Chapter 2 Virtual Reality Pedagogical Considerations in Learning Environments

Elizabeth A. Johnston University of Phoenix, USA

Gerald W. Olivas University of Phoenix, USA

Patricia Steele University of Phoenix, USA

Cassandra Smith University of Phoenix, USA

Liston Bailey University of Phoenix, USA

ABSTRACT

New virtual reality (VR) educational applications are available in the electronic marketplace almost daily but seldom include pedagogies, materials, recommendations, or insights for adapting or implementing the applications into existing curriculums. Educators need to understand the pedagogical orientations of VR applications to prepare, apply, assess, and evaluate a potentially productive practice that distinguishes and supports different strategies and optimizes student-centered learning. VR educational applications are most frequently built on student-centered models including direct instruction, experiential, discovery, situated cognition, and constructivism pedagogies.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-5769-2.ch002

Copyright © 2019, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

THE CONTEXT OF STUDENT-CENTERED VR EDUCATIONAL APPLICATIONS

Virtual reality (VR) could be valuable especially when mastering challenging, tiresome, or hazardous tasks (Janssen, Tummel, Richert, & Isenhardt, 2016). Collaborative and interactive learning is possible in student centered VR educational experiences. VR can be less expensive, provide support for diverse learners, support ESL learners and others with literacy challenges. Student centered learning in collaborative groups can support critical thinking. Students can envision, apply, and understand complex theoretical models more effectively through VR experiences as opposed to within a traditional classroom. (Friena & Mott, 2015). The potential of VR clarifies the need to effectively implement the VR educational applications.

THE VALUE OF UNDERSTANDING PEDAGOGIES

A variety of corporations, educational institutions and laboratories are developing VR applications that come into the electronic marketplace on an almost daily basis. Explicit pedagogical statements are rarely available in the new VR educational applications (Fowler, 2015; Mikropoulos & Natsis, 2011). However, national, regional, and local educational leaders and VR developers need a clear understanding of the underlying pedagogy to train personnel, develop contexts, and align the new VR applications with previous teaching and learning methods, (Stefan, Moldoveanu, Gheorghiu, 2016; Kahai et al., 2013; Lovequest et al., 2015; Psotka, 2013). In addition, educators will need to assess and evaluate the new applications (Ludlow, 2015) using comprehensive theoretical support. Clear understanding of the pedagogical foundations of VR applications for education is necessary (Conole, 2004; Fowler, 2015; Kebritchi & Hirumi, 2008; Mikropoulos & Natsis, 2011) to assure that educators can evaluate use and effectiveness (Stefan et al., 2016).

Researching Pedagogical Foundations

A directed content analysis to identify pedagogical foundations of selected VR educational applications (Johnston, Olivas, Steele, Smith, & Bailey, 2017) revealed a pattern of student-centered pedagogies. Kebritchi and Hirumi (2008) developed a theoretical model that indicated qualities of direct instruction, experiential learning, discovery learning, situated cognition, constructivist, and unclassified approaches for analyzing the pedagogies of electronic games. Both texts and visual public content were used in a comparative and deductive process. A series of key words (Appendix A) related to each pedagogical foundation was developed for the initial analysis.

17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart"

button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/virtual-reality-pedagogical-

considerations-in-learning-environments/214517

Related Content

Incorporating "World View" into the LMS or CMS is Best

Katherine Watson (2012). *Virtual Learning Environments: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications (pp. 1220-1231).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/incorporating-world-view-into-Ims/63188

What's So Special About Spatial?: A Review Study Joining Virtual Reality and Spatial Ability

Cigdem Uz Bilgin, Melat R. Antenehand Meredith Thompson (2021). *Implementing Augmented Reality Into Immersive Virtual Learning Environments (pp. 56-73).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/whats-so-special-about-spatial/272141

Using Generation Z's Learning Approaches to Create Meaningful Online Learning

Letha Mellman, Mia Kim Williamsand David A. Slykhuis (2021). *Handbook of Research on Transforming Teachers' Online Pedagogical Reasoning for Engaging K-12 Students in Virtual Learning (pp. 149-169).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/using-generation-zs-learning-approaches-to-createmeaningful-online-learning/284523

Understanding the Use of Online Tools Embedded Within a Virtual Learning Environment

Eleanor Jane Dommett (2019). International Journal of Virtual and Personal Learning Environments (pp. 39-55).

www.irma-international.org/article/understanding-the-use-of-online-tools-embedded-within-avirtual-learning-environment/218216

Collaborative Process Analysis Coding Scheme (CPACS): Examining the Macro- and Micro- Level of Students' Discourse in a Virtual World

Shannon Kennedy-Clarkand Kate Thompson (2013). *International Journal of Virtual and Personal Learning Environments (pp. 19-49).*

www.irma-international.org/article/collaborative-process-analysis-coding-scheme/78508