

Parental Mediation of Adolescent Technology Use

S

J. Mitchell Vaterlaus

Montana State University, USA

INTRODUCTION

Adolescence is a developmental time period marked by physical (e.g., puberty), psychological (e.g., identity formation), and psychosocial (e.g., negotiation of parent-child relationships) changes (Erikson, 1950, Grotevant & Cooper, 1986). Puberty is typically seen as the beginning of the adolescent developmental time period, although there is some disagreement regarding when adolescence ends (Bynner, 2007). Hall (1904), an early developmental scholar, posited that adolescence continued into the early twenties, and some current scholars have supported this proposition because the developmental tasks of adolescence are continuing into the mid-twenties (Shwartz, Côté, & Arnett, 2005). Consistent with these historical and contemporary conceptualizations (Bynner, 2007; Hall, 1904; Shwartz et al., 2005), in this chapter adolescence is defined as the time period between the onset of puberty and until the individual reaches their mid-twenties.

Contemporary adolescents have grown up with access to a variety of technologies. The majority of adolescents (13-17 years old) in the United States have access to cell phones (88%) and computers (87%), and 92% of adolescents report going online daily (Lenhart, 2015). Adolescents are the most frequent users of social media and 71% report using more than one social media site (Lenhart, 2015). Parents, too, are technology consumers with 91% reporting that they use the internet and 83% using social media. Technology appears to have become a normative aspect of family life, but parents and adolescents use technology differently (Vaterlaus & Tulane, 2015). Parents primarily use technol-

ogy for instrumental purposes (e.g., cell phones to track location of child), while adolescents use technology for social reasons (e.g., cell phones to build social relationships).

As interactive technologies (e.g., cell phones, social media) have become a common feature during adolescence, parents have reported concerns about these technologies. Concerns about adolescent technology use have included worry about the potential psychological outcomes from viewing content (e.g., violent or pornographic), the risk of victimization, and access to illegal activities (e.g., illegal file sharing; boyd & Hargittai, 2013). Because of these concerns for children and adolescents, some limited government policy has emerged regulating website use among minors (Costello, McNeil, & Binder, 2016). For example, the United States' Children's Online and Privacy Protection Act (COPPA; Federal Trade Commission, 2016) requires parental permission for websites/online services to collect or use personal information from children under the age of 13. This particular policy has been criticized because it does not account for the privacy risks for adolescents older than 13 (Costello et al., 2016) and it is difficult to regulate with minors commonly falsifying their ages to access websites (O'Keeffe & Clarke-Pearson, 2011). "As legal regulations in this [technological] sphere are difficult to formulate and enforce, policy makers rely substantially on increasing risk awareness among parents and delegating to them the responsibility for protecting children from online risks." (Kirwil, 2009, p. 394). To mitigate the potential negative effects and facilitate the potential positive effects of adolescent interactive technology use, some parents

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2255-3.ch616

have made attempts to be involved in their adolescents' technology use. These parental attempts have been researched under the term *parental mediation*, which refers to parental interventions and interactions with their adolescents regarding technology use (Livingstone & Helsper, 2008; Vaterlaus, Beckert, Tulane, & Bird, 2014).

BACKGROUND

The early research on parental mediation focused on parent's attempts to mediate children's television viewing (Austin, 1990; Nathanson, 1999). Dr. Amy Nathanson (Nathanson, 1999), of The Ohio State University, has been a leader in identifying the methods parents have used to mediate children's television viewing. Dr. Sonia Livingstone (Livingstone & Bober, 2006), of the London School of Economics and Political Science, is a pioneer in researching the role of parental mediation with interactive technologies—publishing on parental mediation of children and adolescent's internet use. Additionally, Dr. Laura Padilla Walker and Dr. Sarah Coyne (Padilla-Walker & Coyne, 2011), of Brigham Young University, have made recent contributions to the understanding of the implementation of parental mediation with adolescent interactive technology use.

The evolution of technology has led to technological convergence, which allows a single media source to be accessed from several devices. Brooks-Gunn & Donahue (2008) explained:

Thanks to convergence, a teen can watch a television show on a computer long after the show has aired on television and can use a cell phone to surf the internet. Children, particularly adolescents, thus have almost constant access to media—often at times and in places where adult supervision is absent. (p. 3)

Because interactive technologies facilitate private access to a variety media and digital social opportunities, parents have voiced their concerns

about adolescent interactive technology use (Boyd & Hargittai, 2013) and some have sought ways to reduce the potentially negative effects of adolescents use through parental mediation (Livingstone & Helsper, 2008; Vaterlaus et al., 2014). The term parental mediation represents several different strategies for parental involvement in adolescent technology use. It is important to note that not all researchers in this area of study have adopted the term parental mediation. For example, some prefer “proactive media monitoring” because the implemented parental strategies “may not mediate media effects rather, they may prevent them from occurring in the first place or may protect [adolescents] against them” (Padilla-Walker, Coyne, Fraser, Dyer, & Yorgason, 2012, p. 1154). Regardless of the broader term used to describe parental involvement in their children's technology use, researchers have identified congruent strategies parents use which include: active mediation, restrictive mediation, and co-viewing (Livingstone & Helsper, 2008; Nathanson, 2001). This chapter summarizes different parental mediation strategies, details parent and adolescent perceptions related to parental mediation, and explores the challenges in implementing parental mediation.

CURRENT SCIENTIFIC KNOWLEDGE IN PARENTAL MEDIATION

Parental Mediation Strategies

Parental mediation of adolescent interactive technology use has been investigated with both quantitative (Livingstone & Helsper, 2008) and qualitative (Vaterlaus et al., 2014) research methods. The term parental mediation is representative of a range of strategies parents use to influence their adolescent's technology use. Nathanson's (1999, 2001) early work with parental mediation and children's television viewing provided some broad strategies that parents implement, which include: (a) active mediation, (b) restrictive mediation, and (c) co-viewing. Parents implement

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/parental-mediation-of-adolescent-technology-use/184406

Related Content

Mobile Sink with Mobile Agents: Effective Mobility Scheme for Wireless Sensor Network

Rachana Borawake-Satao and Rajesh Shardanand Prasad (2017). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 24-35).

www.irma-international.org/article/mobile-sink-with-mobile-agents/178160

Role of Learning Technology Strategies Among People With Disabilities: A Job Opportunities Barrier

Heru Susanto, Hamizah Hamid, Fadzliwati Mohiddin and Desi Setiana (2021). *Handbook of Research on Analyzing IT Opportunities for Inclusive Digital Learning* (pp. 215-248).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/role-of-learning-technology-strategies-among-people-with-disabilities/278962

RFID/WSN Middleware Approach for Container Monitoring

Miroslav Voznak, Sergej Jakovlev, Homero Toral-Cruz and Faouzi Hidoussi (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 7289-7300).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/rfidwsn-middleware-approach-for-container-monitoring/112426

An Arabic Dialects Dictionary Using Word Embeddings

Azroumahli Chaimae, Yacine El Younoussi, Otman Moussaoui and Youssra Zahidi (2019). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 18-31).

www.irma-international.org/article/an-arabic-dialects-dictionary-using-word-embeddings/251899

Deploying a Software Process Lifecycle Standard in Very Small Companies

Rory V. O'Connor (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 762-772).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/deploying-a-software-process-lifecycle-standard-in-very-small-companies/112391