Chapter 8 Regional Economic Growth and Open Innovation Platforms: Emerging Trends and New Opportunities

Leyla Ayvarovna Gamidullaeva Penza State University, Russia

Sergey Mikhailovich Vasin Penza State University, Russia

Vardan Mkrttchian HHH University, Australia & International University in Moscow, Russia

ABSTRACT

R&D is increasingly becoming globalized and implemented on a collaborative basis. It leads to the need for search of partners, resources, and ideas from outside the company. Currently authorities all over the world have a tendency to develop regional economic systems into regional innovation ecosystems. It in turn creates new challengers to the innovation intermediaries. The chapter is devoted to the search for ways to unite the innovation ecosystem concept with the theory of innovation intermediation, emphasizing specific types of innovation intermediation and fundamental mechanisms thereof, supporting incentives and the role in the innovation ecosystem. Hence, there needs to be a shift to the network organization of infrastructure that ensures a high level of participants' cooperation. Moreover, end-users of innovations are being considered as key actors in the innovation processes. The research results may be implemented into managerial practices in order to improve the competitiveness of regional economic systems.

INTRODUCTION

Modern Russia is in the search of the optimal strategy of the country's economic development. Determined by world commodity price trends, the inertial prosperity does not ensure national security of the country. Russia's entering WTO and the events of Contemporary history have increased risks and ambiguity of development prospects manifold. The escalation of geopolitical tension, objectively determined

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-5448-6.ch008

by structural shifts accompanying the change of technological modes, is aggravated by low functioning efficiency of the Russian economic system.

Besides competent political solutions there is a strong need for a new economic policy aimed at consolidation of the economy, the development of which should follow, according to the majority of experts, the innovation path. The geopolitical crisis and the sanction policy towards Russia have revealed multiple implicit problems aggravated by the fall of oil prices as the main source of revenue, as well as by an extreme deployment of the import substitution and economic modernization policy. It is worth noticing that the reverse side of the said critical situation may become a powerful impulse of mobilization of hidden reserves of development and implementation of potential capacities of the Russian economic system. Consequently, the current situation should be considered as a real chance of integration into the common international trend of innovative development. The last year showed us that in order to solve the

problem of innovation system management the existing approaches, tools and mechanisms, regardless of features of the modern crisis and the economic behavior of leading actors of the economic system, are insufficient. We have to admit that antimonopoly regulations and competition have played out. There are new challenges requiring a cardinally new methodological approach to the analysis and scientific understanding of principles and mechanisms (*Vasin & Gamidullaeva, 2017a*).

There is a gap between applied research and the real economy in Russia. This problem hasn't yet been solved. Golichenko (2011) considers that technological supply is far away from the technological demand. To turn this situation around, firstly, it is necessary to strengthen of research and innovation potential of non-state enterprises through the transfer of a part of applied research institutes, whose activities are closer to development than to research. Secondly, it is to establish networks of technological centers for diffusion of new technologies. Thirdly, it is to create networks of "meeting places" for knowledge producers and their potential customers – manufacturers for cooperative activities.

However, innovation infrastructure that is commonly understood as a set of interrelated structures, which serve and provide the implementation of innovation activity, has a low level of interaction between participants in Russia. Hence, there needs to be a shift to the network organization of infrastructure that ensures high level of participants' cooperation. The process of developing objectives and problems, which need to be solved in the framework of such networks, should be the most transparent and interactive. It implies an open communication between representatives of the manufacturing industry, science, society, government ministries and departments. This would obviate and overcome market failures through the self-organization of science and business. Moreover, the identification of goals may be carried out using both top-down and bottom-up approaches (in the framework of the key technologies).

The number of objects of innovation infrastructure (business incubators, business accelerators, technological parks, innovation centers, centers for technology transfer and commercialization etc.) is expanding at a very rapid pace. Unfortunately, the same could not be said of their effectiveness. It is worthy of note that continues to be the problem with overcome the gap between the state research and development sector, the sector of research and development of universities and the private sector of the economy. The increase in the number of intermediaries in innovation sphere, whose functions are performed by objects of innovation infrastructure, does not solve the task to integrate the national innovation system of Russia. Unsystematic character of the creation of innovative infrastructure and its institutional weakness determines the weakness of the interaction between science and industry. In recent years, the concept of innovation ecosystem is gaining strength and recognition. This theory describes evolving interrelations between economic actors, the change of innovation activity models and their relations with external environment (*Mercan & Goktas, 2011*). According to this approach,

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/regional-economic-growth-and-open-innovationplatforms/209653

Related Content

Political Trust as a Judgement Heuristic in Predicting Readiness to Comply With Governmental Restrictions During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Ivars Austers, Girts Dimdins, Veronika Lejaand Viktorija Gaina (2022). International Journal of Smart Education and Urban Society (pp. 1-10).

www.irma-international.org/article/political-trust-as-a-judgement-heuristic-in-predicting-readiness-to-comply-withgovernmental-restrictions-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/300736

Intellectural Structure of the "Extreme Poverty" Research Field

Juan Ganaza Vargasand Francisco Espasandín-Bustelo (2022). *Multidimensional Approach to Local Development and Poverty: Causes, Consequences, and Challenges Post COVID-19 (pp. 1-20).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/intellectural-structure-of-the-extreme-poverty-research-field/295685

Emergent Digital Literacies: Ambient Learning, Play, and Inclusion

(2019). Ambient Urbanities as the Intersection Between the IoT and the IoP in Smart Cities (pp. 133-159). www.irma-international.org/chapter/emergent-digital-literacies/226454

Building Sound Foundations for Smart City Government: The Case of Munich, Germany

Hans Jochen Scholl, Marlen Jurisch, Helmut Krcmarand Margit C. Scholl (2014). International Journal of E-Planning Research (pp. 1-22).

www.irma-international.org/article/building-sound-foundations-for-smart-city-government/122425

Public Participation in Local Regeneration Programmes in Poland: Case Study of Olkusz

Magdalena Mikowiecand Katarzyna Maria Gorczyca (2018). *International Journal of E-Planning Research* (pp. 44-60).

www.irma-international.org/article/public-participation-in-local-regeneration-programmes-in-poland/210424