical-validation-b2e-portal/18223)

### A Key-Based Mutual Authentication Framework for Mobile Contactless Payment System Using Authentication Server

Brij B. Guptaand Shaifali Narayan (2021). *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing* (pp. 1-16).

[www.irma-international.org/article/a-key-based-mutual-authentication-framework-for-mobile-contactless-payment-system-using-authentication-server/269371](http://www.irma-international.org/article/a-key-based-mutual-authentication-framework-for-mobile-contactless-payment-system-using-authentication-server/269371)

### Advancing End User Development Through Metadesign

Maria Francesca Costabile, Daniela Fogli, Rosa Lanzilotti, Piero Mussio, Loredana Parasiliti Provenzaand Antonio Piccinno (2008). *End User Computing Challenges and Technologies: Emerging Tools and Applications* (pp. 143-167).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/advancing-end-user-development-through/18157](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/advancing-end-user-development-through/18157)