

Chapter 6

Noise Trader

Po-Keng Cheng

State University of New York, Stony Brook University, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter briefly reviews the literature on the topics of noise traders in the financial market. The authors cover the no-trade theorem under complete and competitive markets in the 1980s, the noise trader approach to finance in the 1990s, and recent studies from several approaches related to noise traders, such as heterogeneous agent models, investor sentiment, retail investors, experimental analysis, and extrapolation. Understanding and tackling the issues resulted from noise traders would be essential for us to realize how financial and economic markets work.

INTRODUCTION

Let us start with differentiating between *noises* and *shocks*. While the two terms have some differences, they share some characteristics. Shocks are unexpected large events that affect an economy, while noises are the aggregation of small events which could have powerful influences on the market than a shock can be. Noises and shocks both result from uncertainty and have certain influences on the financial market. Their differences are notable however. Information about shocks is available to everyone in the market but information about noises is not. For an example of a shock, suppose there is an earthquake in Antarctica. Noises, in contrast, come from information asymmetry. For instance, a trader receives messages of an acquisition for company alpha from a manager in company beta, but other traders in the market do not receive the information. Although the information could be wrong, the informed trader who receives the messages will buy more stocks of company alpha in the market. The sudden increase in the demand of company alpha's stock triggers the price of its stock rising sharply, which creates a noise.

Before the shocks happen, traders assign probability measures of shocks and consider their impacts when making financial decisions. Suppose all the traders in the market are fully rational and the initial allocation is Pareto-optimal, then the private information observed by a trader would not cause trades (Milgrom & Stokey, 1982). Thus, the existence of noise trading would provide liquidity to the market (Black, 1986; Dow & Gorton, 2008). However, observed market crashes, such as the Black Monday in 1987 and the burst of Dot-com Bubble in early 2000s, raise the questions whether all the traders in the market are fully rational and markets are efficient.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7362-3.ch006

BACKGROUND

Lucas' asset pricing model (Lucas, 1978) suggests asset prices are the discounted value of dividends with time preferences. The asset price p_t^e (Krusell, 2007) is equal to

$$p_t^e = E_t \left[\sum_{s=t+1}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t} \frac{u'(y_s)}{u'(y_t)} d_s \right],$$

where β represents for consumer's time preference, $u'(\cdot)$ is consumer's marginal utility function, y_t is the endowment consumer received at time period t , and d_t is the dividend asset paid at time period t . But in the real world, the fluctuations of asset prices in the financial market are far greater to be explained by changes in dividends (Shiller, 1981), suggesting the asset prices are affected by more than fundamentals (Shiller, 2003).

Harrison and Kreps (1978) argued that heterogeneous expectations on dividends policy may cause the price of stock to differ from its fundamental value. De Long, Shleifer, Summers, and Waldmann (1990b) provided a theoretical basis for the noise trader approach. They proposed that the existence of noise traders could lead to divergences between market prices and fundamental values. Additionally, they suggested that some financial anomalies, like the excess volatility of asset prices, the mean reversion of stock return, and the equity premium puzzle could be explained by noise trader risk. Another paper (De Long, Shleifer, Summers, & Waldmann, 1990a) by the same authors suggested that with the presence of positive-feedback investors, rational arbitrageurs' early positions trigger positive-feedback trading which drives asset prices away from fundamentals. Cutler, Poterba, and Summers (1990, 1991) formalized the role of feedback traders and presented evidences on the characteristic speculative dynamics of returns across markets. Frankel and Froot (1990, 1991) proposed the forecast models of chartists and fundamentalists in which portfolio managers place different weights on chartist and fundamentalist views, explaining the appreciation of the US dollar in 1981–1985.

The noise trader approach (Shleifer & Summers, 1990) aims to explain the equity premium puzzle and other phenomena which cannot be explained well by efficient market hypothesis. There are two main assumptions in noise trader approach. First, not every investor in the market is fully rational: noise traders' demand for assets is affected by their beliefs or sentiments. Second, the limits of arbitrage prevent fully rational investors from bringing the price back to fundamental values. The existence of noise traders may drive out rational arbitrageurs in the market and create positive returns. Shleifer and Vishny (1997) mentioned that with the existence of noise traders in the market, rational arbitrageurs may avoid high volatile positions, dampening the effect of arbitrage which brings prices back to fundamental values.

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Given the theoretical background of noise traders, the remaining question is how to reveal noise traders in real data. This section introduce several studies related to noise traders from different approaches.

Heterogeneous agent models (Hommes, 2006; LeBaron, 2000, 2006, 2012) consider agents being boundedly rational and following heuristics or rule of thumb strategies, where the models generate

5 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/noise-trader/212100

Related Content

SWOT Analysis in Strategic Management and a Case Study at Gaziantep Airport

Sabiha Annaç Göv (2022). *Handbook of Research on Current Trends in Asian Economics, Business, and Administration* (pp. 296-311).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/swot-analysis-in-strategic-management-and-a-case-study-at-gaziantep-airport/288927

Using Innovative Internal Communication to Enhance Employee Engagement

Karen Mishra, Aneil K. Mishra and Khaner Walker (2019). *Handbook of Research on Strategic Communication, Leadership, and Conflict Management in Modern Organizations* (pp. 445-468).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/using-innovative-internal-communication-to-enhance-employee-engagement/226071

Performance Evaluation of Teams in Chinese Professional Baseball League

Shao-Wei Yeh, Mei-Jung Chen, Cheng-Tien Chuang and Wen-Bin Lin (2021). *Research Anthology on Business Strategies, Health Factors, and Ethical Implications in Sports and eSports* (pp. 816-830).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/performance-evaluation-of-teams-in-chinese-professional-baseball-league/270766

Exploring the Relevance of Intrapreneurship and Innovation in Mature Organizations

Fernando Almeida (2020). *Journal of Business Ecosystems* (pp. 22-42).

www.irma-international.org/article/exploring-the-relevance-of-intrapreneurship-and-innovation-in-mature-organizations/262222

Knowledge Crash and Knowledge Management

Jean-Louis Ermine (2012). *Organizational Learning and Knowledge: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications* (pp. 3011-3028).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-crash-knowledge-management/58255