Measuring Low Carbon Supply Chain

Muhammad Shabir Shaharudin
Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

Yudi Fernando
Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia

INTRODUCTION

Environmental management is an important issue that has attract numerous scholars and practitioners especially the issue of climate change. Recently, scholars and practitioners are concerned with raising greenhouse gasses (GHGs) especially carbon emission and its impact on climate change (Palak, Ekşioğlu, & Geunes, 2014). GHGs are dangerous but the world governments have taken initiative to control the release and used of these gasses except Carbon Dioxide. Carbon emissions are not specifically produce by manufacturer but almost by all activities. Thus, climate change mitigation strategy, which carbon emission as the main threat has been regard as very important. The mitigation strategy includes carbon taxes, carbon trading, clean development and joint implementation that can be found in Kyoto Protocol mechanism. The mitigation strategies for carbon emissions are adopted by government by introducing government regulation (Choudhary, Sarkar, Settur, & Tiwari, 2015). On the other hand, firms also need to adhere to government regulation and adopting carbon reduction strategies to meet their customer requirements and to remain competitive (Seuring, 2013). To do so, firms are expected to increase their competitiveness through Low Carbon Supply Chain Management (LCSCM) by practicing Carbon Footprint (CF). The definition of CF is the recording of carbon emissions throughout the life cycle of a product (Wiedmann & Minx, 2007).

In order to record carbon emissions, the concept of LCSCM need to be understood. As proposed by Böttcher & Müller (2015), LCSCM consists of three groups of practices. The first is development of low carbon products in all product development process by assessing and reducing carbon emissions as well as achieving eco-design. The second practice is low carbon production process where monitoring and reduction of carbon emissions should apply in all production process until the final goods. The third practice focuses on low carbon logistics because transportation activities contribute significantly to increasing of carbon emissions. These practices are helping firms to develop carbon mitigation strategy and choose the best strategy to be implemented. As a result, firms now are able to identify and measure carbon emissions at operational and management settings.

BACKGROUND

As LCSCM is recently growing in importance, scholars and practitioners have started to put more interest in measuring carbon emission. In the literature, there are many scholars focusing on carbon emission measurement methods but lack of empirical data (Lee, 2011). Scholars then shift their focus in developing measurement methods and model to help practitioners to record and measure their carbon emissions using data generation (Bai, Sarkis, Wei, & Koh, 2012; Schmidt, 2009). However, there are also a few studies done by scholars using real firm or industrial data (Burnett, 2011; Cadarso, Gómez, López, & Tobarra, 2016; Lee, 2012; Nakajima, Kimura, & Wagner,

2014; Palak et al., 2014; Pelletier, Ibarburu, & Xin, 2013; Rahman & Khondaker, 2012; Tsai, Lin, Hwang, & Huang, 2014; Yusuf et al., 2013; Zhao, Neighbour, Han, McGuire, & Deutz, 2012; Zubelzu & Álvarez, 2015). Yet, the difficulty to get real data have seen many scholars using qualitative studies to measure LCSCM (Dadhich, Genovese, Kumar, & Acquaye, 2014; Dayaratne & Gunawardana, 2015; Gopalakrishnan, Yusuf, Musa, Abubakar, & Ambursa, 2012; Lee, 2012; Pueyo, 2013).

Difficulties in getting data also complicates the study of LCSCM. For example, in the study of Bai et al. (2012), environmental performance measurement does not give any information that can be implemented by practitioners. Another important gap in the literature is that scholars are divided between management and engineering focus when measuring LCSCM. There are a few scholars in management focus where their interest of studies are contributing to top management firm, policymakers' regulation and using management theories (de Sousa Jabbour, Jabbour, Latan, Teixeira, & de Oliveira, 2015; Fernando, Shaharudin, & Wahid, 2016; Gunasekaran & Spalanzani, 2012; Jabbour, Neto, Gobbo, de Souza Ribeiro, & de Sousa Jabbour, 2015; Lee, 2011; Matthews, Hendrickson, & Weber, 2008; Sarkis, Zhu, & Lai, 2011; Seuring, 2013; Shaharudin & Fernando, 2015; Zhu, Sarkis, & Lai, 2013). On the other hand, engineering focus scholars measure LCSCM using engineering theories such as Game Theory (Zhao et al., 2012), Chaos Theory (Stapleton, Hanna, & Ross, 2006), Swift Even Flow Theory (Seuring, 2009), Graph Theory (Wagner & Neshat, 2010), Neo-Institutional Theory (Genus & Mafakheri, 2014) and Fuzzy Set Theory (Erol, Sencer, & Sari, 2011; Liu, Perng, & Ho, 2013; Wang, Chan, Yee, & Diaz-Rainey, 2012) to name a few. This chapter is interested in looking at previous studies on LCSCM on management focus as it provides rich information to both scholars and practitioners.

The objectives of this study is twofold: 1) outcome of LCSCM and 2) measurement of LCSCM.

The significance of the study is that this chapter is contributing to LCSCM management focus literature. In model development, through extensive literature review, this chapter will provide evidence that LCSCM is an outcome that firms are pursuing. On the other hand, the literature review of LCSCM will provide evidence of previous studies method of CF in the supply chain.

As this chapter deals with literature review, the chapter will adopt and adapt content analysis method employed by Seuring (2013) and Stechemesser and Guenther (2012) with some modifications. The chapter has no classical structure but will be structured as follows: the method of content analysis with some descriptive information of previous studies, findings of the content analysis, discussion of the findings and conclusions.

METHODOLOGY

This chapter has followed a systematic review approach to build knowledge of the topic (Seuring, 2013; Stechemesser & Guenther, 2012). There are several steps taken to begin literature review analysis. The steps are as follows:

Step 1: *Selecting research questions, databases and appropriate search terms.* In this step, developing a research question to begin searching for journal articles is important. In this chapter, the research questions are "what is LCSCM?" and "what is the measurement of LCSCM?" Then, the databases used are: Elsevier (www.elsevier.com) and Emerald (www.emerald.com). Next is the search terms used to find articles from those databases. Even though this chapter is focusing on LCSCM, other related supply chain keywords should not be neglected because based on early readings, these keywords are used widely to explain LCSCM. Thus, the relevant search terms are:

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/measuring-low-carbon-supply-chain/184247

Related Content

Neural Networks and Their Accelerated Evolution From an Economic Analysis Perspective
Stelian Stancu, Constana-Nicoleta Bodea, Oana Mdlina Popescu(Predescu)and Alina Neamu(Idorai)
(2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition (pp. 6579-6594).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/neural-networks-and-their-accelerated-evolution-from-an-economic-analysis-perspective/184353

Brain Prints for Biometrics
Ramaswamy Palaniappanand Tarsem Sihra (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition (pp. 525-535).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/brain-prints-for-biometrics/112365

Intelligent Furniture Design for Elderly Care at Home in the Context of the Internet of Things
Deyu Luo (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-15).*
www.irma-international.org/article/intelligent-furniture-design-for-elderly-care-at-home-in-the-context-of-the-internet-of-things/320764

Early Warning Model of College Students' Psychological Crises Based on Big Data Mining and SEM
Rui Liu (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-17).*
www.irma-international.org/article/early-warning-model-of-college-students-psychological-crises-based-on-big-data-mining-and-sem/316164

Computational Intelligence Approaches to Computational Aesthetics
Erandi Lakshikaand Michael Barlow (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition (pp. 156-165).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/computational-intelligence-approaches-to-computational-aesthetics/183730