

Chapter 106

Sustainable Competitive Advantage With the Balanced Scorecard Approach

Jorge Gomes

ISEG, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal

Mário José Batista Romão

ISEG, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal

ABSTRACT

Why are some firms more successful than others? This question has been intensely debated by strategic management researchers over the last 30 years. Competitive advantage is recognized as being the major cause for explaining top organizational performance and is a fundamental goal of academic strategic management studies. Recently, there has been an increasing amount of empirical research on the subject of competitive advantage and about distinguishing competitive advantage from organizational performance. The relevance of competitive advantage is not simply determined by external factors, but also by those internal sources that have been considered critical for successful organizations.

INTRODUCTION

Why are some firms more successful than others? This question has been intensely debated by strategic management researchers over the last thirty years. Competitive advantage is recognised as being the major cause for explaining top organizational performance and is a fundamental goal of academic strategic management studies. Recently, there has been an increasing amount of empirical research on the subject of competitive advantage (Ray et al., 2004; Newbert, 2008) and about distinguishing competitive advantage from organisational performance (Powell, 2001). The relevance of competitive advantage is not simply determined by external factors, but also by those internal sources that have been considered critical for successful organisations. Porter (1985) says that competitive advantage is at the heart of organisational performance in the competitive business environment, and that the core of this view is that in order to achieve competitive advantage, firms should systematically provide added value to customers relative

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7362-3.ch106

to the competition. Peteraf (1993) defined competitive advantage as being sustained performance above normal returns, and Barney (2002) claims that superior performance is obtained through the value generation of internal resources usage. The research of Wernerfelt (1984), Rumelt (1984), Barney (1986), Dierickx and Cool (1989), Amit and Schoemaker (1993) and Peteraf (1993) have all been recognised as a reference for the study of sustainable competitive advantage (SCA), based on the resource-based view of the firm approach (RBV). According to this view, a firm's endowment of resources is that which gives it a sustainable competitive advantage. RBV highlighted the relevance of intangible resources as a crucial factor for SCA. Intangible assets, such as intellectual property, knowledge and skills of employees or relationships with our customers, are all sources of competitive advantages and long-term financial success, which are both increasingly important for organisations today (Kaplan & Norton, 1992, 1996, 2000). The competitive market environment and dealing with intangible assets have both become the main reason for SCA today for organisations, and they are needed to support organisations' strategy in reliable frameworks that measure strategy implementation, align business challenges with different internal activities, and include the management of IS/IT strategy, as well as other initiatives. The most globally-recognised management support system for fulfilling these organisational performance challenges is the Balanced Scorecard (BSC).

BACKGROUND

Sustainable Competitive Advantage

SCA is an organisation's ability to carry out the set of necessary steps for achieving lower costs than the competition in an efficient and unique way, creating differentiated value for buyers (Porter, 1985). SCA is the aim of every organisational strategy and it can be achieved in numerous ways. The rationale behind Porter's view (Porter, 1985) is that a higher performance is correlated with competitive advantage, and that achieving an advantage will certainly result in superior performance (Reed & DeFillipi, 1990). According to this approach, the organisational strategy definition depends on the external environment context. With the advent of the RBV approach, researchers focus mainly on the internal capabilities of the organisation, considering the external factors as background (Pralahad & Hamel, 1990; Spanos & Lioukas, 2001). The ultimate organisational goal is to achieve a superior return on capital through the identification, development, protection and allocation of resources and capabilities, and thus supply the organisation with a SCA (Amit & Shoemaker, 1993). RBV focusses on the development of resources and capabilities, supported by the belief that the set of resources in companies are heterogeneous, valuable and scarce (Collis & Montgomery, 1995; Hamel, 1994; Prahalad & Hamel, 1990), which are recognised as being a source of SCA (Barney, 1991; Barney & Wright, 1998; Wright, McMahan, & McWilliams, 1994). This approach assumes that firms can only create a SCA if they have superior resources, in conjunction with organisational capabilities, and that this combination is the best entry barrier for competition (Barney, 1991) (Figure 1).

Barney (1991) claims that for creating differentiating advantage, firm's resources and capabilities must be valuable, rare, imperfectly imitable, and non-substitutable (VRIN). Intangible resources are the main drivers of performance sustainability across firms, and are usually tacit, difficult to codify (Kogut & Zander, 1992; Conner & Prahalad, 1996), and hard to acquire or develop, replicate, accumulate (Itami, 1987; Winter, 1987), and to be imitated by others (Rumelt, 1986; Dierickx & Cool, 1989;

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/sustainable-competitive-advantage-with-the-balanced-scorecard-approach/212208

Related Content

The KM Business Infrastructure

Nilmini Wickramasinghe and Dag Von Lubitz (2007). *Knowledge-Based Enterprise: Theories and Fundamentals* (pp. 65-95).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/business-infrastructure/25475

A Knowledge Management Model for Patterns

Pankaj Kamthan and Terrill Fancott (2012). *Organizational Learning and Knowledge: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications* (pp. 568-577).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-management-model-patterns/58113

Legal and Ethical Aspects of CSR: Potential in New Business Models Development

Ewa Barbara Wójcik and Katarzyna Olejko (2020). *Networked Business Models in the Circular Economy* (pp. 200-223).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/legal-and-ethical-aspects-of-csr/236224

Impact of Workplace Diversity on Employee Performance: A Case of Some Selected Private Universities in Ghana

Juliana Serwaa Andoh, Benjamin Ghansah, Joy Nana Okogun-Odompley and Ben-Bright Benuwa (2019). *International Journal of R&D Innovation Strategy* (pp. 31-43).

www.irma-international.org/article/impact-of-workplace-diversity-on-employee-performance/250272

Measuring Knowledge Assets within Organizations: An Individual-Level Perspective

Mariolina Longo and Matteo Mura (2011). *Managing Knowledge Assets and Business Value Creation in Organizations: Measures and Dynamics* (pp. 156-172).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/measuring-knowledge-assets-within-organizations/50255