

Chapter 117

Understanding User Experience

Camille Dickson-Deane
University of Melbourne, Australia

Hsin-Liang (Oliver) Chen
University of Massachusetts Boston, USA

ABSTRACT

User experience determines the quality of an interaction being used by an actor in order to achieve a specific outcome. The actor can have varying roles and evolving needs, thus reviewing and predicting experiences are important. As an actor uses and gains feedback, the feedback guides individual and group behavior, thus becoming pertinent to how interactions occur. This chapter questions how artefacts are designed to promote such interactions and what processes should be incorporated to ensure successful interpretation, use, (physical) reaction, and conation. This chapter discusses the effects of user experiences today based on societal needs and expectations. It shows how the field is delineated into numerous sub-topics, all of which can stand on their own yet still draw from each other. The discussions will include fields such as cognitive science, human-computer interaction, learning sciences, and even ergonomics to show how design and subsequently interactions can assist in having successful user experiences.

INTRODUCTION

As the need for information continues to drive the design and development process in society, understanding how one uses solutions is key. Interactions and the information they produce, drive how often and how successful an experience can be, knowing that each interaction may differ from another. User experience determines the quality of an interaction being used by an actor in order to achieve a specific outcome. The actor can have varying roles and evolving needs thus reviewing and predicting experiences are important. As an actor uses and gains feedback, the feedback guides individual and group behavior thus becoming pertinent to how interactions occur. This then questions how artefacts are designed to promote such interactions and what processes should be incorporated to ensure successful interpretation, use, physical reaction and conation. This chapter discusses the effects of user experiences today based on societal needs and expectations. It shows how the field is delineated into numerous sub-topics all of which can stand on their own yet, still draw from each other. The discussions will include fields such as cognitive science, human computer interaction, learning sciences and even ergonomics to show how design and subsequently interactions can assist in having successful user experiences.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7598-6.ch117

BACKGROUND

User experience (UX) is defined as a generalised approach to acknowledging the quality of interaction an actor [a user] has with an organisation, its service or products (Nielsen, 1992; Norman & Draper, 1986). This acknowledgement is defined as, but not limited to the actors' perceptual views, cognitive and affective feelings, interpretation of meaning, and attitudes. Today, UX is frequently been known to review the quality of interaction an actor has with computer-related technology and is known to include an organisation or product's brand experience (Park, Han, & Kim, 2013). UX is identified as an action as well as a field with relational ties to several different knowledge brackets (i.e., Human Computer-Interaction (HCI), Ergonomics, Cognitive Science, Industrial Science, Human Factors) and has elements which include "usability, affect and user value" (McNamara & Kirakowski, 2006; Norman & Draper, 1986; Notess, 2001; Park et al., 2013 pg. 286; Tullis & Albert, 2008). As such, its history has varying starting points and thus varying influences (see Figure 1).

Norman (1986) known for his work in interaction design, first coined the term. The need to ensure that any experience an actor has with an object is classified not only by the expressed needs of the actor, but also by anticipating the future needs and thus uses of the said object [by the actor]. This means that an object can draw from many disciplines, in order to fulfil this expectation towards a satisfying experience for the actor. The UX is an outcome presented through the interaction of the actor with a user interface (Seow, 2005). The user interface is somewhat physical in its definition and typically describes the layer of an object where an action has an interaction to access the said object. An example of the user interface, interaction and user experience is as follows

- **Actor:** A user (Man with no inherent challenges to using a door).
- **Object:** A door knob that is circular/round and smooth in design.
- **Context:** The user (the man) is aware of the time and is rushing to enter a law office before it closes. The entry to the law office is a door with a door knob that is circular/round and smooth in design.
- **User Interface:** The surface of the door knob.
- **Interaction:** The user using their hand to grasp the door-knob with the intent of opening the door. The actions that may be included in the interaction are, turning, pushing, and pulling.
- **(Intended/Expected/Prior) User Experience:** The user based on previous experiences with other doors, turned and pulled the door knob to enter the law office.
- **[Actual] User Experience:** The user used the door knob, turned and pulled the door towards his/her person in an effort to enter the law office. The door provided feedback that it can only be pushed and as a result the user had to change their expectations and push the door.

The above example was elaborated to show how some of the complexity of the experience of opening a door can be for an actor (Dewey, 1925; Pucillo & Cascini, 2014). In this example, the mental model the actor had of the proposed experience of opening the door may have changed after the experience occurred. If there was a change between what was expected and what occurred, this means that the experience had by the actor differed from his/her own expectations and as a result, there are a number of feelings and misinterpretations occurred during the steps required for that one action (Pucillo & Cascini, 2014). This means that the user's experience with this system (i.e., man opening the law office's door), has to accommodate the actor's state; any previous experience including influences from professional

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/understanding-user-experience/214723

Related Content

Real-Time Healthcare Intelligence in Organ Transplantation: Real-Time Intelligence in Organ Transplantation

Bruno Fernandes, Cecília Coimbra and António Abelha (2018). *Next-Generation Mobile and Pervasive Healthcare Solutions* (pp. 128-152).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/real-time-healthcare-intelligence-in-organ-transplantation/187520

A Comparative Study of Shape and Texture Features for Finger Spelling Recognition in Big Data Applications

Yong Hu (2017). *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications* (pp. 66-78).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-comparative-study-of-shape-and-texture-features-for-finger-spelling-recognition-in-big-data-applications/188624

Web-Based Seamless Migration for Task-Oriented Mobile Distance Learning

Degan Zhang, Yuan-chao Li, Huaiyu Zhang, Xinshang Zhang and Guangping Zeng (2009). *Mobile Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 473-487).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/web-based-seamless-migration-task/26522

Dict-Based Energy and Latency Efficient Air Indexing Technique for Full Text Search Over Wireless Broadcast Stream

Vikas Goel, Anil Kumar Ahlawat and M N. Gupta (2016). *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications* (pp. 50-72).

www.irma-international.org/article/dict-based-energy-and-latency-efficient-air-indexing-technique-for-full-text-search-over-wireless-broadcast-stream/175320

Adaptive Dynamic Path Planning Algorithm for Interception of a Moving Target

H. H. Triharminto, A.S. Prabuono, T. B. Adjian and N. A. Setiawan (2013). *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications* (pp. 19-33).

www.irma-international.org/article/adaptive-dynamic-path-planning-algorithm/80425