Chapter 5
Cyber Security Protection for Online Gaming Applications

Wenbing Zhao
Cleveland State University, USA

ABSTRACT

In this chapter, the authors point out the threats to online gaming applications and present two strategies that can be used to build secure and dependable online gaming applications. These strategies not only seek the solution for gathering entropy to seed the PRNG used in such applications but also intend to eliminate malicious intrusions to protect the seed and to maintain replica consistency. By applying these techniques, the online gaming applications can ensure service integrity (both the service providers and the innocent players are protected) and guarantee high availability despite the presence of Byzantine faults. Finally, the authors outline some open research issues in this field.

INTRODUCTION

By online gaming applications, we mean both distributed applications that enable large number of users to play multiplayer games and those that enable people to gamble online because both types of applications could have huge financial stakes and the security and dependability challenges for both are rather similar. On the one hand, such systems must ensure continuous high availability so that users around the globe could play the games 24 by 7. This requires that the game servers be replicated to provide non-stop services. On the other hand, state-machine replication requires that the replicas be deterministic or rendered deterministic. This requirement does not work well with gaming applications because random numbers are essential to their operation. For example, in a card game, the random numbers are used to shuffle the cards. If the random numbers used are not robust, the hands in the card game may become predictable, which could damage the integrity of the game and may lead to financial losses to the game provider and/or honest game players. The nature of this type of applications poses a particular challenge to ensure cyber security because it is difficult to ensure high availability while preserving the integrity of the operation of these applications (Arkin et al., 1999; Viega & McGraw, 2002; Young & Yung, 2004; Zhao, 2007; Zhao, 2008; Zhang et al., 2011).

Byzantine fault tolerance (Castro & Liskov, 2002) is a well-known technique to achieve cyber security (Zhao, 2014). The technique aims to tolerate various malicious attacks to online systems by employing state machine replication (Schneider, 1990). However, as we mentioned earlier, Byzantine fault tolerance cannot be used as it is because it is not equipped with built-in solution to resolve the conflict of replication determinism requirement and the intrinsic randomness of the server operation. In this article, we elaborate how we address this dilemma using an online poker game application as a running example. In this application, a pseudo-random number generator (PRNG) is used to generate the pseudo-random numbers for shuffling the cards. We present two alternative strategies to cope with the intrinsic application nondeterminism. One depends on a Byzantine consensus algorithm and the other depends on a threshold signature scheme. Furthermore, we thoroughly discuss the strength and weaknesses of these two schemes.

BACKGROUND

In this section, we provide a brief introduction of PRNG, the entropy concept, and the methods to collect and enhance entropy.

A PRNG is a computer algorithm used to produce a sequence of pseudo-random numbers. It must be initialized by a seed number and can be reseeded prior to each run. The numbers produced by a PRNG are not truly random because computer programs are in fact deterministic machines. Given the same seed, a PRNG will generate the same sequence of numbers. Consequently, if an adversary knows the seed to a PRNG, then he/she can generate and predict the entire stream of random numbers (Young & Yung, 2004). Therefore, to make the random numbers unpredictable, it is important that the seeds to the PRNG cannot be guessed or estimated. Ideally, a highly random number that is unpredictable and infeasible to be computed is required to seed the PRNG in order to produce a sequence of random numbers.

The activity of collecting truly random numbers is referred to as "collecting entropy" by cryptographers (Young & Yung, 2004). Entropy is a measure of the degree of randomness in a piece of data. As an example, consider using the outcome of coin flipping as 1 bit of entropy. If the coin-toss is perfectly fair, then the bit should have an equal chance of being a 0 or a 1. In such a case, we have a perfect 1 bit of entropy. If the coin-toss is slightly biased toward either head or tail, then we have something less than 1 bit of entropy. Entropy is what we really want when we talk about generating numbers that cannot be guessed. In general, it is often difficult to figure out how much entropy we have, and it is usually difficult to generate a lot of it in a short amount of time.

It is a common practice to seed a PRNG with the current timestamp. Unfortunately, this is not a sound approach to preserve the integrity of the system, as described by Arkin et al (1999) in the context of how a Texas Hold'em Poker online game can be attacked. They show that with the knowledge of the first few cards, they can estimate the seed to the PRNG and subsequently predict all the remaining cards.

TECHNIQUES FOR ENHANCING THE TRUSTWORTHINESS

In this section, we describe two possible strategies for enhancing the trustworthiness of online gaming applications. One depends on a Byzantine consensus algorithm and the other depends on a threshold

9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/cyber-security-protection-for-online-gaming-applications/213638

Related Content

Electronic Banking and Information Assurance Issues: Survey and Synthesis
Manish Gupta, Raghav Raoand Shambhu Upadhyaya (2008). *Information Security and Ethics: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 2666-2685).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/electronic-banking-information-assurance-issues/23248

Identification of Advancing Persistent Risks: Expanding the MICTIC Model
Virendra Kumar Yadav, Shelendra Pal, Raghavendra R., Aishwary Awasthi, Laxmi Bewoor, Adapa Gopiand Sabyasachi Pramanik (2024). *Risk Assessment and Countermeasures for Cybersecurity (pp. 20-38).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/identification-of-advancing-persistent-risks/346078

Without Permission: Privacy on the Line
Joanne H. Prattand Sue Conger (2009). *International Journal of Information Security and Privacy (pp. 30-44).*
www.irma-international.org/article/without-permission-privacy-line/4000

Trust of the Same: Rethinking Trust and Reputation Management from a Structural Homophily Perspective
Aminu Bello Usman, William Liu, Quan Baiand Ajit Narayanan (2015). *International Journal of Information Security and Privacy (pp. 13-30).*
www.irma-international.org/article/trust-of-the-same/148064

Policies for Web Security Services
Konstantina Stoupaand Athena Vakali (2006). *Web and Information Security (pp. 52-72).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/policies-web-security-services/31082