

## Chapter 105

# Mutation Testing Applied to Object-Oriented Languages

**Pedro Delgado-Pérez**  
*University of Cádiz, Spain*

**Inmaculada Medina-Bulo**  
*University of Cádiz, Spain*

**Juan José Domínguez-Jiménez**  
*University of Cádiz, Spain*

### ABSTRACT

*Mutation testing is a suitable technique to determine the quality of test suites designed for a certain program. The set of mutation operators and the overall technique should be developed around each programming language in particular. The structures related to the object-oriented paradigm require a tailored analysis addressing them. However, class mutation operators for these languages have not been analyzed at the same extent as traditional operators for procedural languages in the literature. The purpose of the chapter is to look in depth at the development and the current state of mutation testing, and more specifically, with regard to object-oriented programming languages.*

### INTRODUCTION

*Mutation testing* is a suitable technique to determine the quality of test suites designed for a certain program. This testing technique is based on the creation of *mutants*, that is, versions of the original program with an intentionally introduced fault. Mutations are inserted within the code through some defined rules called *mutation operators*. The underlying idea is that a good set of test cases for the system under test (SUT) should be able to detect any changes generated affecting the behavior of the application.

Test cases are supposed to produce the correct output when they are run on the original program. When the output of a mutant is different from the output of the original program for a test case, the mutation has been revealed and the mutant is classified as *dead*. Otherwise, the mutant is still *alive* and needs to be executed against the rest of the test cases to detect its modification. Hence, if some mutants remain

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7598-6.ch105

alive after the whole test suite execution, new test cases can be added in order to kill these surviving mutants. However, we classify a surviving mutant as equivalent when the meaning of the program has not actually been modified despite the injected mutation.

Mutation operators represent typical mistakes made when programming and they produce a simple syntactic change in the SUT. Mutation testing is a *white-box* testing technique, i.e., it tests a program at the source code level. Therefore, the set of mutation operators and the overall technique should be developed around each programming language in particular; the correct choice of the set is one of the keys to successful mutation testing. Thus, we can find an assortment of research studies devoted to the definition of mutation operators for specific languages and tools automating the generation of mutants.

In the same sense, a set of mutation operators can be defined at different levels in each language. Mutation operators mainly dealing with variables, operators or constants were designed for some procedural programs in the early years of the technique. However, other mainstream languages as Java, C# or C++ also include object orientation and completely different mutation operators are needed to test the new structures in these languages. As an example, the operator *IHD* (Hiding Variable Deletion) deletes a variable member in a subclass which is hiding a variable in a parent class:

**Original code:**

```
class Base{      class Child: public Base{
public:          public:
    ...
    int v;      int v;
};              };
```

**Mutated code:**

```
class Base{      class Child: public Base{
public:          public:
    ...
    int v;      /*IHD*/
};              };
```

The purpose of the chapter is to look in depth at the development and the current state of mutation testing, and more specifically, with regard to object-oriented programming languages, in order to widely make known this technique in the computer science research field. Next sections deal with the related work, the steps to accomplish in the mutation testing process, the approaches to evaluate mutation operators and the existing techniques to improve the problems of this technique: equivalent mutant detection, test data generation and the expensive computational cost. Finally, the definition and evaluation of mutation operators for object-oriented languages will be focused.

## **BACKGROUND**

Mutation testing was originally proposed by Hamlet (1977) and DeMillo, Lipton and Sayward (1978) and its development has taken place in parallel with the appearance of the different programming languages (Offutt & Untch, 2001). As a result, in the early years, most of the works centered on procedural programming languages: Agrawal et al. (1989) defined a set of 77 mutation operators for C, the tool

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/mutation-testing-applied-to-object-oriented-languages/214711](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/mutation-testing-applied-to-object-oriented-languages/214711)

## Related Content

---

### Video Sequence Analysis for On-Table Tennis Player Ranking and Analysis

Xiaoni Wei (2022). *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications* (pp. 1-9).

[www.irma-international.org/article/video-sequence-analysis-for-on-table-tennis-player-ranking-and-analysis/293750](http://www.irma-international.org/article/video-sequence-analysis-for-on-table-tennis-player-ranking-and-analysis/293750)

### Dynamic Situational Adaptation of a Requirements Engineering Process

Graciela Dora Susana Hadad, Jorge Horacio Doornand Viviana Alejandra Ledesma (2019). *Advanced Methodologies and Technologies in Network Architecture, Mobile Computing, and Data Analytics* (pp. 1386-1399).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/dynamic-situational-adaptation-of-a-requirements-engineering-process/214708](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/dynamic-situational-adaptation-of-a-requirements-engineering-process/214708)

### Know Your World Better: Cloud Based Augmented Reality Android Application

Srinivasa K. G., Satvik Jagannathand Aakash Nidhi (2016). *International Journal of Handheld Computing Research* (pp. 1-15).

[www.irma-international.org/article/know-your-world-better/167831](http://www.irma-international.org/article/know-your-world-better/167831)

### Systems Development Methodology for Mobile Commerce Applications

Muazzan Binsalehand Shahizan Hassan (2013). *Contemporary Challenges and Solutions for Mobile and Multimedia Technologies* (pp. 146-162).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/systems-development-methodology-mobile-commerce/70813](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/systems-development-methodology-mobile-commerce/70813)

### Investigating Serendipitous Smartphone Interaction with Public Displays

Matthias Baldaufand Peter Fröhlich (2015). *Emerging Perspectives on the Design, Use, and Evaluation of Mobile and Handheld Devices* (pp. 239-268).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/investigating-serendipitous-smartphone-interaction-with-public-displays/133758](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/investigating-serendipitous-smartphone-interaction-with-public-displays/133758)