

## Chapter 8

# Evolutionary Algorithms for Multi-Objective Scheduling in a Hybrid Manufacturing System

**Ömer Faruk Yılmaz**

*Istanbul Technical University, Turkey & Yalova University, Turkey*

**Mehmet Bülent Durmuşoğlu**

*Istanbul Technical University, Turkey*

### ABSTRACT

*Problems encountered in real manufacturing environments are complex to solve optimally, and they are expected to fulfill multiple objectives. Such problems are called multi-objective optimization problems (MOPs) involving conflicting objectives. The use of multi-objective evolutionary algorithms (MOEAs) to find solutions for these problems has increased over the last decade. It has been shown that MOEAs are well-suited to search solutions for MOPs having multiple objectives. In this chapter, in addition to comprehensive information, two different MOEAs are implemented to solve a MOP for comparison purposes. One of these algorithms is the non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm (NSGA-II), the effectiveness of which has already been demonstrated in the literature for solving complex MOPs. The other algorithm is fast Pareto genetic algorithm (FastPGA), which has population regulation operator to adapt the population size. These two algorithms are used to solve a scheduling problem in a Hybrid Manufacturing System (HMS). Computational results indicate that FastPGA outperforms NSGA-II.*

### INTRODUCTION

There are two important difficult to handle features of real-world problems. One is the size of the problem which is quite larger than the hypothetical problems and the other is presence of hard constraints to be satisfied. Therefore, finding solutions to such problems through classical optimization methods is difficult regarding the excessive computational time. It may take hours or even days to find a feasible solution to such problems. Metaheuristic or problem-specific heuristic methods are proposed to solve these types of problems. In this study, the evolutionary algorithms (EAs) that provide good solutions especially to

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2944-6.ch008

large-sized problems are used (Das and Panigrahi, 2009). EAs are stochastic population-based algorithms which can be implemented to many real-world problems easily and inspire their structures from the original mechanism of nature. EAs are the most utilized population-based algorithms and have proved their effectiveness in many fields such as continuous or combinatorial optimization, system modeling and identification, planning and control, engineering design, data mining and machine learning (Talbi, 2009).

There are different optimization methods such as goal programming and variations, and min-max algorithms, which are used for solving multi-objective optimization problems. However, it is seen that multi-objective evolutionary algorithms have been extensively used in recent years including this study (Yilmaz and Erbiyik, 2016; Zhou et al., 2011; Tang and Wang, 2013). Moreover, multi-objective evolutionary algorithms have proved their effectiveness to solve MOPs. (Yilmaz and Erbiyik, 2016; Deb, 2001; Deb et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2011; Tang and Wang, 2013; Eskandari and Geiger, 2008).

It must also be noted that MOEAs are different than single-objective optimization algorithms regarding the fitness function, diversity preserving, and elitism and these ensure that many missing points faced in single-objective optimization algorithms are fulfilled (Tang and Wang, 2013).

In this study, two different MOEAs (NSGA-II and FastPGA) are used to solve multi-objective scheduling problem in HMS and their solutions are compared with one another. In this context, five different hypothetical cases are designed, each having different problem sizes. The NSGA-II algorithm has already demonstrated its effectiveness in many expensive MOPs. That is why it is considered suitable for MOPs. The FastPGA algorithm, on the other hand, is used in this study because it employs the adaptive population sizing strategy, which is known as effective to solve expensive MOPs.

One of the most important points of the current study is the multi-objective mathematical model that was developed for these types of problems (Yılmaz and Durmusoglu, 2017). In addition, the similarity of HMSs with real manufacturing environments increases the applicability of both the developed mathematical model and the study results in industrial applications. In this respect, the current study will also contribute to industrial applications. The developed mathematical model within this study may also be adapted and used for other manufacturing systems, including assembly lines, and cellular manufacturing systems (CMS).

The rest of the study is organized as follows: In the second section, the evolutionary algorithms for multi-objective optimization problems are given. In the third section, literature review is presented for the related studies. The fourth section covers the definition of the problem and its mathematical model. The detailed structures of MOEAs are addressed in the fifth part. The algorithms are run through hypothetical examples and the NSGA-II and the FastPGA are compared in the sixth section. The conclusions and future research directions are given in the sixth section.

## **EVOLUTIONARY ALGORITHM FOR MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION**

### **Multi-Objective Optimization**

MOPs involve two or more conflicting objectives. That is why Pareto optimality and dominance approaches are utilized. A MOP can be expressed as follows:

$$\text{Minimize } f(x) = [f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)]^T \quad (1)$$

24 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/evolutionary-algorithms-for-multi-objective-scheduling-in-a-hybrid-manufacturing-system/191775](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/evolutionary-algorithms-for-multi-objective-scheduling-in-a-hybrid-manufacturing-system/191775)

## Related Content

---

### **eRiskGame: A Persistent Browser-Based Game for Supporting Project-Based Learning in the Risk Management Context**

Túlio Acácio Bandeira Galvão, Francisco Milton Mendes Neto and Mara Franklin Bonates (2013). *Industrial Engineering: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1243-1259).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/eriskgame-persistent-browser-based-game/69338](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/eriskgame-persistent-browser-based-game/69338)

### **Connectivity**

Mahtab Hosseininia and Faraz Dadgostari (2013). *Graph Theory for Operations Research and Management: Applications in Industrial Engineering* (pp. 37-47).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/connectivity/73149](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/connectivity/73149)

### **BIM Integration with Geospatial Information within the Urban Built Environment**

Hongxia Wang and Andy Hamilton (2010). *Handbook of Research on Building Information Modeling and Construction Informatics: Concepts and Technologies* (pp. 382-404).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/bim-integration-geospatial-information-within/39481](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/bim-integration-geospatial-information-within/39481)

### **Observations of Chaotic Behaviour in Nonlinear Inventory Models**

Anthony S. White and Michael Censlive (2019). *International Journal of Applied Industrial Engineering* (pp. 1-28).

[www.irma-international.org/article/observations-of-chaotic-behaviour-in-nonlinear-inventory-models/222793](http://www.irma-international.org/article/observations-of-chaotic-behaviour-in-nonlinear-inventory-models/222793)

### **Tracing the Implementation of Non-Functional Requirements**

Stephan Bode and Matthias Riebisch (2013). *Industrial Engineering: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 172-195).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/tracing-implementation-non-functional-requirements/69283](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/tracing-implementation-non-functional-requirements/69283)