Learning With Mobile Devices

M

Helen Crompton

Old Dominion University, USA

John Traxler

University of Wolverhampton, UK

INTRODUCTION

Mobile devices are being used to extend learning in both the global north and the global south. The launch of the International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning is one of several indicators that mobile learning globally is reaching a critical and sustainable momentum and identity. The past decade has seen a host of pilots and initiatives across sectors and across countries. Mobile learning is being used to extend pedagogies to develop new ways of learning more aligned to empirical understandings of how students learn. Mobile learning is also taking learning to individuals, communities and countries where access to learning was challenging or problematic.

Environmental factors have meant that this development has often been haphazard. As researchers try to examine these new learning opportunities they are faced with a set of methodologies that were developed for use with tethered technologies with learning and evaluation methods being static (Sharples, Sanchez, Milrad, & Vavoula, 2009). In mobile learning context can vary significantly. This has expanded the field of mobile learning to have researchers examining learning that happens in a formal typical educational setting, nonformal non-intended serendipitous environments (Crompton; 2013a), and informal atypical settings (e.g. museums and science centers: National Research Council, 2009). With learning that happens in personal contexts, the researcher has the added difficulty in collecting valid research data

without interfering with the learning happening in those contexts Beale's (2007). This is becoming increasingly difficult in recent years with the pervasive ubiquitous nature of the devices today.

As the scholarly understanding of mobile learning is still relatively new and emerging, the mobile learning community is also now faced with broader challenges of scale, durability, equity, embedding and blending in addition to the earlier and more specific challenges of pedagogy and technology, but these developments take place in the context of societies where mobile devices, systems and technologies have a far wider impact than just mobile learning as it is currently conceived.

BACKGROUND

The concept of learning with small portable computers was developed by Alan Kay in 1972. Since that early conception, scholars, such as Traxler, Sharples, and Soloway are the pioneering scholars who have paved the way to a better understanding of the philosophical, pedagogical, and conceptual underpinnings of mobile learning today. Kay began with the initial idea of a portable device for learning. Traxler, Sharples and colleagues have explored the emerging theoretical frameworks of mobile learning to provide us with a better understanding of this field. Soloway and Norris have focused their work on how the affordances of mobile learning can extend traditional classroom pedagogies.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2255-3.ch552

Defining Mobile Learning

We need to define what we mean by 'mobile learning', not merely as a way of establishing a shared understanding but also as a way of exploring the evolution and direction of mobile learning and as a way of identifying the community of practitioners and researchers. In discussing how we define mobile learning we address many wider issues in terms of explaining, understanding and conceptualising it.

'Mobile learning' is certainly not merely the conjunction of 'mobile' and 'learning'; it has always implicitly meant 'mobile e-learning' and its history and development have to be understood as both a continuation of 'conventional' e-learning and a reaction to this 'conventional' e-learning and its perceived inadequacies and limitations. Over the last ten or so years this 'conventional' e-learning has been exemplified technologically by the rise of virtual learning environments (VLEs) and the demise of computer assisted learning (CAL) 'packages', and pedagogically by the rise of social constructivist models of learning over the behaviourist ones, by the growth of the learning object approach, by expectations of ever increasing multi-media interactivity and of ever-increasing power, speed, functionality and bandwidth in networked PC platforms. These are some of the points of departure for mobile learning. They refer back to 'conventional' e-learning and perhaps this is the mark of early 'mobile learning immigrants' and not the mark of the growing number of 'mobile learning natives'.

We have to recognise that attempts at identifying and defining mobile learning grow out of difference, out of attempts by emergent communities to separate themselves from some older and more established communities and move on from perceived inadequate practices. Interestingly, at the first mLearn conference in the spring of 2002, in Birmingham UK, a key-note speaker predicted that mobile learning would have a separate identity for perhaps five years before blending into general e-learning. This has still yet to happen and mobile

learning continues to gain identity and definition rather than lose it.

Irrespective of the exact definition, personal mobile and connected technologies, including handheld computers, personal digital assistants, camera phones, smartphones, graphing calculators, personal response systems, games consoles and personal media players, are ubiquitous in most parts of the world and have led to the development of 'mobile learning' as a distinctive but ill-defined entity (see for example the reviews by Cobcroft 2006, and Naismith et al. 2004).

Early approaches at defining mobile learning focused on technology, for example saying it was "any educational provision where the sole or dominant technologies are handheld or palmtop devices" (Traxler, 2005), or on the mobility of the technology, describing mobile learning as, "elearning through mobile computational devices: Palms, Windows CE machines, even your digital cell phone." (Quinn, 2000). Another view of mobile learning says it involves: "Any sort of learning that happens when the learner is not at a fixed, predetermined location, or learning that happens when the learner takes advantage of learning opportunities offered by mobile technologies" (O'Malley et al., 2003), whilst Desmond Keegan took a similar position in 2005, saying that the focus should be on mobility and mobile learning should be restricted to learning on devices which a lady can carry in her handbag or a gentleman can carry in his pocket. He defined mobile learning as 'the provision of education and training on PDAs/palmtops/handhelds, smartphones and mobile phones and the characteristics of mobile learning is that it uses devices:

- Which citizens are used to carrying everywhere with them,
- Which they regard as friendly and personal devices.
- Which are cheap and easy to use,
- Which they use constantly in all walks of life and in a variety of different settings, except education." (Keegan, 2005:3)

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/learning-with-mobile-devices/184332

Related Content

Estimating Overhead Performance of Supervised Machine Learning Algorithms for Intrusion Detection

Charity Yaa Mansa Baidoo, Winfred Yaokumahand Ebenezer Owusu (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-19).*

www.irma-international.org/article/estimating-overhead-performance-of-supervised-machine-learning-algorithms-for-intrusion-detection/316889

A Framework Model for a Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) Strategy

James P. Lawlerand H. Howell-Barber (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition (pp. 1024-1032).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-framework-model-for-a-software-as-a-service-saas-strategy/112497

Hybrid Air Route Network Simulation Based on Improved RW-Bucket Algorithm

Lai Xin, Zhao De Cun, Huang Long Yangand Wu D. Ti (2022). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-19).*

www.irma-international.org/article/hybrid-air-route-network-simulation-based-on-improved-rw-bucket-algorithm/304808

Two Rough Set-based Software Tools for Analyzing Non-Deterministic Data

Mao Wu, Michinori Nakataand Hiroshi Sakai (2014). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 32-47).

www.irm a-in ternational.org/article/two-rough-set-based-software-tools-for-analyzing-non-deterministic-data/111311

Fault-Recovery and Coherence in Internet of Things Choreographies

Sylvain Cherrierand Yacine M. Ghamri-Doudane (2017). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 31-49).*

www.irma-international.org/article/fault-recovery-and-coherence-in-internet-of-things-choreographies/178222