

Chapter 12

Cyberinfrastructure, Cloud Computing, Science Gateways, Visualization, and Cyberinfrastructure Ease of Use

Craig A. Stewart
Indiana University, USA

Richard Knepper
Indiana University, USA

Matthew R. Link
Indiana University, USA

Marlon Pierce
Indiana University, USA

Eric Wernert
Indiana University, USA

Nancy Wilkins-Diehr
San Diego Supercomputer Center, USA

ABSTRACT

Computers accelerate our ability to achieve scientific breakthroughs. As technology evolves and new research needs come to light, the role for cyberinfrastructure as “knowledge” infrastructure continues to expand. In essence, cyberinfrastructure can be thought of as the integration of supercomputers, data resources, visualization, and people that extends the impact and utility of information technology. This chapter discusses cyberinfrastructure, the related topics of science gateways and campus bridging, and identifies future challenges and opportunities in cyberinfrastructure.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7598-6.ch012

INTRODUCTION

Computers accelerate our ability to achieve scientific breakthroughs. As technology evolves and new research needs come to light, the role for cyberinfrastructure as “knowledge” infrastructure continues to expand. In essence, cyberinfrastructure can be thought of as the integration of supercomputers, data resources, visualization, and people that extends the impact and utility of information technology. This article defines and discusses cyberinfrastructure and the related topics of science gateways and campus bridging, identifies future challenges in cyberinfrastructure, and discusses challenges and opportunities related to the evolution of cyberinfrastructure and cloud computing.

BACKGROUND

Today’s US national cyberinfrastructure ecosystem grew out from the National Science Foundation-funded supercomputer centers program of the 1980s (National Science Foundation, 2006). Four centers provided supercomputers and support for their use by the US research community. Researchers generally accessed one supercomputer at a time, sometimes logging into a front-end interface. At this time, the focus of the research computing community was centered on supercomputers – traditionally defined as computers that are among the fastest in existence. Over time there have been several different supercomputer architectures, but the key points were that supercomputers were monolithic systems that were among the fastest in the world. At present we can think of supercomputers as being a subset of the more general term high performance computer (HPC) – where HPC means that many computer processors work together, in concert, to solve large computational challenges and where the computer processors communicate via very fast, networks internal to the HPC system. HPC focuses on computing problems where a high degree of communication is needed among the processors working together on a particular problem. HPC is a more general term than supercomputers because there are many HPC systems that are modest in total processing capacity relative to the fastest supercomputers in the world (cf. Top500.Org, 2016).

In the early days of supercomputing, using multiple supercomputers in concert was not possible. In the late 1980s, the National Research and Education Network initiative created several testbeds for distributed computing, including the CASA testbed which linked geographically distributed supercomputers to solve large-scale scientific challenges (U.S. Congress Office of Technology Assessment, 1993). A turning point in distributed high performance computing was the I-WAY project – a short-term demonstration of innovative science enabled by linking multiple supercomputers with high performance networks (Korab & Brown, 1995). It demonstrated the possibilities to advance science and engineering by linking supercomputers using high-speed networks.

In the late 1990s, the NASA Information Power Grid provided a production grid of multiple supercomputers connected by a high-speed network (Johnston, Gannon, & Nitzberg, 1999). Around this time began also the concept of high throughput computing (HTC) with a software system called Condor (Litzkow, Livny, & Mutka, 1988). HTC takes the approach of breaking a problem up into small pieces of work and distributing them to multiple CPUs over network connections that may be relatively slow. HTC best suits problems where relatively little communication is needed among the processors working together on a particular problem or simulation. Because HTC applications can operate relatively efficiently on processors with little communication among the processors, HTC applications have always

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/cyberinfrastructure-cloud-computing-science-gateways-visualization-and-cyberinfrastructure-ease-of-use/214612

Related Content

Ubiquitous User Interfaces: Multimodal Adaptive Interaction for Smart Environments

Marco Blumendorf, Grzegorz Lehmann, Dirk Roscher and Sahin Albayrak (2010). *Multimodality in Mobile Computing and Mobile Devices: Methods for Adaptable Usability* (pp. 24-52).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ubiquitous-user-interfaces/38535

Estimate Risks Eate for Android Applications Using Android Permissions

Latifa Er-Rajy, My Ahmed El Kiram and Mohamed El Ghazouani (2021). *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications* (pp. 17-31).

www.irma-international.org/article/estimate-risks-eate-for-android-applications-using-android-permissions/289162

Analysis and Resolution of Semantic Ambiguity of Toggle Buttons by Standardizing the Design in Software GUI and Mobile Apps

Hongyu Guo, Amjad Nusayr and Wen-Chen Hu (2017). *International Journal of Handheld Computing Research* (pp. 1-18).

www.irma-international.org/article/analysis-and-resolution-of-semantic-ambiguity-of-toggle-buttons-by-standardizing-the-design-in-software-gui-and-mobile-apps/187198

Factors for Resistance to the Use of Mobile Banking: A Study on the Resistance of Brazilian Internet Users Aged 45 Years or Older

Juliana Yamaguchi Neves da Rocha and Valéria Farinazzo Martins (2018). *Mobile Applications and Solutions for Social Inclusion* (pp. 183-215).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/factors-for-resistance-to-the-use-of-mobile-banking/204715

A Survey of People Localization Techniques Utilizing Mobile Phones

Levent Bayndr (2019). *Advanced Methodologies and Technologies in Network Architecture, Mobile Computing, and Data Analytics* (pp. 1114-1125).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-survey-of-people-localization-techniques-utilizing-mobile-phones/214686