Chapter 22
Dynamically Reconfigurable Architectures:
An Evaluation of Approaches for Preventing Architectural Violations

Marek Rychly
Brno University of Technology, Czech Republic

ABSTRACT

Dynamic aspects of behavior of software systems in dynamically reconfigurable runtime architectures can result in significant architectural violations during runtime. In such cases, a system's architecture evolves during the runtime according to the actual state of the system's environment, and consequently, runtime reconfigurations may eventually lead to incorrect architecture configurations that were not considered during the system's design phases. These architectural violations are known as architectural erosion or architectural drift, and they contribute to an increasing brittleness of the system, or a lack of its coherence and clarity of its form. This chapter describes and compares possible measures to prevent architectural violations in dynamic service and component models. The aim of this chapter is to evaluate the applicability of those measures in combination with advanced features of reconfigurable runtime architectures such as ad hoc reconfiguration, service or component mobility, composition hierarchy preservation, and architectural aspects.

INTRODUCTION

Current information systems tend to be designed as component-based systems and often utilize Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) and Web service technology. The service orientation enables decomposition of a complex software system into a collection of cooperating and autonomous components known as services. These services cooperate with each other to provide a particular functionality of the implemented software system with defined quality.

Loose binding between the services, which represent individual components of a system, enables *runtime reconfigurations* of the system architectures. In other words, it enables creating, destroying, and

updating the services, and establishing and destroying their interconnections dynamically at runtime, on demand, and according to various aspects to move the services into different contexts and to different providers (i.e., service mobility). Eventually, a series of reconfigurations contributing to the evolution of the architecture, of a supposedly well-designed system may lead to incorrect architecture configurations that were not considered during the system's design phase. These incorrect configurations are commonly known as *architectural violations*.

This chapter describes and compares possible measures to prevent the architectural violations, as they are used in the current state-of-the-art approaches. The goal is to evaluate applicability of those measures in combination with the advanced features of dynamic architecture such as ad hoc reconfiguration, service or component mobility, composition hierarchy preservation, and architectural aspects.[1] Specific objectives include an introduction to the problems of dynamically reconfigurable runtime architectures, an analysis of the state-of-the-art approaches in this field with focus on the advanced features of dynamic architectures, and the methods to prevent architectural violations.

The chapter is organized as follows. The next section deals with software architecture in general and introduces component-based development and service-oriented architecture with concepts of dynamically reconfigurable runtime architectures. We also describe several important state-of-the-art works dealing with component-based development and component models supporting features of dynamic and mobile architectures. In the following section, we discuss existing problems relating to the support of dynamic and mobile architectures that cause architectural violations in component-based or service-oriented systems.

Then, we outline possible strategic improvements and introduce approaches to prevent the architectural violations in general, and also describe their applications in the current state-of-the-art related works. The next part of the chapter deals with the evaluation of the previously described approaches for preventing architectural violations. More specifically, we analyze compatibility of the approaches with the advanced features of dynamically reconfigurable runtime architectures. Finally, we discuss future research directions such as possibilities of utilization of the advanced features of dynamically reconfigurable runtime architectures including previously described methods of preventing architectural violations in implementations of service-oriented architectures.

BACKGROUND

According to IEEE (2000), software architecture is defined as the fundamental organization of a system, embodied in its components, their relationships to each other and the environment, and the principles governing its design and evolution. Another definition by Bass et al. (2003) adds that the architecture describes only externally visible properties of components, i.e., it is an abstraction of a system that suppresses details of components, except for services published by interfaces, relationships to environment of the components, and their externally observable behavior.

Oquendo (2004) distinguished three types of software architectures according to their evolution which depends on changes to their environment: static architecture, dynamic architecture, and mobile architecture. The last one is also known as a fully dynamic architecture.

Architecture of a software system is the *static architecture* if there are no changes to the system's structure during runtime. After initialization of the system, there are no new connections between the system's components and existing connections are not destroyed.

Related Content

Towards MDA Software Evolution

Liliana María Favre (2010). *Model Driven Architecture for Reverse Engineering Technologies: Strategic Directions and System Evolution (pp. 236-240).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/towards-mda-software-evolution/49186

Usage of Differential Evolution Algorithm in the Calibration of Parametric Rainfall-Runoff Modeling

Umut Okkan, Nuray Gedikand Halil Uysal (2018). *Handbook of Research on Predictive Modeling and Optimization Methods in Science and Engineering (pp. 481-499).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/usage-of-differential-evolution-algorithm-in-the-calibration-of-parametric-rainfall-runoff-modeling/206763

Analysis of Human Emotions Using Galvanic Skin Response and Finger Tip Temperature

G. Shivakumarand P. A. Vijaya (2012). *Computer Engineering: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications (pp. 792-802).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/analysis-human-emotions-using-galvanic/62479

Neighborhood-Based Classification of Imprecise Data

Sampath Sundaramand Miriam Kalpana Simon (2020). *Handbook of Research on Emerging Applications of Fuzzy Algebraic Structures (pp. 63-77).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/neighborhood-based-classification-of-imprecise-data/247647

On the Use of Motion Vectors for 2D and 3D Error Concealment in H.264/AVC Video

Hugo R. Marinsand Vania V. Estrela (2021). *Research Anthology on Recent Trends, Tools, and Implications of Computer Programming (pp. 765-787).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/on-the-use-of-motion-vectors-for-2d-and-3d-error-concealment-in-h264avc-video/261053