

Chapter 13

Benchmarking Performance Indicators of Indian Rail Freight by DEA Approach

Neeraj Bhanot

Dr. B. R. Ambedkar National Institute of Technology Jalandhar, India

Harwinder Singh

Guru Nanak Dev Engineering College, India

ABSTRACT

The overall development of business operations logistics activities becomes more important for firms with the globalization of economy, and therefore performance measurement, being equally important. In order to break monopolistic control of Container Corporation of India (CONCOR), Indian Railways entered competition in the container segment in January 2006 through private-public participation for customer-centric competitiveness. The purpose of this chapter is to benchmark the performance indicators in CONCOR. A case study has been conducted employing super-efficiency models of data envelopment analysis (DEA) on secondary data of CONCOR container terminals from 1994-95 to 2015-16 for performance evaluation within CONCOR. The exercise identified efficiency trends fluctuating between 75.83% to 109.51% (CCR model) and 93.52% to 100% (BCC model) within CONCOR, owing to lack of operational planning and lack of efficient staff.

INTRODUCTION

To accomplish the organization's logistics/distribution activities, measurement systems have been designed to capture information regarding five types of performance. These five categories are: asset management, cost, customer service, productivity and logistics quality (Li, 2005).

Li (2005) discussed several evaluation methods for logistics performance. Qualitative and quantitative data can be dealt by AHP method but the weight of different factors is subjective. The result is influenced by the subjectivity greatly. Mathematic statistics method mainly conducts the quantitative data, and the evaluation process is objective. However strong qualitative analysis ability has been shown by Fuzzy

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7362-3.ch013

comprehensive evaluation and Rough sets method. The above methods are not systematized as a whole. The objects, contents and methods are too much complicated to be integrated. But DEA (Data Envelopment Analysis) especially suitable for multi-input and multi-output complicated systems take the weights of input and output of DMU (Decision Making Units) for variables, evaluates in terms of DMU. In a word, DEA is more suitable evaluation method for the logistics activities as it has very strong objectivity.

Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA)

DEA measurement can be defined as the ratio of total weighted output to total weighted input. It is a linear programming-based technique that converts multiple input and output measures into a single comprehensive measure of productivity efficiency (Epstein, & Henderson, 1989). DEA provides a measure by which one firm or department can compare its performance, in relative terms, with other homogeneous firms or departments. In measuring the relative efficiencies of organizations with DEA each organization can utilize different weights for the set of performance measures. Weights are selected that will maximize the composite efficiency score for each functional unit. Taking into account differences in goals, responsibilities, and type of procurement, this variable weighting allows for the evaluation of performance. The range of possible weights is controlled by requiring all weights to be positive, and specifying that if another unit used the same weight, their total efficiency score could not exceed the value of one. The technique also gives information as to the specific effect each input or output has on overall efficiency as yet, which has demonstrated its use as a potential evaluation tool for logistics performance. In summation, DEA can be used to reduce some of the existing problems with performance evaluation system (Charnes, Cooper, & Rhodes, 1978).

An examination of the literature reveals the application of DEA for performance benchmarking in a variety of settings such as telecommunications (Lupi, Manenti, Scialà, & Varin, 2011), educational institutes (Portela, Camanho, & Borges, 2011), productive efficiency (Farrell, 1957; Chandraprakaikul, & Suebongsakorn, 2012; Holden, Xu, Greening, Piecyk, & Dadhich, 2016), Irrigation sector (Phadnis, & Kulshrestha, 2012), safety performance (Berih, Patnaik, & Mahapatra, 2011), etc.

Indian Railway Container Scenario

By taking over network of seven Inland Container Depots (ICDs) of Indian Railways (IR) located at Delhi, Ludhiana, Bangalore, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Guntur and Anaparti, Container Corporation of India (CONCOR) was incorporated in March 1988 as a Public Sector Enterprise under the Ministry of Railways. The company was formed with objective to have a separate organization for promoting and managing the growth of containerization in India as well as developing multimodal (surface, rail, water and air) transport logistics and infrastructure to support India's growing inland as well as international trade. Under the supervision of Managing Director, the day-to-day affairs of the business of CONCOR are managed by various divisional heads (CARE, 2009). Although, IR is a public sector monopoly, there is a growing demand on it to realize the full scope of its assets through proper use of systems and technology and also to address the lack of its customer orientation. Therefore, there is a strong need to devise a performance benchmarking system of its supply chain operations (George, & Rangaraj, 2008). To introduce competition in the container operations segment, the Ministry of Railways allowed the entry of private and public sector operators to obtain licenses for running container trains on the Indian

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/benchmarking-performance-indicators-of-indian-rail-freight-by-dea-approach/212108

Related Content

The Electricity System Improvement Canvas (ESIC): A New Tool for Business Model Innovation in the Energy Sector

Jordi Vinaixa, Winnie Vanrespaille and Hasan Muslemani (2023). *Journal of Business Ecosystems* (pp. 1-18).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-electricity-system-improvement-canvas-esic/321556

Women's Leadership in Mexican SMEs

Natalie Berenice Diaz Acevedo and Roberto Hernández Sampieri (2020). *Handbook of Research on Increasing the Competitiveness of SMEs* (pp. 601-627).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/womens-leadership-in-mexican-smes/246479

Generational Differences in the Workplace?: Let's Ask the Managers!

Franziska Eberz (2020). *Global Applications of Multigenerational Management and Leadership in the Transcultural Era* (pp. 163-195).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/generational-differences-in-the-workplace/236363

Faculty Perceptions of How Their Altruistic and Servant Teaching Behaviors Influence Student Learning

Robert Krise (2023). *International Journal of Responsible Leadership and Ethical Decision-Making* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/faculty-perceptions-of-how-their-altruistic-and-servant-teaching-behaviors-influence-student-learning/317372

Corporate Social Responsibility

Ben Tran (2019). *Advanced Methodologies and Technologies in Business Operations and Management* (pp. 270-281).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/corporate-social-responsibility/212116