

# Chapter 107

## The Past, Present, and Future of UML

**Rebecca Platt**

*Murdoch University, Australia*

**Nik Thompson**

*Curtin University, Australia*

### ABSTRACT

*Since its inception, the unified modeling language (UML) has risen to relative ubiquity in the IT community. However, despite its status as an ISO industry standard, the UML is still evolving to accommodate the changing needs of industry. This development aims to ensure that UML remains effective and relevant to the most current developments in software engineering techniques. This chapter charts the progress of this arguably indispensable standard and discusses the ongoing evolution in three sections: the past, the present, and the future.*

### INTRODUCTION

Since its inception, the Unified Modeling Language (UML) has risen to relative ubiquity in the IT community. However, despite its status as an ISO industry standard (International Organization for Standardization, 2005), the UML is still evolving to accommodate the changing needs of industry. This development aims to ensure that UML remains effective and relevant to the most current developments in software engineering techniques. This article charts the progress of this arguably indispensable standard and discusses the ongoing evolution in three sections: The Past, The Present, and The Future. The Past section will detail the reasons for which standardization was needed, the history behind its inception and development, initial reception from the user community and also its initial effectiveness. The Present section then describes the various changes between UML 1.0 and UML 2.5. The reasons behind these changes and the effectiveness of them are then discussed. Finally in The Future section, the article will describe the current state of UML, predictions for the next specification of UML based on the Object Management Group documentation, and also common problems and suggestions from the wider community which may be addressed in future iterations of the specification.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7598-6.ch107

## **BACKGROUND**

The Unified Modeling Language is a form of notation that was developed with the core goal of creating a standardized representation of general-purpose models, with the focus of functionality of these primarily being for software engineering and systems development. Despite this main focus of approach in the specification design, the language is meant to attain some level of applicability regardless of the subject matter. The reason a modeling language was needed in order to achieve this was to manage the complexity of the subject at hand - whether it was system or software design or another subject entirely. As a model is by nature an abstraction of reality, it allows the user to characterize the design of the subject in an effective manner. This abstract model then enables the user to better evaluate the subject and communicate that in an efficient and meaningful way rather than attempting to demonstrate their intentions using the actual software or system in question. In order to achieve this intended core goal the language has been modified and refined over time, resulting in evolutions of varying effectiveness and popularity.

## **THE EVOLUTION OF UML**

### **The Past**

In the late 1950s, the first object orientated programming language, Simula was introduced, and with it came “a powerful new combination of ideas into structuring computer programs, including instantiation of abstract data types, inheritance, and polymorphism” (Cook, 2012, p. 471). To accompany this new idea of object orientated languages, methods for designing software in this object orientated way also started to emerge, and in time they were referred to as modeling languages. By the late 1980s there were more than fifty separate modeling languages - each with their own syntax, structure and notation. There were many issues with this overwhelming variety of languages and it has been noted that “such open-ended approaches [could] affect and constrain the system in unexpected ways or even result in failure. For example, system development and implementation failure rates remained stubbornly high. Cost overruns and time overruns were still the norm, rather than the exception” (Erickson & Siau, 2013, p. 296). As it was humanly impossible in this kind of environment for all system analysts and other relevant personnel to be trained in all methods, the lack of communication and technical understanding coupled with the fact that the majority of the languages available were unable to meet the demands required of them, led to alarmingly high project failure rates.

This lack of standardization and communication was not only negatively affecting development projects but also limiting the potential of object-orientated technology in general. In response to this very significant concern, The Object Management Group (OMG) was founded in 1989. The initial and presiding goal of OMG was to “create a standard for communication amongst distributed objects” (Cook, 2012, p. 472). This goal was intended to foster progress toward a common object model that would work on all platforms on all kinds of development projects. In order to further this goal specifically in the domain of modeling languages, OMG launched the Object Analysis and Design Special Interest Group to study design methods. This is also the origin point from which any Request For Proposals were issued.

Around the time that OMG was founded, a separate company called Rational was also attempting to implement a solution to the over saturation of modeling languages in use. To this end they recruited Grady Booch and James Rumbaugh in 1996. These men were the creators of two of the dominant mod-

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-past-present-and-future-of-uml/214713](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-past-present-and-future-of-uml/214713)

## Related Content

---

### A Secure and Trustworthy Framework for Mobile Agent-Based E-Marketplace with Digital Forensics and Security Protocols

Qi Weiand Ahmed Patel (2009). *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications* (pp. 8-26).

[www.irma-international.org/article/secure-trustworthy-framework-mobile-agent/34067](http://www.irma-international.org/article/secure-trustworthy-framework-mobile-agent/34067)

### A Collaborative Replication Approach for Mobile-P2P Networks

Anirban Mondal, Sanjay Kumar Madriaand Masaru Kitsuregawa (2010). *International Journal of Handheld Computing Research* (pp. 75-97).

[www.irma-international.org/article/collaborative-replication-approach-mobile-p2p/43605](http://www.irma-international.org/article/collaborative-replication-approach-mobile-p2p/43605)

### Adaptive Transmission of Multimedia Data over UMTS

A. Alexiou (2007). *Encyclopedia of Mobile Computing and Commerce* (pp. 20-24).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/adaptive-transmission-multimedia-data-over/17046](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/adaptive-transmission-multimedia-data-over/17046)

### Design and Implementation of Mobile-Based Technology in Strengthening Health Information System: Aligning mHealth Solutions to Infrastructures

Saptarshi Purkayastha (2012). *Mobile Computing Techniques in Emerging Markets: Systems, Applications and Services* (pp. 158-182).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/design-implementation-mobile-based-technology/62195](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/design-implementation-mobile-based-technology/62195)

### Analysis and Resolution of Semantic Ambiguity of Toggle Buttons by Standardizing the Design in Software GUI and Mobile Apps

Hongyu Guo, Amjad Nusayrand Wen-Chen Hu (2017). *International Journal of Handheld Computing Research* (pp. 1-18).

[www.irma-international.org/article/analysis-and-resolution-of-semantic-ambiguity-of-toggle-buttons-by-standardizing-the-design-in-software-gui-and-mobile-apps/187198](http://www.irma-international.org/article/analysis-and-resolution-of-semantic-ambiguity-of-toggle-buttons-by-standardizing-the-design-in-software-gui-and-mobile-apps/187198)