# Chapter 21

# Pivoting From Evaluative to Educative Feedback During Post-Observation Conferencing: Supporting the Development

**Amy B. Palmeri** Vanderbilt University, USA

of Preservice Teachers

Jeanne A. Peter Vanderbilt University, USA

### **ABSTRACT**

In disentangling the, often conflated, evaluative and educative functions of preservice teacher supervision, the authors reimagine supervisory practice within the specific context of the post-observation conference. Claiming the post-observation conference as a teaching space provided the impetus leading to the design of a theoretically grounded post-observation conference protocol foregrounding the educative function of supervision, leveraging the mediating role of the university supervisor in supporting preservice teacher learning, and reflecting the principles of effective feedback. A critical feature of the protocol is the intentional focusing of feedback on one of four superordinate elements of teaching that provides continuity and consistency across post-observation conferences allowing preservice teachers to connect knowledge and skill related to the development of complex practice.

#### INTRODUCTION

It is well understood that one cannot perfect a practice-oriented activity solely from reading about it in a book. However, it does not follow that book learning has no place when one is initially learning, continuously refining, and over the course of a career, perfecting a practice-oriented activity such as teaching. The long-standing schism between learning to teach in the context of the college classroom

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-6249-8.ch021

versus learning to teach in the context of field experiences serves to establish a dichotomy familiar to teacher educators across the globe: the theory-practice divide. Too often, preparation programs design curricula as though theory and practice are opposite ends of the same continuum with the goal of striking what they perceive to be the right balance between the two. This approach seems to simplify the process of learning to teach and the role of teacher education and teacher educators, by framing it as a linear space where the inferred challenge is to bring the dichotomy between theory and practice into balance.

In contrast, the authors envision learning to teach, and the relationship between theory and practice, not in this linear fashion but within an orthogonal space where theory and practice are independent entities each with their own unique and independent learning trajectories. Others have referred to this orthogonal space as hybrid or third spaces, where dichotomous thinking is reimagined bringing knowledge and skill together in new ways serving to create new teaching and learning opportunities for the teacher educators and preservice teachers in that space (Bhabba, 1990; Gutiérrez, 2008; Zeichner, 2010). One can imagine that within this orthogonal, or third space there are times when the preservice teacher (PST) might first be introduced to a pedagogical practice along with opportunities to enact the practice (the how) and then is introduced to and learns the theoretical and research base that informs the practice (the why) or vice versa. Regardless of the sequence, the authors, like others, contend it is the iterative back and forth between theory, research, and practice that makes what teachers do both visible to and learnable for the novice (Ball & Forzani, 2009, 2010: Grossman, Compton, Shahan, Ronfeldt, Igra, & Shiang, 2007; Kazemi, Lampert, & Franke, 2009). It is within this iterative space that the PST most needs the assistance of a more experienced teacher. This third space, therefore deserves greater attention by teacher educators as they consider, develop, and enact particular teacher educational pedagogies that are well suited to supporting PST learning.

Finally, in the context of teacher education, this orthogonal space, focused on the iterative interaction between theory, research, and practice is challenging because the teacher educator and the PST must engage in productive and educative conversation about a practice they are both simultaneously engaged in, albeit with quite different levels of experience, knowledge, skill, and ways of talking and thinking about teaching. The challenge is pervasive and difficult to overcome because to the novice, teaching looks simple (Bransford, Darling-Hammond, & LePage, 2005). Much of what and how a teacher thinks about content and students, the wide array of factors teachers take into account prior to making a pedagogical decision, and the enactment of those decisions through specifically chosen and intentional instructional moves are inaccessible to a novice through mere observation and thus require the instructional support provided by the teacher educator (Hundley, Palmeri, Hostetler, Johnson, Dunleavy, & Self, 2018). The authors contend that the long-standing problem typically framed as the theory-practice divide can only be solved when theory and practice are no longer positioned as being at odds with one another, but rather are recognized as being iteratively related. Consistent with this perspective, the authors further suggest that theory and practice are both essential and integral to the initial learning, on-going refinement, and never-ending striving toward effective practice necessary for and characteristic of teaching that supports student learning. Applying these ideas, the authors draw upon their experience as university-based teacher educators who teach content methods coursework and supervise preservice teachers in early fieldwork and student teaching. The authors' work focuses on a particular teacher educational context warranting closer attention, particularly when reframing theory and practice not as a divide to be bridged but rather as an iterative third space where theory, research, and practice continually and repeatedly come into intersection with one another in support of PST learning.

21 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/pivoting-from-evaluative-to-educative-feedbackduring-post-observation-conferencing/215249

### **Related Content**

#### Dynamic Approach to Teaching Geometry: A Study of Teachers' TPACK Development

Ewelina Suchacka McBroom, Zhonghong Jiang, M. Alejandra Sorto, Alexander Whiteand Edwin Dickey (2016). *Handbook of Research on Transforming Mathematics Teacher Education in the Digital Age (pp. 519-550).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/dynamic-approach-to-teaching-geometry/150810

#### Learning to Lead Collaborative Student Groups to Success

Micah Gideon Modell (2017). Handbook of Research on Teacher Education and Professional Development (pp. 187-209).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/learning-to-lead-collaborative-student-groups-to-success/166753

## What Can I Do?: Using Critical Literacy and Multimodal Text Types to Enhance Students Meaning Making and Talk

Eliza G. Braden (2017). Handbook of Research on Teacher Education and Professional Development (pp. 481-498).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/what-can-i-do/166773

#### Potentials and Challenges of a Situated Professional Development Model

Dante Cisterna, Amelia Wenk Gotwals, Tara M. Kintz, John Laneand Edward Roeber (2016). *Handbook of Research on Professional Development for Quality Teaching and Learning (pp. 151-180).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/potentials-and-challenges-of-a-situated-professional-development-model/156785

# The Utilization of Technology Tools and Preparation of Preservice Teachers for Literacy Instruction in the Age of Virtual Learning

Kathryn V. Dixon, April Sandersand Laura Isbell (2022). *Handbook of Research on Reconceptualizing Preservice Teacher Preparation in Literacy Education (pp. 142-157).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-utilization-of-technology-tools-and-preparation-of-preservice-teachers-for-literacy-instruction-in-the-age-of-virtual-learning/290759