

Power Consumption in Wireless Access Networks

M**Vinod Kumar Mishra***B. T. Kumaon Institute of Technology, India***Pankaja Bisht***B. T. Kumaon Institute of Technology, India*

INTRODUCTION

Early wireless systems consisted of a base station with a high-power transmitter and served a large geographic area having less number of users. The systems were isolated from each other and only a few of them communicated with the public switched telephone networks. Today, the cellular systems consist of a cluster of base stations with low-power radio transmitters. Each base station serves a small cell within a large geographic area. The total number of users served is increased because of channel reuse and also larger frequency bandwidth. The cellular systems connected with each other via mobile switching center and directly access the public switched telephone networks. The most advertised advantage of wireless communication systems is that a mobile user can make a phone call anywhere and anytime.

The power consumption of ICT is approximately 4% of the annual energy production and it is expected that this number will double within the next 10 to 15 years. Internet traffic has a compound annual growth rate of 40%, which is an increase in two fold in every two years. The wireless world research forum (WWRF) has a vision of 7 trillion wireless devices serving 7 billion users by 2017 (Deruyck et al., (2011)). This indicates that the power consumption of wireless access networks become an important and crucial issue in the coming years. Earlier work also showed that the radio access network is a large contributor to CO₂ emissions. Particularly, the base stations

are responsible for roughly two-thirds of the CO₂ emissions of these radio access networks. NTT DoCoMo states that the daily energy consumption per customer is 0.83 Wh for a terminal and 120 Wh for the mobile network, which is a consumption ratio of terminal versus networks of about 1:150 (Jimaa et al., (2011)). The energy consumption of the terminals is thus negligible with respect to the energy consumption of the networks. Several studies indicate that within communication networks, the wireless access networks are high power consumers. Especially the base stations (BSs) are responsible for a significant part of the power consumption caused by wireless access networks. Therefore, it is clear that we should focus on the base stations in the wireless access networks in order to reduce the power consumption.

This chapter provides an overview and comparison of the power consumption of some of existing technologies of wireless access network and suggests the optimum technology for a specific area on the basis of power consumption per covered area. The recent significant literature and some frontier of this area are also included in this chapter.

BACKGROUND

The power consumption and energy efficiency of telecommunications networks become more and more important. For wireless access networks, most studies focus on energy-efficient deploy-

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2255-3.ch544

ments of wireless access networks. These studies shows that the user try to reduce the number of base stations in the network by switching them off during periods of low traffic which is a very promising method for energy savings. These studies in combination with a realistic power consumption model for base stations will enable to quantify the actual power savings in the network.

Jain, (2007), presented a description of the different Path Loss models. He gathered information from various IEEE and ITU standards. In Deruyck et al. (2014), authors presented the power consumption model for macrocell and microcell base stations and energy efficiency of three different wireless technologies (mobile WiMAX, LTE, and HSPA) has been compared. In Alshami et al. (2011), authors presented a comprehensive study of frequency analysis for different path loss on mobile WIMAX. They

discussed and implemented Okumura, Hata, Cost-231, Ericsson, Erceg, Walfish, Ecc-33, Lee and the simplified free space path loss models. In Deruyck et al. (2011), authors calculated the power consumption of base stations for mobile WiMAX, fixed WiMAX, UMTS, HSPA, and LTE. They introduced a new metric, the power consumption per covered area PCarea and investigated the influence of MIMO. In Deruyck et al. (2012), they categorized the base stations as macrocell, microcell, and femtocell base stations and compared the power consumption for each base station. In Sharma et al. (2012), they presented the calculation of path loss, link budgets etc, which are in the part of wireless system designing. Arif et al. (2013), presented a hybrid deployment model of a LTE-femtocell for a residential suburban scenario. In their model they placed the femtocell in a fix grid to

Algorithm 1. To calculate the total power consumption

```
Input: For all the technology Coverage Range R, PCmax, Wireless Technology to be used, Path Loss Models, and Total Power to be returned Pel. Declare Variables Ptot, Nsector, Ntx and R.
Output: Total Power Consumption.
Step 1: Start.
Step 2: Ptot = Calculate Total Power (Pel, Tech).
Step 3: Ptot ← Ptot*1000 // to convert Power in milliwatt.
Step 4: Calculate the coverage range (d, Tech, Model).
Step5: PCmax= Ptot / (PI*R*R) // calculate power consumption per covered area.
Step 6: Return PCmax;
Step 7: If Nsector ← 1, Ntx ← 1 // for SISO system.
// the values of Pproc, Pamp, Ptrans, Pairco, Pmicro, Prect are same for all the technologies.
Step 8: If Nsector ← 3, Ntx ← 2// For MIMO system
// the values of Pproc, Pamp, Ptrans, Pairco, Pmicro, Prect are different for all technologies.
Step 9:End if
// defined procedure Calculate Total Power (Pel, Tech) to calculate total power for all the technologies.
Step 10: Pcomm ← Pmicro+Pairco
Step 11: Pel ← Nsector *(Ntx * (Pamp + Ptrans) + Pproc + Prect)+Pcomm
Step 12: Return Pel;
Step 13: Stop
```

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/power-consumption-in-wireless-access-networks/184323

Related Content

A Study of Sub-Pattern Approach in 2D Shape Recognition Using the PCA and Ridgelet PCA

Muzameel Ahmed and V.N. Manjunath Aradhya (2016). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 10-31).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-study-of-sub-pattern-approach-in-2d-shape-recognition-using-the-pca-and-ridgelet-pca/150462

General Perspectives on Electromyography Signal Features and Classifiers Used for Control of Human Arm Prosthetics

Faruk Ortes, Derya Karabulut and Yunus Ziya Arslan (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition* (pp. 492-504).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/general-perspectives-on-electromyography-signal-features-and-classifiers-used-for-control-of-human-arm-prosthetics/183764

A Primer on Q-Method and the Study of Technology

Stéphanie Gauttier (2021). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fifth Edition* (pp. 1746-1756).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-primer-on-q-method-and-the-study-of-technology/260303

Censorship of Digital Resources Worldwide

Kari D. Weaver (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 2178-2186).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/censorship-of-digital-resources-worldwide/112628

Topological Properties of Multigranular Rough sets on Fuzzy Approximation Spaces

B.K. Tripathy, Suvendu Kumar Parida and Sudam Charan Parida (2019). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 1-18).

www.irma-international.org/article/topological-properties-of-multigranular-rough-sets-on-fuzzy-approximation-spaces/233594