Chapter 36 Defining and Conceptualizing Cyberbullying

Karin Spenser

Nottingham Trent University, UK

Lucy R. Betts

Nottingham Trent University, UK

ABSTRACT

Whilst researchers have defined face-to-face bullying to include elements of repetition, power imbalance, and intentional acts directed towards an individual, the definition and conceptualization of cyberbullying is more widely debated. Alongside arguing why researchers and practitioners should address cyberbullying, this chapter will review some of the unique aspects of cyberbullying that are central to this form of bullying. In particular, the chapter will consider the issues of anonymity, access, repetition, permanency, power, audience, and motivation. The chapter will also discuss how these issues can, in turn, influence how cyberbullying is conceptualized and the conclusions that can be drawn from research on cyberbullying. Finally, solutions and recommendations and future research in the area of cyberbullying will be presented.

INTRODUCTION

The word 'bully' dates back to the 16th century and the original meaning is somewhat different to that of today. Originally a term of endearment implying friendly admiration but over time the meaning of bullying has darkened to "a person who uses strength or influence to harm or intimidate those who are weaker" (Oxford English Dictionary, 1989). Until recently face-to-face bullying was seen by some as a normal and acceptable part of youth (Koo, 2007). The practice, tolerated within England's public school system for decades, appears in both fiction and real life. In *Tom Brown's School Days*, the character Flashman is seen bullying the younger Tom Brown; the line "very well then, let's roast him' [...]. One or two boys hesitate, but the rest join in" (Hughs, 1857, p. 188), being the most famous. At a similar time, the death of a 12 year old boy attending the King's School in Cambridge, was made public. The boy's death, in 1885, was attributed to experiences of bullying. Afterwards, a former student wrote to the editor of *The Times* newspaper saying "bullying, of the kind mentioned, constantly occurred during the seven years I was at the school" (Anon, 1885).

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7368-5.ch036

The turning point, in recognizing face-to-face bullying as a problem, came in the 1970s. At that time, a general concern regarding violent behavior emerged and the pioneering work of Olewus (1978) began to address young people's experiences of bullying. Since then numerous studies have examined various forms of face-to-face bullying (e.g., Hawker & Boulton, 2000). However, in the wake of societal and technological changes bullying has evolved (Hinduja & Patchin, 2008). Recent statistics report that 99% of all 12- to 14-year-olds in the UK are frequent internet users with young people spending increasing amounts of time using technology (Office for National Statistics, 2013). With the many benefits of this connectivity, such as enhanced educational attainment (Jackson, 2011) and social networks (Valkenburg & Peter, 2007), there are also risks. Cyberbullying has been identified as one of the greatest risks (Cross, Monks, Campbell, Spears, & Slee, 2011). Cyberbullying is "the intentional act of online/digital intimidation, embarrassment, or harassment." (Mark & Ratliffe, 2011, p. 92).

BACKGROUND

Although cyberbullying is undoubtedly a by-product of the union of adolescent aggression and electronic communication; it is it's propensity for growth which gives cause for concern for researchers and educational practitioners (Cassidy, Faucher, & Jackson, 2013). Further, empirical evidence reports that the impacts of cyberbullying include: distress (Li, 2010), loneliness (Sahin, 2012), depression (Tynes, Rose, & Williams, 2010), increased psychosomatic symptoms (Sourander et al., 2010), suicidal ideation (Hinduja & Patchin, 2010), and reduced academic performance (Smith et al., 2008).

Despite this attention, many questions remain unanswered with regard to the conceptual and theoretical similarities between face-to-face bullying and cyberbullying. It is widely accepted that definitions of face-to-face bullying include aspects of repetition, power imbalance, and intention (Olweus, 2013). There are three forms of face-to-face bullying: physical, verbal, and social (Rigby, 1997). Physical bullying is a 'direct' form of aggression that involves hitting, punching, kicking, or any other action that can inflict physical pain or harm. The power imbalance between the perpetrator and the target in physical bullying makes it difficult for the target to defend themselves and prevent the actions being repeated (Rigby, 2002).

Verbal bullying which includes making rude remarks, telling hurtful jokes about an individual, calling an individual hurtful names, and threatening an individual with the intent to intimidate or humiliate the target, or to provide 'humor' at the expense of the target (Crick et al., 2001) can be direct or indirect. The perpetrator can 'directly' bully by teasing, taunting, or mocking the target to their face, or 'indirectly' bully by doing the same thing behind the target's back (Cole, Cornell, & Sheras, 2006).

Social bullying is aggressive behavior in the form of rumor spreading, backbiting, and social exclusion that results in harming an individuals' psychological state of mind and/or social connections. Often delivered in a covert manner social bullying allows the perpetrator to remain anonymous (Björkqvist, Österman, & Kaukiainen, 1992).

Issues, Controversies, Problems

The reported prevalence rates of cyberbullying vary from 6.5% (Jones, Mitchell, & Finkelhor, 2012) to 72% (Juvoven & Gross, 2008) with frequencies converging between 20 to 40% (Hinduja & Patchin, 2008). Typically, cyberbullying experiences peak around the age of 14 (Ortega et al., 2009), although those most at risk of being involved in cyberbullying are adolescents and young adults (Ševčíková & Šmahel,

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/defining-and-conceptualizing-cyberbullying/213153

Related Content

Sedated by the Screen: Social Use of Time in the Age of Mediated Acceleration

Lídia Oliveira (2019). *Managing Screen Time in an Online Society (pp. 1-30)*. www.irma-international.org/chapter/sedated-by-the-screen/223051

Universality and Communicability in Computer Animation

Francisco V. Cipolla-Ficarraand Miguel Cipolla-Ficarra (2014). *Advanced Research and Trends in New Technologies, Software, Human-Computer Interaction, and Communicability (pp. 131-142).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/universality-and-communicability-in-computer-animation/94224

Optimizing Leaf Diseases of Apple Scab and Apple Black Rot in the Context of "Useful" Information Measures and Distance Measurements

Pankaj Prasad Dwivediand Dilip Kumar Sharma (2023). Advances in Artificial and Human Intelligence in the Modern Era (pp. 141-162).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/optimizing-leaf-diseases-of-apple-scab-and-apple-black-rot-in-the-context-of-useful-information-measures-and-distance-measurements/330403

A Study of Detecting Individual to Mental Health of Fear of Falling (FOF) in Indian Cities

Bhavika Malik, Akshath Lilesh Kamath, Anusha Krishnan Iyer, Anuj Dinesh Ghag, S. Sneha, Nandini Shahand Arshkirat Gill (2023). *Advances in Artificial and Human Intelligence in the Modern Era (pp. 228-242).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-study-of-detecting-individual-to-mental-health-of-fear-of-falling-fof-in-indian-cities/330408

From Code to Care and Navigating Ethical Challenges in Al Healthcare

Sourav Madhur Deyand Pushan Kumar Dutta (2024). *Human-Centered Approaches in Industry 5.0: Human-Machine Interaction, Virtual Reality Training, and Customer Sentiment Analysis (pp. 210-225).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/from-code-to-care-and-navigating-ethical-challenges-in-ai-healthcare/337103