

## Chapter XVII

# Using the Interpersonal Action–Learning Cycle to Invite Thinking, Attentive, Comprehension

**Bob Zimmer**  
*The Open University, UK*

### ABSTRACT

*This chapter shows how the interpersonal action-learning cycle (IALC) can be used to invite thinking, attentive comprehension from learners in conversation. It explains what the IALC is, where it comes from, how it works, and why. In particular, it offers a logical demonstration that all interpersonal learning takes place within the IALC, and that all competition for dominance lies outside it—suggesting conscious use of the IALC as a desirable practice. The chapter goes on to explore linguistic factors that routinely disrupt use of the IALC, and that can hide its very existence. Strategies for restoring and stabilizing it are offered. Routine use of the IALC can have profound implications for teaching and instruction, collaborative learning, assessment, course evaluation, and professional development. These are explored.*

### INTRODUCTION: YOUR OWN THOUGHTS

This chapter starts with a form of advance-organizer (Ausubel, 1968). You are invited to think

about instructional design, by considering how you would answer six questions. If you think that you are in the business of meeting learners' needs, you might find these questions startling—they invite you to focus on a need of your own:

## Using the Interpersonal Action-Learning Cycle

1. What do you most notice about how learners respond to you?
2. What do you imagine are the reasons?
3. How do you feel about that?
4. What is it that you need, that this feeling suggests?
5. What are you doing as a teacher to meet this need?
6. What responses from learners would help you most in doing so?

Although I cannot hear your thoughts, I imagine that as a teacher you would like to help people learn—so that in answer to Question 6, I imagine that the responses you would find most helpful from learners might be summarized as:

- Their attentiveness toward you
- Their accurate comprehension of what you regard as important, and possibly
- Their own relevant creative thinking

If so, then this chapter is addressed to you. It describes the three learning behaviors above, and presents an argument that just three conversational actions are needed in order to invite them. These

three actions form the interpersonal action-learning cycle (IALC).

The following sections describe:

- Where the IALC comes from and how it works
- What routinely disrupts it
- How in practice it can be sustained


## BACKGROUND: WHERE THE IALC COMES FROM AND HOW IT WORKS

The interpersonal action-learning cycle (IALC) results when the generic action-learning cycle is applied to interpersonal communication.

## The Generic Action-Learning Cycle

Figure 1 shows the generic control model. Around it are arrayed the actions, ‘sensing / checking / planning & acting,’ which take place respectively at the sensor, comparator, and effector. They take place whenever a goal-oriented process is in play,

Figure 1. The generic action-learning cycle: Sensing / checking / planning & acting



(Scale is set to match Figures 2, 3, and 4)

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/using-interpersonal-action-learning-cycle/19389](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/using-interpersonal-action-learning-cycle/19389)

## Related Content

---

### Performance Improvement in Healthcare: Integrating Gilbert's Behavior Engineering Model Within a Just Culture

Candice Freeman and Jill Erin Stefaniak (2020). *Cases on Instructional Design and Performance Outcomes in Medical Education* (pp. 210-221).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/performance-improvement-in-healthcare/258520](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/performance-improvement-in-healthcare/258520)

### An Exploration of Students' Participation, Learning Process, and Learning Outcomes in Web 2.0 Computer Supported Collaborative Learning

Chun-Yi Shen and Chen-Hsien Wu (2011). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 60-72).

[www.irma-international.org/article/exploration-students-participation-learning-process/53550](http://www.irma-international.org/article/exploration-students-participation-learning-process/53550)

### Factors Affecting High School Teachers' Attitudes Towards Online Teaching

Hong Thi Thu Nguyen (2023). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 1-15).

[www.irma-international.org/article/factors-affecting-high-school-teachers-attitudes-towards-online-teaching/322790](http://www.irma-international.org/article/factors-affecting-high-school-teachers-attitudes-towards-online-teaching/322790)

### International Students' Learning Experience and Learning Outcomes in China through Summer Programs

Jiabin Zhu, Bo Yang, Qunqun Liu and Bing Chen (2020). *Learning and Performance Assessment: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1391-1407).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/international-students-learning-experience-and-learning-outcomes-in-china-through-summer-programs/237585](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/international-students-learning-experience-and-learning-outcomes-in-china-through-summer-programs/237585)

### How to Evaluate a PBL Program in Higher Education

(2022). *Guide to Integrating Problem-Based Learning Programs in Higher Education Classrooms: Design, Implementation, and Evaluation* (pp. 41-60).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/how-to-evaluate-a-pbl-program-in-higher-education/307612](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/how-to-evaluate-a-pbl-program-in-higher-education/307612)